

Namo Tassa Bhagavato Arahato Samma Sambuddhassa

INTRODUCTION

‘Wide open are the doors to the deathless!
Let those with ears to hear make sure their faith’¹

With this inspirational proclamation the Buddha declared the freedom he had realized available to all. Nothing less than escape from death itself was his promise, and this goal was available to anyone willing to listen to his message with a sincere and confident heart. Although many teachers had appeared – as they still do today – with such extravagant claims, the distinctive feature of the Buddha’s teaching was a pragmatic course of spiritual training leading step by step to the goal.

This essay is an attempt to clarify the meaning and function of samatha and vipassana in Buddhist meditation. Much has been written on this topic, yet a cloud of confusion persists. I am painfully aware of my presumption in treading where so many more worthy have gone before; however, it seems perhaps worthwhile to gather together many of the Buddha’s own statements on the subject in a form neither obscurely technical nor patronizingly simplistic, and to present that material within a consistent and reasonable framework of interpretation.

This essay is intended neither as a beginner’s introduction nor as a guide to the nuts and bolts of practice. Rather, it is intended for those who have some knowledge and experience in Buddhist meditation, but who are confused by the diverse and often conflicting opinions expressed by meditation teachers. All of those teachers, however, are unanimous on one point – the greatest Teacher, the Teacher of teachers, is the Buddha himself. To seek within the Buddha’s own sayings for a guide to the perfect conduct of life is therefore an approach which is not to be faulted by any teacher of Dhamma. But it is to be hoped that this somewhat peculiar beast – an essay on the theory of practice – can provide not only theoretical clarity, but inspiration and practical tools for meditation as well.

I wish to emphasize that the sutta passages are the heart of this essay. Despite, perhaps, appearances, I include the vast array of references – like a massed body of soldiers or of evidence – neither to obscure nor to intimidate, but to accord with my conception of good scholarly procedure and, more fundamentally, seeking to inspire a love, a reverence, for this most extraordinary literature. I obviously have my own views on this matter, and offer explanatory material accordingly, but this should be accepted only to the extent that it aids understanding of the suttas. Never should we forget the vast chasm that lies between the label, the word, and the experience to which it points. How can ‘Swan River’ capture the dark grace of a bird on wing? Or the brackish redolence of a lazy warm memory? Still worse, how can it refrain from dragging in a welter of *others*, of unseen rivers and unnoticed allusions? And if something so banal is so fraught, what then the hope for concurrence in matters such as these, anciently subtle and yet intensely, immediately personal? My chief comfort is that the Buddha himself, having briefly flirted with such thoughts, decided, and then demonstrated, that words could be good enough. This is the distinction between caution and cynicism, not to be forgotten by we who seek to rediscover his meaning.

Fear kills spirit. It is the dedicated thirst for understanding, not the complacent acquiescence in a hallowed body of theory, which gives rise to true wisdom. All too often Buddhists come out trumpeting their commitment to free inquiry over tradition, then content themselves with critiquing any tradition but their own. So this work is offered, not as a set of dogmas, but as a stimulus to creative engagement with the teachings. I hardly address the question of how best to apply these teachings in a modern context. While individuals will come to different solutions, I believe that a sincere response will not be easy or comforting; it will not prop up our complacent existence, but will sweep out our stays, precipitating a radical realignment of lifestyle.

The most fundamental division of the path is threefold: virtue, samadhi, and understanding. Virtuous ethical conduct dams the poisonous outflow of defilements which pollute behaviour of body and speech, corrupting an individual's relations with the world outside. It conduces to peace and harmony in relationships, in society, and in nature, for a moral person acts in a responsible, co-operative, and non-afflictive manner. Samadhi clarifies the still waters, cleansing the residual effluents that pollute the mind, cloud the emotions, and darken wisdom. It leads to peace and harmony in the world within, with each mental factor functioning appropriately, in balance and unity. And in these still clear waters, understanding is able to locate and dry up the source of pollution once and for all.

‘Samadhi imbued with virtue is of great fruit and benefit.

Understanding imbued with samadhi is of great fruit and benefit.

The mind imbued with understanding is completely released from all poisons, that is, the poisons of sensual pleasures, existence, views, and ignorance.’ⁱⁱ

Meditation is the key; in fact the exalted mind states of samadhi as a basis for insight are the very doors to the deathless.

‘What, Bhante Ananda, is one principle declared by the Blessed One who knows and sees, arahant, and fully enlightened Buddha whereby for a monk abiding diligent, ardent, and resolute the unreleased mind becomes released, the unevaporated poisons become evaporated, and the unattained supreme security from bondage becomes attained?’

‘Here, householder, a monk, quite secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities, enters and abides in the first jhana...second jhana...third jhana...fourth jhana...the heart's release through loving-kindness...the heart's release through compassion...the heart's release through admiration...the heart's release through equanimity...the base of infinite space...the base of infinite consciousness...the base of nothingness...[In all of these cases] he reflects thus: “This attainment of the base of nothingness is formed by volition and acts of will.” He understands: “Whatever is formed by volition and acts of will is impermanent, subject to cessation.” Standing on that, he attains the evaporation of the poisons [or to the state of non-returning]. This, householder, is one principle declared by the Blessed One... whereby the unattained supreme security from bondage becomes attained.’

When this was said, the householder Dasama of the city of Atthaka said to Venerable Ananda: ‘Just as if, Bhante Ananda, a man seeking one entrance to a hidden treasure were to come all at once upon eleven entrances to a hidden treasure; so too when seeking one door to the deathless I have all at once come to hear of eleven doors to the deathless. Just as if, Bhante, a man had a house with eleven doors, and if that house were to burn down

he would be able to save himself through one or other of those doors; so too I will be able to save myself through one or other of the eleven doors to the deathless.²ⁱⁱⁱ

Samatha, or peace of mind, is a gentle and unobtrusive quality. Meek and shy, she sometimes seems unable to hold her own against the forthright boldness of her brother, vipassana. And yet she has a unique contribution to offer, no less precious for being unobvious. Persistent attempts have been made to diminish the significance of samatha's role in Buddhist meditation in the never-ending search for a shortcut to happiness, so typical of our restless times. It is, however, precisely because our post-modern world stresses the utility of analytical intelligence so excessively that the complementary holistic qualities of serenity and joy are sorely needed. Perhaps this essay may make some small contribution towards rehabilitating samatha to her rightful position as equal partner in that noblest of tasks, the development of the mind.

My original plan was simply to investigate the role of samatha in the Buddha's scheme of meditation. As I progressed, however, it became more and more apparent that samatha can be fully understood only in relation to vipassana, and so I found myself being drawn into the deeper waters of the Buddha's wisdom teachings. To some, vipassana is an analysis of objective psychological reality, or a valuable therapeutic tool for mental health, or simply the art of mindful living. To others it is the uniquely Buddhist contribution to meditative science, while still others regard it as a universal technique independent of commitment to any particular dogma or belief. In my view none of these descriptions do justice to the profundity of the Buddha's vision. Time and again the texts indicate that key aspects of vipassana, especially impermanence, must be understood in terms of dependent origination – how the identification and involvement with experience projects consciousness into future rebirth. Dependent origination is the thread which ties this work together. Following is an attempt at a brief explanatory synopsis of the standard series of twelve factors.^{iv}

Blundering about in the darkness of **ignorance**, the unenlightened being fails to recognize how their own craving gives rise to suffering. Delighting in **conceptual activities** and shunning peace, they form kamma – now good, now bad – through body, speech, and mind. This creates a force which propels **consciousness** – ever hungry for more experience – onward after death into a new body. Like the thumb which grips in opposition to the four fingers, consciousness establishes its identity in a new existence supported by a complex of **mentality & physical form**. As this sentient organism matures, the **six senses** develop, through which **contact** between consciousness and its objects stimulates **feelings** of pleasure, pain, or indifference. **Craving** grows; for more pleasure in this life or the next, or else bored, cynical, and despairing, one looks forward to one's own annihilation. **Grasping** at sensual pleasure and nurturing ideas revolving around a 'self' as the essence of being, one's thoughts and intentions conceive the embryo of continued **existence** in a future life. But that seed, sprouting in the springtime of **birth**, must fade in the autumn of **aging**, and fall in the winter of **death**. This betrayal of life's promise is the essence of suffering.

Dependent origination combines two complementary approaches to understanding. Through analysis the various aspects or facets of the world are explored, while through synthesis the relations between these facets are examined. Accordingly, in this work the path is first examined with a comprehensive survey of core doctrinal categories, emphasizing the role of samatha, and including a specially detailed exposition of satipatthana, treated as an integral component of the path. The spotlight then turns to

conditionality itself, attempting to pin down the precise nature of the causal connection between key factors as a manifestation of a meaningful response to suffering. The seven purifications are elucidated with passages from the suttas. The all-important question, of course, is how to actually walk the path. This is therefore described next, showing the training for both monastics and laity. Given the central importance of samadhi in all the above teachings, the question naturally arises as to why it is so useful – how does samadhi work, and what do we get out of it? The highest benefit of samadhi is its support in attaining the various stages of enlightenment, and so the exploration of the path concludes by presenting the noble ones as living embodiments of the path. Lastly I consider the most important of the sutta passages which have been invoked to cast doubt on the necessity of jhana. Issues relating to the translations have as far as possible been reserved for an appendix so as to not burden the text. A brief selection of comments by some of the most respected contemporary scholars and meditation masters is included.

For those who helped give birth to my conception, thank you. For the many faults which doubtless remain, I alone am solely responsible.

ⁱ M26.21. Taking *tesam* as supplying the implied ‘their’ of the second line (cp. D18.27) and *pamuñcantu* as a poetic variant of *adhimuñcantu* (cp. Sn1146, 1149, A1.14).

ⁱⁱ D16.1.12

ⁱⁱⁱ M52

^{iv} Key sutta texts include D14, D15, M9, M38, S12, A3.61, A3.76, Sn3.12. For an overview of the suttas on causation, AK Warder’s *Indian Buddhism* is excellent. The Buddhist Publication Society offers several works on the subject; the Dalai Lama’s *The Meaning of Life from a Buddhist Perspective* gives the Tibetan interpretation.

Abbreviations

Pali texts

D	Døgha Nikæya
M	Majjhima Nikæya
S	Samyutta Nikæya
A	A ³ guttara Nikæya
Dhp	Dhammapada
Ud	Udæna
Iti	Itivuttaka
Sn	Sutta Nipæta
Thag	Theragæthæ
Vin	Vinaya
Cv	Cp ¹ avagga
Mv	Mahævagga
Pj	Pærajika
Vsm	Visuddhimagga
DA (etc)	Døgha Nikæya A¥hahakathæ (etc)

Translations

LDB	<i>Long Discourses of the Buddha</i> (Walshe)
MLDB	<i>Middle Length Discourses of the Buddha</i> (Ñæ¼amoli, Bodhi)
CDB	<i>Connected Discourses of the Buddha</i> (Bodhi)

References to the suttas are to sutta and section of LDB and MLDB; samyutta and sutta of CDB (which varies from the reckoning in earlier texts and translations, especially in S35), or verse number for the Sagæthævagga; to nipæta and sutta for the A³guttara Nikæya and the Itivuttaka; vagga and sutta for the Udæna; and verse number for the Dhammapada, Sutta Nipæta, and Theragæthæ.

APPENDIX 1:

ON THE TRANSLATIONS

In the interests of readability and concision I have taken some liberties with condensing and paraphrasing the translations, and have divorced the chosen passages from their original contexts. On the other hand, I have treated doctrinal terms and passages with rigorous consistency, occasionally falling back on that last resort of the inept translator – word for word literalism. Any conclusion based on such methodology can be no more than provisional. I therefore encourage the reader to check these translations with the Pali or with a reliable complete translation. I have frequently relied on earlier translations, particularly the wonderful works of Bhikkhu Ñanamoli and Bhikkhu Bodhi, but the final choice of rendering is in all cases my own. I have retained the Pali terms for the crucial meditation terminology in all occurrences, with the exception of ‘*satipatthana*’, which I have occasionally rendered ‘establishing of mindfulness’. Otherwise, Pali has been retained only for a few words probably more familiar to Buddhists than their English equivalents – *arahant* (accomplished one), *vinaya* (discipline), *sadhu* (it is good!), *bhante* (venerable sir), *kasina* (meditation on elements or colors), *samsara* (course of rebirths). I have generally dispensed with capitals for doctrinal terms as being justified neither by the Pali nor by current English. Since words such as *sutta*, *vinaya*, and *abhidhamma* may have a less specialized meaning in early Pali, I capitalize only when unambiguously referring to actual texts. Following is an explanation of my approach to some of the technical terminology.

Anusaya refers to the most fundamental mode of the defilements, which, even if not presently active, will inevitably manifest under appropriate conditions. ‘Tendency’, on the other hand, refers to a mere inclination. I have used ‘inherent compulsion’.

Avakkanti is usually rendered following etymology as ‘descent’, but it seems more sensible to follow the unambiguous contextual meaning in dependent origination and elsewhere of ‘reincarnation’. The contexts should clarify that this refers to a conditioned stream of consciousness, not an eternal soul.

Asava has been rendered ‘poison’ rather than the feeble ‘taint’, which has been used for *upakkilesa*.

Upanisa has been derived by scholars from *upanisidati* (so the subcommentary), or from *upanisaya* (so the commentary). The former supports the rendering ‘proximate condition’, the latter ‘vital condition’. ‘Virtue is the *upanisa* for samadhi’ is an often-repeated, idiomatic usage of the term; but the proximate condition for samadhi is not virtue but bliss. *Upanisa* can substitute for *paccaya* as a general term for ‘condition’; but its most idiomatic usage is in the dependent liberation, and there it means primarily ‘vital condition’. We have met *upanisa* with this meaning in the definition of right samadhi at M117.1, etc. At A9.1 good friendship, virtue, talk on wanting little, etc., energy, and understanding are said to be the *upanisas* for the development of the wings to enlightenment. Similarly, at A3.67, lending an ear (to listen to Dhamma) is an *upanisa* for direct knowledge, etc. These too are both necessary (Sambuddhas and Paccekabuddhas notwithstanding – the context is the training for disciples) and, as the passage

emphasizes, strongly inductive, but not proximate, conditions. I therefore take this as being the basic meaning, applied with some flexibility in other contexts.

Kaya usually means ‘body’, but in samadhi the five senses that make up the body have disappeared. The formless attainments, the deathless, the ultimate truth,ⁱ and cessation are said to be contacted ‘with the *kaya*’. This last also rules out the ‘*namakaya*’ (mentality body). At D27.9, *kaya* seems to be virtually synonymous with ‘*bhuta*’: ‘has become dhamma, become holy’. In other expressions also, for example the ‘*kaya*-witness’,ⁱⁱ *kaya* emphasizes the directness of personal engagement. This meaning fits well in the samadhi contexts, so I have used ‘person’ or ‘personally’. On the other hand, the ‘*kaya*-tranquility’ that occurs before samadhi is surely parallel with the stage of breath meditation consisting of ‘tranquilizing the *kaya*-activities’. This is the in-and-out breaths, and so refers simply to the physical body.

Khaya is gentler than ‘destruction’, which is handled by other words in the Pali. It means ‘drying up’ (of a stream), ‘using up’ (of supplies), ‘waning’ (of the moon), etc. I have used ‘evaporation’.

Dhamma has been left untranslated, or rendered with ‘principle’, ‘quality’, ‘phenomenon’, or ‘teaching’, rather than the incongruous ‘state’, which is precisely what it is not. Bhikkhu Bodhi rather curiously asks us to accept this rendering by divesting this word of both its chief denotation – the existing condition of a person or thing – and connotation – staticity (MLDB, pg. 54). The choice of this rendering may be an expression of the ontological concretization of the concept of ‘dhamma’.

Nipaka (adjective) or **nepakka** (noun) appear most prominently as part of the compound *satinepakka* in the definition of mindfulness as spiritual faculty, etc. The resemblance of this compound to *satisampajañña* (mindfulness and clear comprehension) may have influenced the commentaries to gloss *nepakka* with ‘understanding’. But other contexts seem to indicate a closer connection with the Sanskrit cognate meaning ‘chief, master’.

‘You should abide with the doors of the sense faculties guarded (*gutta*), with mindfulness protected (*arakkhasati*), with masterly mindfulness (*nipakasati*), endowed with protected mind, with heart protected by mindfulness.’ⁱⁱⁱ

The meaning as ‘mastery over the senses’ may also apply in the sequence virtue, *nipaka*, satipatthana at M51.3, and at Sn144. As a quality of a good friend (Sn45, Sn283) *nipaka* may mean ‘self-mastery, self-control’. *Nipaka* also occurs as a quality of samadhi at A5.27 (quoted above), and in the compound *ekodinipaka* at S1.290 and Sn962. Here too it seems to mean mastery:

‘For one with constant virtue, masterly (*nipaka*), practising jhana
For one whose mind is mastered (*vasibhuta*), one-pointed in samadhi
That wise one, scattering the darkness
With the threefold realization, is destroyer of Death.’^{iv}

Nimitta in the suttas probably never means ‘radiant reflex image in meditation’. This was referred to rather as ‘light and vision of forms’, the ‘radiant mind’, etc. The commentarial usage of *nimitta* for this light is possibly influenced by such passages as this.

‘When, good sirs, the *nimittas* are seen, illumination is born, and light manifests, then Brahma will manifest.’^v

At A5.193 the various pollutants and disturbances to water, compared with the five hindrances, prevent one from seeing ‘the *nimitta* (reflection) of one’s own face’. The commentarial term ‘apprehending sign’ (*uggaha nimitta*) was possibly derived from passages such as A6.68 and S47.8; but here the meaning seems to be ‘apprehending the character of the mind’, how the mind responds to various ‘foods’. A6.68 shows that ‘apprehending the *nimitta* of the mind’ is a preliminary stage of meditation, before fulfilling right view and then right samadhi. In at least some meditation contexts *nimitta* just means ‘cause’.^{vi} It refers to some quality, aspect, or feature of experience which, when paid attention to, promotes the growth of a similar or related quality. This meaning fits in well with the contexts in this work, so I have adopted the rendering ‘basis’ rather than ‘sign’, which does not carry a causal implication. A3.19 says that a monk who does not ‘carefully resolve’ on their *samadhi nimitta* (here = meditation subject, perhaps satipatthana) in the morning, midday, or evening cannot grow in good qualities. At M128.28 the phrase ‘I pay attention to the light-*nimitta*’ occurs, but even here I would regard the term ‘attention’ as hinting at a causal implication, consistent with the usage earlier in the sutta.

Rupa refers to the physical world as it appears to the mind. It includes energy, the *tejobhatu*, and is thus broader than ‘matter’ or even ‘materiality’. The rendering ‘physical form’ attempts to capture both the breadth and the subjectiveness of *rupa*, and retains the connection with *rupa* as the objects of sight, ‘visible forms’.

Vitakka and **vicara** do not mean ‘thinking’ in the context of jhana. Thinking necessarily involves a succession of different mental objects and therefore cannot apply to the still one-pointedness of jhana. Jhana is a state of altered consciousness, and it is only to be expected that psychological terms will take on new and more refined meanings. The following passage brings out this distinction.

‘I understood thus: “This thought (*vitakka*) of renunciation...non-ill will...non-cruelty which has arisen does not lead to the affliction of myself, of others, or of both. It matures understanding, relieves stress, and leads to Nibbana. But if I think on and consider on (*anuvitakka*, *anuvicara*) for too long, my body will be strained. When the body is strained the mind is stirred up, and a mind stirred up is far from samadhi.” So I steadied, settled, unified, and concentrated my mind in samadhi within myself. For what reason? So that my mind would not be stirred up...

‘...My energy was roused and unflagging; my mindfulness established and unconfused; my body tranquil and relaxed... I entered and abode in the first jhana, with initial & sustained application of mind (*vitakka*, *vicara*).’^{vii}

Further, mindfulness of breathing is taught for cutting off thinking (*vitakka*),^{viii} and at M20 five methods for quelling thoughts are taught; both of these practices are for the development of the higher mind, i.e. jhana, including the jhana factor of initial application (*vitakka*). If this implicit distinction is embodied in the translation, there is no need for the underivable rendering of *vitakka* in such contexts as ‘distracting thoughts’. All thoughts, even thoughts about the Dhamma,^{ix} must be abandoned for the mind to find peace.

Vossaggarammanam karitva is interpreted by the commentaries as meaning ‘taking Nibbana as the object [of the mind in samadhi]’. This is identified as the transcendental samadhi of the noble path (moments) and fruits. Apart from our general critique of the concept of the momentary path, there are several reasons to doubt such an interpretation. Normally the Pali absolute – here signified by ‘having’ – expresses a subordinate action completed before the main action of the sentence. This is the regular verb form in phrases at the start of the jhana formula to signify the ending of the obstructions to jhana or the fulfillment of the qualities leading to jhana. For example: ‘quite secluded from sensual pleasures’ or ‘having abandoned these five hindrances’. The sentence: ‘*Idha bhikkhave ariyasavako vossaggarammanam karitva labhati samadhim labhati cittass’ekaggatam*’ is syntactically straightforward, so there is no reason to propose any non-standard interpretation. Compare the formula for the bases for psychic powers: ‘*Chandam ce bhikkhave nissaya labhati samadhim, labhati cittass’ekaggatam...*’ The commentarial explanation, however, entails the simultaneous occurrence of the main action with the subordinate clause. This may just be grammatically possible (almost any grammatical rule can admit some exceptions), but hardly likely. Bhikkhu Bodhi (CDB page 1930), perhaps influenced by the commentary, takes *vossaggarammanam karitva* in apposition to ‘samadhi’ (grammatically the patient of the sentence, in accusative) rather than ‘noble disciple’ (the agent, in nominative). This is certainly unusual; Warder (*Introduction to Pali*, page 48) lists no such usage of the absolute.

The phrase may be compared with the passage on the development of the six recollections: ‘Having made this the support (*idam arammanam karitva*), some beings here are purified.’^x Here, *arammanam karitva* is obviously in apposition to ‘beings’, the agent. This passage also gives a good idea as to the meaning of *arammana* as ‘support’ or ‘basis’; the previously developed good qualities that underpin further development. A related usage occurs at M21.11 – one develops loving kindness towards one person, and then, relying on that (*tadarammanam*), towards all beings. In such contexts the meaning approaches ‘meditation subject’. This meaning is probably intended in the (late?) Jhanasamyutta.^{xi} From here, perhaps, developed the usage of *arammana* as ‘object (of consciousness)’, dominant in the abhidhamma but absent from the suttas, on which the commentaries rely to interpret *vossaggarammanam karitva*.

There are other difficulties with the commentarial explanation. The definitions of some of the other spiritual faculties, for example that of mindfulness as ‘memory of what was said and done long ago’, has obviously nothing to do with any ‘path moment’. The commentaries therefore resort to a convoluted division of the spiritual faculties as transcendental, non-transcendental, and mixed. This directly contradicts the suttas restricting the spiritual faculties to the noble individuals. Also, since ‘transcendental’ samadhi occurs before ‘non-transcendental’ understanding, it makes nonsense of any notion of progressive development.

Sa³khara has an active meaning in most of its occurrences, so I have reflected this by rendering it as ‘activities’ rather than ‘formations’. This preserves the link with its etymological sibling ‘action’ (*kamma*), for which it often serves as a substitute. *Sa³khara*s as the second link of dependant origination and the fourth aggregate are defined as volition (*cetana*). However, particularly as the fourth aggregate, the abhidhamma takes them to be broader than that, encompassing a wide range of mental phenomena, from basic functions like contact to sophisticated emotions like equanimity. While the rendering ‘conceptual activities’ is too narrow for this, it is broader than ‘volitional

activities’, and it emphasizes the conceptual, linguistic functions that are most typical of this aggregate, as well as the pregnant, causative aspect.

Sacchikaroti has been rendered by the literal ‘witnessing’, thus preserving the connection with ‘personal witness’ (*kayasakkehi*), and freeing up ‘realization’ for *vijja* rather than the tautologous ‘true knowledge’, in turn enabling ‘knowledge’ to be consistently reserved for words based on the root *ñana*.

ⁱ M70.23

ⁱⁱ e.g. A9.43; see also S48.50 quoted above, S48.53

ⁱⁱⁱ A5.114

^{iv} A3.58

^v D19.15

^{vi} At S48.40 *nimitta* = *nidana*, *sankhara*, *paccaya*, and at M128.16 *nimitta* = *hetu*, *paccaya*.

^{vii} M19

^{viii} Ud 4.1

^{ix} A3.100, A5.73

^x A6.26 quoted above

^{xi} S34.5. Incidentally, should not *gocara* at S34.6 mean satipatthana, the meditator’s ‘native habitat’? (see S37.6).

APPENDIX 2:

CONTEMPORARY TEACHERS ON SAMADHI

‘Right samadhi as the last link of the eightfold path is defined as the four meditative absorptions [jhanas].’

Bhikkhu Ñanatiloka
Buddhist Dictionary

‘...the suttas themselves say nothing about a system of bare insight meditation...’

Bhikkhu Bodhi
The Connected Discourses of the Buddha

‘The Pali Pitaka explains right samadhi in terms of the four jhanas.’

Mahasi Sayadaw
A Discourse on Sallekha Sutta

‘According to the suttas, concentration of jhana strength is necessary for the manifestation of the path.’

Bhikkhu Ñanamoli
Path of Purification (Visuddhimagga),
introduction note 21

‘The eightfold path includes both right view and right samadhi. A person who is to gain release has to develop all eight factors of the path. Otherwise they won’t be able to gain release.’

Pra Ajahn Mun Bhuridatta
A Heart Released

‘Wisdom is the fruit of samatha.’

Pra Ajahn Chah Bodhiñana
A Taste of Freedom

‘Right samadhi is the four jhanas.’

Professor AK Warder
Indian Buddhism

‘Wisdom and samadhi are a “dhamma pair” which go together and cannot be separated.’

Pra Ajahn Maha Bua Ñanasampanno
Wisdom Develops Samadhi

‘The wisdom that can let go of defilement is a special wisdom, not ordinary wisdom. It needs samadhi as its basis if it’s going to let go.’

Pra Ajahn Fuang Jotiko
Awareness Itself

‘The wisdom of vipassana is not something that can be fashioned into being by arrangement. Instead, it arises from samadhi that has been mastered until it is good and solid.’

Pra Ajahn Thate Desaramsi
Buddho

‘If the mind goes running around without stopping, it doesn’t really see suffering. It has to be still if it wants to see.’

Pra Ajahn Lee Dhammadharo
The Skill of Release

‘The four jhanas are invariably included in the complete course of training laid down for disciples. They figure in the training as the discipline in higher consciousness, right concentration of the Noble Eightfold Path, and the faculty and power of concentration.’

Dr Henepola Gunaratana
A Critical Analysis of the Jhanas in Theravada Buddhist Meditation

Appendix 3: Instant Enlightenment

It all seems so easy. Sit worshipfully at the feet of an all-enlightened Buddha, allow the rapture of devotion to suffuse one's mind, and rely on the Buddha to know just the right time to implant the seed of Awakening. No need to worry about meditation, renunciation, ethics. The idea of instant enlightenment has been used to justify a number of theses, past and present, all of which I feel distort the main orientation of the Buddha's teachings as recorded in the Nikayas/Agamas, viz:

- 1) That the meditative attainment of jhana is not necessary for realizing the Dhamma
- 2) That the path in the ultimate sense is just a mind-moment.
- 3) That attainment of the Dhamma is only possible due to the direct personal instruction of a Buddha.
- 4) That in our degenerate times it is impossible to realize the Dhamma.

In the main text I argued that the teachings on instant enlightenment as currently recorded in the Pali canon do not provide substantial support for any of these views. Further, I suggested that there were many features of such episodes that suggested that many of them may be late interpolations. In brief I pointed out that:

- 1) The texts that prominently feature such episodes tend to be late
- 2) The frequency of such episodes increases steadily with the passing of time
- 3) Some of the events are intrinsically implausible
- 4) They are usually just background stories, typically not attributed to or confirmed by the Buddha.
- 5) Anyone can remember where a discourse was given and what was said, but few are able to reliably assess transcendental attainments.

The comparative study of the Theravada Majjhima Nikaya with the Sarvastivada Madhyama Agama throws additional doubt on the authenticity of these episodes. From the information provided in *The Chinese Madhyama Agama and the Theravada Majjhima Nikaya* I have been able to directly compare accounts of enlightenment in eleven suttas. Results as follows.

1) Akankheyya Sutta (TMN6/SMA105)

SMA105 ends with the monks going into seclusion, striving, and attaining arahantship. TMN6 says nothing of this. (CMA&PMN p227)

2) Vatthupama Sutta (TMN7/SMA93)

At the end of TMN7, the brahman Sundarika Bharadvaja went for refuge, asked for ordination, and later, after striving, attained arahantship. In SMA93 he merely went for refuge and remained a lay follower. (CMA&PMN p232)

3) Upali Sutta (TMN56/SMA133)

In both versions, Upali, the wealthy supporter of the Jains, was converted by the Buddha and in the same session, while the Buddha taught him the gradual training, he attained the vision of the Dhamma. (CMA&PMN p285f)

4) Magandiya Sutta (TMN75/SMA153)

In SMA153 Magandiya, a wanderer of another sect, was initially critical of the Buddha, was converted by him, experienced the vision of the Dhamma, went forth, and after striving attained arahantship. TMN75 omits the arising of the vision of Dhamma, but the other main elements are similar. (CMA&PMN p62)

5) Vekhanassa Sutta (TMN80/SMA209)

SMA209 is similar to SMA153 above. Vekhanassa was initially critical of the Buddha, was converted by him, experienced the vision of the Dhamma, went forth, and after striving attained arahantship. However, TMN80 simply reports that Vekhanassa went for refuge as a lay follower. (CMA&PMN p62)

6) Ratthapala Sutta (TMN82/SMA132)

In both versions Ratthapala goes forth, strives, and attains arahantship. (CMA&PMN p294ff)

7) Potaliya Sutta (TMA54/SMA203)

In SMA203 the householder Potaliya attained the vision of the Dhamma during the discourse, then went for refuge as a lay devotee. TMN54 omits the arising of the vision of the Dhamma and is content to have Potaliya go for refuge. (CMA&PMN p71)

8) Brahmayu Sutta (TMN91/SMA161)

Both suttas agree that Brahmayu, a learned and venerable brahman, attained the vision of the Dhamma during the discourse, died soon afterwards, and the Buddha declared that he had become a non-returner. The Chinese betrays its sloppy editing here by having Brahmayu rejoice in the closing statement of the Buddha, even though he's already dead! (CMA&PMN p311ff)

9) Bakkula Sutta (TMN124/SMA34)

Acelakassapa asks his former friend Venerable Bakkula a rather personal question and receives in reply an account of Venerable Bakkula's high attainments and rigorous asceticism. In TMN124 he asks for the going forth, strives, and attains arahantship. SMA34 omits these details. This suggests that the Theravada may have emphasized a more ascetic, solitary mode of practice than did the Sarvastivada. (CMA&PMN p75)

10) Dhatuvibhanga Sutta (TMN140/SMA162)

In SMA162 Pukkusati is said to have attained the vision of the Dhamma during the discourse. However TMN140 omits this, saying that, after hearing the discourse and asking for the going-forth, Pukkusati was killed by a cow and the Buddha declared that he was a non-returner. The Theravada commentary, however, also says that Pukkusati attained the vision of the Dhamma during the discourse, thus agreeing with the Sarvastivada version. This agreement might be held to suggest that this was an early tradition passed down in the Theravada community but for some reason not included in the canonical account. On the other hand, bearing in mind that the commentary has greatly elaborated the background story to this sutta, this may simply be one of a number of stock details that are commonly inserted in the stories of great disciples. (CMA&PMN p70)

11) Chachakka (TMN148/SMA86)

TMN148 claims that 60 monks became arahants while listening to this discourse. SMA86 is silent. The Theravada commentary further exaggerates the episodes of instant

enlightenment at subsequent preachings of this discourse, confirming the impression that the Theravadins were anxious to exalt this proto-abhidhamma style analysis. (CMA&PMN p206)

Thus, of the statements concerning the attainments of particular individuals in general, three are the same (Upali, Ratthapala, and Brahmayu) while the remaining eight are different. Of the statements that refer specifically to attainment while listening to a discourse, two are the same (Upali and Brahmayu), while five are different (Potaliya, Magandiya, Vekhanassa, Dhatuvibhanga, Chachakka). This correlation of around 30% should be compared with the near identity of key doctrines such as the four noble truths, the noble eightfold path, and the dependent origination. Even a trivial detail such as the settings of the suttas shows around 80% correlation. Also note that the only two discourses that agree in attributing the vision of the Dhamma to a new disciple while listening to a discourse are two of the most obvious propaganda vehicles in the whole canon. The Upali Sutta was delivered to a wealthy chief disciple of the Jains (and we need not be surprised to find this story of the last days of the Jain teacher Mahavira as recorded by the Buddhists does not agree with the accounts in the Jain literature). The Brahmayu Sutta was delivered to one of the most revered elders of the Brahmans.

Bearing this in mind, together with the considerations I advanced at the start of this essay, I conclude that the historical reliability of the records of attainment in the Majjhimas approximates to zero. This does not mean that such events cannot happen – the mind is a strange and wonderful place. But we should be exceedingly cautious before drawing any inferences about the Dhamma based on the assumption that any particular incidents of instant enlightenment are literal historical fact.

CHAPTER ONE:

A HANDFUL OF LEAVES

And the Blessed One addressed Venerable Ananda: ‘Ananda, it might occur to you: “In the past we had the guidance of our Teacher – now we have no Teacher!” But it should not be regarded thus. The Dhamma and vinaya I have taught and laid down for you will be your Teacher when I have gone.’ⁱ

What is our standard? Who should we believe? Amid the shifting sands of opinion, is there a solid rock of certainty on which to stand? The Buddha insisted that personal experience must be the ultimate criterion. But for the unenlightened, personal experience can be ambiguous, even misleading. To complicate matters further, in these times of information overload there is a bewildering diversity of teachers and teachings, all claiming to be based on experience. In this work I aim to address, not the question of the Kalamas – ‘How do we decide between different religious teachings?’ – but rather: ‘How do we decide between different interpretations of the Buddha’s teachings?’ This question also arose for the Buddha’s followers as his life drew near its end. His answer, known as the four great references, is recorded as follows:

‘Here, monks, a monk may say this: “Face to face with the Blessed One, friend, have I heard, face to face with him have I received this: “This is Dhamma, this is vinaya, this is the message of the Teacher’...”’

‘Again, monks, a monk may say: “In such and such a dwelling lives a Sangha with an elder, a leader. Face to face with that Sangha have I heard: “This is Dhamma, this is vinaya, this is the message of the Teacher’...”’

‘Again, monks, a monk may say: “In such and such a dwelling live many elder monks of much learning who have mastered the tradition, Dhamma experts, vinaya experts, systematized summary experts. Face to face with them have I heard: “This is Dhamma, this is vinaya, this is the message of the Teacher’...”’

‘Again, monks, a monk may say: “In such and such a dwelling lives a monk, an elder, of much learning, who has mastered the tradition, a Dhamma expert, a vinaya expert, a systematized summary expert. Face to face with this elder have I heard, face to face with him have I received it: “This is Dhamma, this is vinaya, this is the message of the Teacher’...”’

[In all of the above cases:] ‘Monks, the speech of that monk should neither be delighted in nor disparaged. Every word and phrase should be well apprehended, placed beside the sutta and compared with the vinaya. Should they not fit in with the sutta or accord with the vinaya, you should conclude: “Certainly this is not the word of the Blessed One, and has been wrongly apprehended by that elder.” Thus, monks, you should reject it. If they fit in with the sutta and accord with the vinaya, then you should conclude: “Certainly this is the word of the Blessed One, and has been rightly apprehended by that elder.”’ⁱⁱ

It seems that the Buddha, though aware of the possibility of breakdown in transmission, nevertheless trusted the Sangha to adequately preserve his teachings; most practitioners and scholars today agree this has occurred. The suttas offer the seeker a unique opportunity to engage with a direct expression of perfect enlightenment. Lucid, immediate, and pragmatic, this Dhamma emerged at a time when experiments in democracy could form a model for organization of the Sangha; when freedom of religious creed and practice was unquestioned; and when the religious establishment was

straining under the weight of the rituals, hierarchies, and mystifications of age-old tradition, unable to address the issues most relevant to people's lives. The spirit of inquiry responded to these conditions with a bewildering diversity of religious sects, of which the Buddha's best stood the test of time. The universal, timeless quality of the Buddha's words, like an arrow aimed straight at the heart of the human condition, inspired an unprecedented effort to preserve his Teachings 'for those who feel'. The following passage clarifies both what the Buddha meant by 'sutta' and why this standard is important.

'In future times there will be monks undeveloped in bodily conduct, virtue, mind, and understanding... when suttas spoken by the Tathagata are taught – profound, profoundly meaningful, transcendental, dealing with emptiness – they will not listen, they will not lend an ear, they will not set their minds on profound knowledge, they will not think those teachings worth apprehending and mastering. But when suttas composed by literati are taught – literary, with fancy wordings, fancy phrasings, irrelevant, spoken by disciples – they will listen, they will lend an ear, they will set their minds on profound knowledge, they will think those teachings worth apprehending and mastering.

'Thus, monks, from corrupt Dhamma comes corrupt vinaya; from corrupt vinaya comes corrupt Dhamma. This is the fourth future danger as yet unarisen, which will arise in the future. Be alert, and strive to abandon it.' ⁱⁱⁱ

The texts relied on here are the only ones considered authoritative by all schools of Buddhism. My intention is to establish an interpretation of the crucial features of the path acceptable to all Buddhists by not relying on later authorities peculiar to any particular school. These texts are the five Nikayas of the Pali Sutta Pitaka – excluding later additions to the fifth Nikaya such as the Patisambhidamagga – together with the Vinaya Pitaka. There are, no doubt, some extraneous additions even within this limited body of texts; yet such additions seem to be limited to supplementary matter, especially verse, and do not substantially affect the doctrine. These Nikayas correspond to the Agamas preserved in the Chinese and Tibetan traditions. Where they differ, the Nikayas usually seem to be more reliable, although in any case such differences probably do not significantly affect the present discussion.^{iv}

I have been conscious throughout of avoiding writing a 'fundamentalist' critique of contemporary schools of meditation or of the traditional commentaries. It seems that the commentaries in particular have become a favourite target of criticism, particularly for Western scholars. This might be influenced by the generally odious image of institutionalised medieval monasticism in the West. But time and again initially plausible critiques turn out to be shortsighted, and, worse, the critics then proceed to make as many or more new blunders. In fact the commentaries are an invaluable mine of information on Dhamma, Pali, history, and much else, and any translator owes them a great debt. However, I am resolutely committed to interpreting the suttas on their own terms, and try as I might to see the matter from the commentarial position, I have in several instances reached conflicting conclusions. I have noted some important points of divergence; the points of agreement are too many to mention. I have mentioned such differences, not out of desire to criticize, but because the commentarial system is highly influential in contemporary meditation circles and therefore has a direct effect on people's lives. I believe that a careful appraisal of the tradition in the light of the suttas will facilitate appreciation of its true value.

I have yet to see any satisfactory study along historical and comparative lines of the development of Theravadin thought in these issues. I may simply add that the commentaries universally praise jhana and devote great lengths to explain what it is and how to develop it. However, certain variations in their explanations of key points suggest that they may incorporate some divergence of opinion. Thus ‘purification of mind’ is defined in the Digha Nikaya commentary as ‘the thoroughly mastered eight attainments [i.e. form and formless jhana] as a basis for vipassana’, whereas in the Visuddhimagga it is ‘the eight attainments together with access [samadhi]’. Again, where the Visuddhimagga treats ‘mind’ as absorption and access samadhi, the Samyutta Nikaya commentary to the same verse defines ‘mind’ simply as ‘the eight attainments’. Again, ‘momentary samadhi’ occurs only twice, both times subordinate to jhana, in the Visuddhimagga, but more often and with more independence in its commentary. These differences could be explained away as mere variations in the letter; but the natural conclusion in the face of the evidence is that there is a divergence in meaning, perhaps a historical development. Far from being a uniform emanation of enlightened wisdom, the commentarial literature is a complex and evolving scholasticism. The tradition itself is quite happy to chronicle unreconciled differences of opinion. In any case, the task I have set myself is to explain what the suttas say, and so I have throughout avoided relying on the commentaries. Nor, with two slight exceptions, have I relied on the testimony of contemporary meditators, as such testimony is diverse and unverifiable.

A problem can arise: since even learned scholars can disagree on the meaning of sutta passages, is the above standard any use? Two related principles of interpretation can help clarify obscure passages. One we call the principle of proportion, the second, the principle of historical perspective.

The Principle of Proportion

The principle of proportion involves assessing the relative importance and reliability of sutta passages using a variety of acceptable objective criteria. If there are any gray areas, anomalies, or possible conflicts, then the more important and reliable passage should take precedence. It is not necessary to assume that there either are or are not contradictions in the sutta texts as we have them, nor that the secondary passages are not authoritative, simply that the primary passages should be granted greater authority.

Consider how the suttas were assembled. First, the Buddha would have taught; his followers would memorize the teachings and later embed them in a framework of time and place. This indicates that it is the doctrinal teachings themselves, rather than background details or anecdotes, which should be accorded greater weight. This can be shown by comparing the following two passages, each introducing the same sutta, the Samaññaphala. The first is from the Vinaya Culavagga, recounting the history of the recitation of the suttas and vinaya by the Sangha after the Buddha’s passing away.

‘Ananda, where was the Samaññaphala spoken?’

‘At Rajagaha, Bhante, in Jivaka’s mango-grove.’

‘Who with?’

‘With Ajatasattu Vedehiputta.’

Thus Venerable Maha Kassapa questioned Venerable Ananda about the setting and the individuals. Using the same method, he inquired about the five Nikayas and Venerable Ananda answered each question.^v

From the Samaññaphala Sutta as recorded in the Digha Nikaya:

Thus have I heard. At one time the Blessed One was living at Rajagaha, in the mango-grove of Jivaka Komarabhacca, together with a great Sangha of twelve hundred and fifty monks. Now at that time Ajatasattu, the king of Magadha, was seated on the upper verandah of his palace, it being the full moon observance day of the fourth month, the Komudi, surrounded by his ministers.^{vi}

Although the story is essentially the same, the form is different and many details have been added. Even the words 'Thus have I heard' are missing from the Culavagga account. From other contexts it seems that the phrase 'Thus have I heard' is reserved for relating events second-hand.^{vii} If one was actually present, the phrase 'Face to face have I heard it' is used instead. 'Thus have I heard' therefore would not have been spoken by Venerable Ananda, since he was present when many of the suttas were delivered. The Buddha described his teaching as the 'suttas spoken by the Tathagata', and it seems from the above account that his early disciples also regarded the 'sutta' in the most authoritative sense as the doctrinal teachings spoken by the Buddha (or his enlightened disciples), as distinct from the incidental details of where it was spoken and to whom, etc.

Other common sense considerations should also be borne in mind when interpreting the suttas. Minor teachings should concede precedence to central and important themes, especially those given special significance by the Buddha himself. Poetry is naturally less suited to precise and detailed exposition of doctrinal points than prose; in addition, much of the poetry of the Tipitaka seems to be of later date than the prose. When quoting verses, therefore, we will try to restrict ourselves to the earlier strata. Similes, inferences, and passages of dubious or controversial interpretation are likewise of secondary consideration.

For several centuries the suttas were handed down orally, most reciters concentrating on learning texts from a single Nikaya. The arrangers of the suttas would naturally wish to edit their material so that the core teachings would be found in each Nikaya, the fifth (minor) Nikaya being only somewhat of an exception. In this way by learning one Nikaya one should be able to come to an accurate understanding of all the major teachings. The Nikayas differ in perspective and emphasis, but not in doctrine. The interesting conclusion follows that it is unlikely that a text crucial for deciding an important doctrinal point would be found in only one or two Nikayas.

The Buddha clearly felt that at least some of his discourses stood in no need of interpretation.

"These two misrepresent the Tathagata... one who shows a sutta whose meaning requires further explanation as not requiring further explanation; and one who shows a sutta whose meaning does not require further explanation as requiring further explanation."^{viii}

There is therefore no justification for assuming that the suttas teach only a provisional, conventional teaching, which the abhidhamma explains in terms of ultimate reality; both of these methods are found within the suttas themselves. The suttas are full of similes, parables, and brief or enigmatic teachings which require interpretation. Sometimes the Buddha would do so, or encourage a disciple to do so. Often it is left up to the audience, the Buddha apparently recognizing that the process of sincere investigation into meaning can be of more value than being handed all the answers on a plate. But definitive

statements of central doctrinal importance surely belong to the category of statements that are fully explained. Care should be taken, therefore, to avoid imputing unjustified meanings to such passages.

So what then are these central teachings on practice? Shortly before the Buddha passed away he emphasized a set of seven groups of Dhammas, later known as the thirty-seven wings to enlightenment, as the teaching which ‘should be cultivated, developed, and made much of, so that this holy life will last long, for the benefit and happiness of many people, out of compassion for the world, for the benefit and happiness of deities and humans.’^{xix} Again, it is said that who sees the Dhamma sees dependent origination, and who sees dependent origination sees the Dhamma; the fundamental significance of causality in the Buddha’s teaching is underlined by the famous verse of Venerable Assaji, regarded as the ideal epitome of Dhamma.

‘Whatever phenomena arise from a cause –
Their cause and their cessation.
Such is the doctrine of the Tathagata
The Great Contemplative’^{xx}

The practical aspect of causality may be described as ‘dependent liberation’. Finally, the Buddha said that practice in this Dhamma-vinaya is gradual, with no sudden penetration to knowledge; this gradual training comprises the heart of the second to twelfth suttas in the Digha Nikaya and many others.

These three teachings – the wings to enlightenment, the dependent liberation, and the gradual training – are discussed in detail below.

This then is the first principle for interpretation, the principle of proportion, which we are proposing as a reasonable guideline to resolve conflicts in doctrinal understanding. Can we really suppose that the Buddha would have left a crucial question underpinning the whole way of practice to a background story, minor category, or dubious inference? Surely it is more reasonable to suppose that he would have described the way of practice very carefully, defined his terms clearly, and repeated them often. This being so, when faced with gray areas or unclear passages, we should interpret the minor statements so as to agree with the major statements, not the other way around.

The Principle of Historical Perspective

Our second interpretative guideline is the principle of historical perspective. In the 2,500 years since the Buddha, discussion, systematization, and perhaps modification of the meaning of what he said has continued unabated. Without passing judgment on the value of these discussions, it should be clear that it is quite inappropriate to read terminology and concepts of a later era into the texts of an earlier era. This error may occur in a number of ways: new terminology may be invented for old ideas; old words may acquire new meanings; or completely new concepts may be invented and artificially imposed on the old texts.

Remember that in the text quoted at the beginning of this paper the Buddha conferred sole authority on the sutta and vinaya; no abhidhamma and certainly no commentaries. It has been established by scholastic research that the abhidhamma did not exist in the Buddha’s lifetime, but, along with some later works included in the suttas, was developed during a period of approximately four centuries after the Buddha’s passing away. The commentaries were not finalized until 1,000 years after the Buddha, over the same period

when the Mahayana Sutras were composed. Some say that even if these texts did not exist in their present form, still their essence was spoken by the Buddha and his disciples. This claim, while not altogether baseless, is misleading. Much of the material in the abhidhamma and commentaries is perfectly in accord with the suttas and may well be descended from sayings of the Buddha. However, much of their teachings are radically different in both form and content from the suttas, and it is precisely these passages which give rise to controversy. We can, fortunately, sidestep the question of whether these teachings differ only in the letter or also in the meaning as a strictly separate and secondary issue. The first of the great references quoted above refers to a teaching that a monk claims to have heard from the Buddha's lips; even this must only be accepted if it is in line with the suttas and vinaya. So the suttas can simply be taken on their own terms, and for all important matters they should be sufficient. Anyone who reads the suttas cannot help but be impressed by the clarity, consistency, and precision of the Buddha's teaching. To hold that he was unable to explain what he meant would be a curious aspersion on his ability.

The Theravada tradition has coined a range of terminology and concepts which, although unknown to the suttas, constitutes the standard vocabulary of contemporary Buddhist meditation teachings. These terms include 'momentary' and 'access' samadhi; 'vipassana jhana', 'samatha jhana', and 'transcendental jhana'; the 'one whose vehicle is serenity' and the 'one whose vehicle is pure (or dry) insight'. So, prevalent is the influence of these terms that the conception of the path of meditation they imply is tacitly accepted by almost all books on meditation, and has been 'authorized' by inclusion in standard reference works. Someone interested in Buddhist meditation can thus pick up a wide variety of books from diverse sources, simple and complex, all of which re-affirm the same ideas. The plain fact that these special concepts are based on scholastic writings dated centuries after the Buddha and peculiar to the Theravada school is obscured. If we wish to understand the suttas as those who listened to them, we must first carefully examine the contextual significance of crucial terms. Sound textual analysis must proceed from unambiguous contexts as a basis for inferring the meaning of ambiguous contexts. Any interpretation which relies on reading non-standard meanings into ambiguous passages should be rejected out of hand.

Samadhi

Samadhi is a regular synonym for 'one-pointedness of mind' (*cittakaggata*) or 'unification' (*ekodibhava*). Both 'samadhi' and 'unification' occur in the formula for the second jhana, while elsewhere 'one-pointedness' is also said to already occur in first jhana.^{xi} The verb forms of 'unification' (*ekodibhoti* or *ekodikaroti*), which regularly occur alongside *samadhiyati*, may also refer to first jhana.^{xii} The absence of these terms in the normal formula for the first jhana seems to imply a certain reservation over whether first jhana is fully one-pointed or not, no doubt due to the continued operation of initial & sustained application of mind. A key doctrinal definition is this:

'One-pointedness of mind with these seven [path] factors as requisites is called noble right samadhi with its vital conditions and requisites.'^{xiii}

This statement establishes that samadhi or one-pointedness of mind in the context of the path is 'right samadhi', which is the four jhanas.^{xiv} We shall see below that whenever samadhi is explicitly defined in core doctrinal categories, it is always the four jhanas.

In a very few contexts the meaning, not clarified by the context, may be jhana, or possibly mere 'concentration' in the ordinary sense of the word.^{xv} One passage speaks of a monk establishing the 'mind one-pointed in samadhi' while in all four postures,

including walking.^{xvi} This would seem to be difficult to square with the usual understanding of jhana, although it would not necessarily directly contradict anything in the suttas. Everything else in this sutta, though, is quite standard – virtue, abandoning the hindrances, energy, mindfulness, bodily tranquillity (which strikes me as slightly odd in the context of walking), and samadhi, concluding with a verse extolling both samatha and vipassana. Perhaps we might suspect some slightly clumsy editing; and we should not forget the many times when the meditator sits down cross-legged before entering samadhi.

More often, samadhi is used to describe meditative states of vipassana or liberation. These include three of the four kinds of ‘development of samadhi’,^{xvii} the ‘basis of reviewing’,^{xviii} and the ‘signless heart-samadhi’.^{xix} These are neither substitutes for jhana in any important doctrinal categories, nor are they shown as the direct outcome of tranquility and bliss, but regularly follow after jhana. It may be noted that ‘development’ (*bhavana*) often refers not to the basic establishing of a meditation subject, but to its further, advanced development.^{xx} Presumably, establishing one-pointedness in jhana enables the mind to maintain a comparable level of one-pointedness in the more complex task of vipassana, justifying the term samadhi. Very rarely, samadhi is used of a state of meditation that may precede jhana. The following unique passage describes such a pre-jhanic samadhi.

‘For a monk devoted to the higher mind, there are coarse taints – misconduct of body, speech, and mind. The aware and competent monk abandons, dispels, eradicates, and annihilates them.

‘When they are abandoned...there are middling taints – thoughts of sensual pleasures, ill will, and cruelty...

‘When they are abandoned...there are subtle taints – thoughts of family, country, and reputation. The aware and competent monk abandons, dispels, eradicates, and annihilates them.

‘When they are abandoned and eradicated, from there on there remain only thoughts about Dhamma. That samadhi is not quite peaceful, nor refined, nor possessed of tranquility, nor unified, but is actively controlled and constrained. There comes a time when the mind is steadied within, settled, unified, and concentrated in samadhi. That samadhi is peaceful, refined, possessed of tranquility, unified, and is not actively controlled or constrained. One can incline the mind to witness with direct knowledge whatever principle can be witnessed with direct knowledge, and become an eye-witness in every case, there being a suitable basis.’^{xxi}

Elsewhere too, samadhi is treated as the precursor of various direct knowledges, confirming that jhana is meant.^{xxii} Since the passage only speaks of particular subtle taints, it – probably deliberately – does not quite clarify whether the ‘samadhi’ accompanied by ‘thoughts about Dhamma’ is totally free of hindrances or not – there is no mention of sloth & torpor, etc. We might surmise that hindrances, even if not actually arisen, may re-emerge at any moment due to the lack of one-pointedness, which is the defining characteristic of true samadhi. In any case, this is obviously not the liberating samadhi of the path.^{xxiii} Its only significance is to precede true samadhi, and it is ignored in all expositions of right samadhi. ‘Thoughts about the Dhamma’ means just that.^{xxiv} The commentary asserts that the phrase refers to the ten ‘taints of vipassana’. But not only is this an anachronistic reading of a later concept into an earlier text, the context has nothing to do with vipassana.

Jhana

[Jhana] never means vaguely “meditation”. It is the technical term for a special religious experience reached in a certain order of mental states. It was originally divided into four such states.’ (*Pali-English Dictionary*) This unambiguous meaning occurs many hundreds of times. Very rarely, jhana may refer to a non-Buddhist form of self-torment such as the ‘breathless jhana’.^{xxv} In prefixed form, jhana often means ‘ponder’, ‘brood’. Very rarely, the simple and prefixed forms are used together in a disparaging sense. Despite the obvious incongruity, I retain the word ‘jhana’ in the following passages for the sake of consistency.

“Then, when Mara Dusi had possessed the brahman householders, they abused, reviled, mocked, and harassed the virtuous monks of good character thus: “These baldies, monkies, menial darkies spawned from the Ancestor’s feet, claim: ‘We’re doing jhana! We’re doing jhana!’ and with shoulders drooping, heads hanging, and all limp they do jhana, re-do jhana, out-do jhana, and mis-do jhana. Just like an owl on a branch watching out for a mouse...like a jackal on a riverbank watching out for fish...like a cat by a door-post or a dustbin or a drain watching out for a mouse...like a clapped-out donkey hanging around a door-post or a dustbin or a drain does jhana, re-does jhana, out-does jhana, and mis-does jhana...”^{xxvi}

This passage provides a clue as to the basic, non-specialized meaning of jhana; close, sustained, concentrated observation at a single point. The significant image of ‘food’ as the object of jhana recurs in the following remarkable passage, which encompasses the broadest range of meaning of ‘jhana’.

“Sandha, you should practice the jhana of the thoroughbred steed, not the jhana of the clumsy nag. What is the jhana of the clumsy nag?”

“The clumsy nag, Sandha, when tied up by the feeding-trough does jhana thus: “Fodder! Fodder!” For what reason? It does not occur to him: “What task will the trainer set for me today? What can I do for him in return?” Tied up by the feeding-trough, he just does jhana thus: “Fodder! Fodder!” In just the same way, a certain clumsy nag of a person who has gone to a forest, the root of a tree, or an empty place, abides with heart obsessed and overwhelmed with sensual lust...ill will...sloth & torpor...restlessness & remorse...doubt. He does not understand the escape from these things in accordance with reality. Having formed these things inside himself, he does jhana, re-does jhana, out-does jhana, and mis-does jhana. He does jhana dependent on earth...water...fire...air...the base of infinite space...the base of infinite consciousness...the base of nothingness...the base of neither perception nor non-perception...this world...the world beyond...on what is seen, heard, sensed, cognized, attained, sought out, and explored by the mind. This is the jhana of the clumsy nag of a person.

“What is the jhana of the thoroughbred steed?”

“The excellent thoroughbred steed, when tied up by the feeding-trough does not do jhana thus: “Fodder! Fodder!” For what reason? It occurs to him: “What task will the trainer set for me today? What can I do for him in return?”... The excellent thoroughbred steed regards the application of the whip as a debt, imprisonment, loss, and misfortune. In just the same way, the excellent thoroughbred steed of a person...does not abide with heart obsessed with the five hindrances...He does not do jhana dependent on earth...on what is seen, heard, sensed, cognized, attained, sought out, and explored by the mind. And yet he does practice jhana.”^{xxvii}

This passage brings out a number of points in the usage of the term ‘jhana’. The similes differentiate between the mind focussed on one thing and the mind engaged in reflective consideration – the former is called ‘jhana’, the latter not. The series of four derivatives

of 'jhana' augmented with prefixes is always used in connection with the five hindrances, never with the four jhanas. The mention of the four elements seems to be an idiomatic reference to the four 'form' jhanas. To preserve consistency, the text applies 'jhana' to the formless attainments – a common usage in later literature but perhaps unique in the suttas. The 'jhana' mentioned in the final phrase may be the fruition attainment of arahantship. Notice that this meditative experience of enlightenment is distinguished from the form and formless jhanas. Although the text does not unequivocally praise jhana, it is not the jhana, but the person practicing it, who the Buddha criticizes, and then only by comparison with the arahant. As we shall see below, the ideas of 'food' and 'dependence' imply dependent origination; so all conditioned states are being faulted here from the standpoint of ultimate wisdom as being still bound up with rebirth. It should be re-emphasized that these broad usages of the term 'jhana' – here mentioned for completeness – are exceedingly rare.

These are the only meanings of the words 'jhana' and 'samadhi' which can be unambiguously established from the early suttas. Since these two words occur so often with such clear meaning, it seems reasonable to assume that they refer to the four jhanas unless there is contextual evidence otherwise. As samadhi may have a broader meaning on occasion, however, it will be prudent to confirm the contextual meaning whenever possible. The Visuddhimagga classifies meditation subjects according to whether they produce absorption or only access samadhi. But since access samadhi plays no role in the Buddha's scheme of meditation, it seems unlikely he would have taught any meditation not capable of leading to jhana. Although it is difficult to substantiate this for every meditation subject, we will see below that in at least some cases, meditations traditionally believed to produce only access can, according to the suttas, lead to absorption.

Vipassana Jhana

The term 'vipassana jhana' seems to stem from a historical development in the application of the terms 'samatha' and 'vipassana'. In the suttas, no meditation technique is labeled, such as by saying 'kasinas and loving-kindness are samatha, satipatthana is vipassana'. A growing tendency to segregate and systematize the various meditation subjects developed into the prevailing practice of identifying samatha and vipassana with the meditation subjects themselves, rather than with the mental qualities which the techniques foster. Having thus labeled a certain technique as 'vipassana', it was found that experiences of rapture, serenity, and bliss occur during 'vipassana' which were then called 'vipassana jhana'. But these emotional qualities are precisely what 'samatha' refers to. This is not some new kind of 'jhana' happening in 'vipassana', it is just samatha pure and simple. One may hear that 'vipassana' helps to relieve stress, solve psychological imbalances, or increase compassion; but these things are aspects of samatha, 'development of the mind', regardless of the label stuck on the technique. As long as the mind is still moving, however, and as long as the five external senses still impinge, it falls short of true jhana – the mind absolutely content to rest still within itself.

Transcendental Jhana

There is one further concept to be discussed: 'transcendental jhana', which has been pressed into service as a substitute for 'samatha jhana' to fulfill the path factor of right samadhi. According to the Theravada commentarial tradition, derived it seems from the Patisambhidamagga, one of the latest books in the Sutta Pitaka, this is a special kind of jhana which occurs only at the time of penetrating to the noble paths and fruitions. This

samadhi can apparently occur with the same mental factors as any of the four jhanas, but is distinguished by taking Nibbana as its object and by permanently eradicating, rather than merely suppressing, the defilements appropriate to each path. The four paths are each conceived as lasting one ‘mind moment’^{xxxviii} – another Theravada commentarial concept – occurring once only, while the fruition can be re-entered by the noble ones after appropriate preparation. This ‘path-moment’ is supposed to last less than a billionth of the duration of a flash of lightning.^{xxxix}

Apart from fruition attainment,^{xxx} none of these ideas finds support in the suttas. The Mahacattarisaka Sutta distinguishes between the transcendental and non-transcendental path for the first five path factors, but does not maintain that distinction for the factors of the samadhi aggregate.^{xxxi} The divergence in presentation is not arbitrary. The express purpose of the sutta is to show how all the path factors function to support samadhi for one developing the noble path. The first five factors are accordingly given special definitions appropriate in the context of samadhi. In particular, right view and right intention are defined by way of cognitive function rather than objective content. But the final three factors in their normal formulation already pertain directly to samadhi, so stand in no need of any special definition here. In any case, the mere mention of the words ‘noble, poison-free, transcendental, a factor of the path’ even if they were applied to jhana would hardly imply the details of the commentarial theory.^{xxxii} The definition of the spiritual faculty of samadhi is also relied on to support this thesis. In the section dealing with the spiritual faculties I offer an alternative interpretation, reserving a technical critique of the commentarial position to Appendix 1.

On these insubstantial grounds rests the entire elaborate edifice of the commentarial theory. One may wonder why the Buddha, normally so precise in wording, would choose to use such terms as ‘path’ and ‘person’ to describe a ‘mind-moment’. Such ideas may have evolved from the recorded instances of apparently sudden penetration to the Dhamma. It seems that the path is entered at one time, developed gradually, and matures into the fruition at another time, after a short or long interval.^{xxxiii} This is explained with such similes as chicks breaking out of their shells after incubation, or the collapse of a ship’s rigging after rotting away for a long time in the weather.^{xxxiv} We might note here that the word ‘path’ (*magga*), apart from a few instances cited below, is hardly used in the suttas to denote those developing the path; the synonym ‘way’ (*patipada*), defined just as the noble eightfold path,^{xxxv} is the usual term. Nor is the path normally divided into four as such; the path is just the noble eightfold path, but those at different stages practice for different immediate goals. This being so, the phrase ‘the path to arahantship’ that occurs below probably simply refers to the eightfold path. Key sutta passages on the path are collected below.

‘Just as, monks, this great ocean deepens gradually, inclines gradually, slopes gradually, with no abrupt precipice, even so in this Dhamma-vinaya there is gradual training, gradual work, gradual practice, with no abrupt penetration to profound knowledge.’^{xxxvi}

‘Just as the great ocean is the home of many great beings... so too this Dhamma-vinaya is the home of many great beings: the stream-enterer, the one on the way to witnessing the fruit of stream-entry [and so on].’^{xxxvii}

‘As he develops samatha prior to vipassana, the path is born in him. He cultivates, develops, and makes much of that path.’^{xxxviii}

‘One who, regarding the well-taught word of Dhamma,
Lives on the path, restrained and mindful
Cultivating blameless states –
This is the third kind of monk, the liver of the path.’^{xxxix}

‘The four pairs of people, the eight individuals, these are the Sangha of the Blessed One’s disciples: worthy of gifts, worthy of hospitality, worthy of offerings, worthy of reverential greeting, an unexcelled field of merit for the world.’^{xli}

‘Those eight people who are praised
The four pairs of the Blessed One’s disciples
They are worthy of offerings
What is given to them bears great fruit’^{xli}

‘An offering to one on the way to witnessing the fruit of stream-entry can be expected to repay incalculably; what could I say of an offering to a stream-enterer?’^{xlii}

Of the Dhamma-follower and faith-follower, those on the way to stream-entry, it is said:

‘He is incapable of doing any action having done which he would be reborn in hell, the womb of an animal, or the ghost realm. He is incapable of passing away without witnessing the fruit of stream-entry.’^{xliii}

‘Just as that tender calf just born, being urged on by its mother’s lowing also breasted the stream of the Ganges and got safely across to the further shore, so too those monks who are Dhamma-followers, faith-followers – by breasting Mara’s stream they too will get safely across to the further shore.’^{xliv}

‘What do you think, Bhaddali?... Suppose there was a monk who was a Dhamma-follower...a faith-follower, and I told him: “Come, monk, be a plank for me to walk across the mud.” Would he walk across himself, or would he dispose his body otherwise, or would he say “No”?’

‘No, Bhante.’^{xlv}

‘When that venerable one makes use of suitable dwellings, associates with good friends, and composes his spiritual faculties, he may witness...the supreme goal...He still has work to do with diligence.’^{xlvi}

One of the chief lay-disciples relates how he learns of the monks’ attainments.

‘It is no surprise, Bhante, when the Sangha is invited by me, that deities approach and tell me: “That monk, householder, is one released on both sides; that one is released by understanding; that one is a personal witness; that one has attained to view; that one is faith-released; that one is a Dhamma-follower; that one is a faith-follower; that one is virtuous, of good qualities; that one is immoral, of evil qualities.”’^{xlvii}

Seeing some wanderers of other sects walk by, King Pasenadi asks the Buddha:

‘Bhante, are those among those in the world who are arahants or attained to the path to arahantship?’

‘It is hard, Great King, for you as a lay person enjoying sensual pleasures, dwelling crowded by children, using sandalwood imported from Benares, wearing garlands, perfume and make-up, and accepting gold and money, to know whether these are arahants or those attained to the path to arahantship.’^{xlviii}

‘Neither arahants nor those attained to the path to arahantship will attend such a sacrifice. Why not? Because beatings and throttlings [of sacrificial animals] are seen there. But arahants or those attained to the path to arahantship will attend the kind of sacrifice where regular family gifts are specially given to virtuous monks.’^{xlix}

Those of us who cannot imagine a ‘mind-moment’ lying in the mud or attending a meal or accepting a gift will conclude that the commentarial ‘instant-path’ theory cannot be relied on to explain the suttas. Furthermore, the suttas regularly speak of samadhi as a gradual settling of activities resulting in a stable coalescence of mind that lasts for a substantial period of time, into which one ‘enters and abides’. The word ‘abides’ (*viharati*) is specifically and exclusively used in Pali to denote an ongoing situation or event.

‘And so, Anuruddha, abiding diligent, ardent, and resolute I perceived limited light and saw limited forms, I perceived measureless light and saw measureless forms even for a whole night, a whole day, a whole night and day.’ⁱ

This then is our second principle of interpretation, that of historical perspective. This principle guards against playing ‘Chinese whispers’ with the Dhamma, relying on an interpretation of a retelling of an exposition of a summary of something the Buddha was supposed to have said. The original teachings are available to us. Great as our gratitude is to the monks who preserved and passed down the suttas, do we really suppose that any of them could explain Dhamma better than the Buddha himself? The idea that commentarial or abhidhamma concepts are necessary to correctly interpret the suttas hardly accords with the Buddha’s own description of his liberating teaching.

‘Perfect in every aspect, fulfilled in every aspect, with neither lack nor excess, well explained and entirely complete.’ⁱⁱ

This completeness does not pretend to the impossible standard of providing all answers to all questions, but to the reasonable standard of setting out with sufficient detail, clarity, and precision a framework for understanding adequate to serve as guide for all essential questions of theory and practice.

Then the Blessed One, taking a few *simsapa* leaves in his hand, addressed the monks:

‘What do you think, monks? Which is greater, the few leaves in my hand or those left up in the trees?’

‘The few leaves taken up by the Blessed One in his hand are less, Bhante; those left up in the trees are certainly greater.’

‘Just so, monks, the things I have directly known but I have not declared to you are certainly greater; what I have declared is less. And why are they undeclared by me? They are pointless, not pertaining to the fundamentals of the holy life, not leading to repulsion, fading away, cessation, peace, direct knowledge, enlightenment, Nibbana. Therefore they are undeclared by me. And what is declared by me? This is suffering... This is the origin of suffering... This is the cessation of suffering... This is the way of practice leading to the cessation of suffering. And why is that declared by me? Because it has a point, it is pertaining to the fundamentals of the holy life, leading to repulsion, fading away, cessation, peace, direct knowledge, Nibbana. Therefore it is declared by me.’ⁱⁱⁱ

ⁱ D16.6.1

ⁱⁱ A 4.180; D 16 4.8-4.11

ⁱⁱⁱ A 5.79

^{iv} In these matters the conclusions of AK Warder’s *Indian Buddhism* are followed.

^v Vin Cv11.1.8

^{vi} D 2.1

^{vii} M 127.17; D 5.21

^{viii} A 2.3

^{ix} D 16.3.50

-
- ^x Vin Mv 1.23
^{xi} M43.19 quoted below, M111.4
^{xii} S40.1, M12.7 quoted below
^{xiii} D18.27, M117.3, S45.28, A7.42
^{xiv} D22.21, etc. quoted below
^{xv} Vin Cv 5.3, A5.29, A5.151 quoted below
^{xvi} A4.12
^{xvii} D 33: 1.11, A4.41
^{xviii} A 5.28
^{xix} D 16.2.25, M 121.11, M 122.7, S22.80, S40.9, S43.12.6-8, S41.7, A7.53, cp. M43.7ff
^{xx} e.g. M44.12
^{xxi} A3.100
^{xxii} e.g. D1.1.31, D6.6, A6.26, A6.61. See too the bases for psychic power discussed below.
^{xxiii} cp. D34. 1.6 quoted below
^{xxiv} cp.A5.73, Iti 3.86
^{xxv} M36.21ff
^{xxvi} M50.13 cp. M108.26-27 quoted below
^{xxvii} A11.10
^{xxviii} see Vsm 22.15ff
^{xxix} For a detailed exposition, see Dr Henepola Gunaratana, *A Critical Analysis of the Jhanas in Theravāda Buddhist Meditation*.
^{xxx} Some such attainment seems to be attested to, for the arahant at least, by a number of passages, of which we have met one above. These passages, however, fall well short of justifying all the details of the commentarial theory. A detailed discussion of the fruition falls outside the scope of this essay on the path.
^{xxxi} M117
^{xxxii} See e.g. D28.18. At A5.79 quoted above, 'transcendental' (*lokuttara*) is applied to the suttas.
^{xxxiii} see Vin Pj4.3
^{xxxiv} S22.101
^{xxxv} S45.31
^{xxxvi} A4: 200-1 cp. VinCv9.1.4, Ud5.5
^{xxxvii} Ibid.
^{xxxviii} A4.170
^{xxxix} Sn88
^{xl} D16: 2.9 etc
^{xli} Sn227
^{xlii} M142.6
^{xliii} S25
^{xliv} M34.10
^{xlv} M65.11
^{xlvi} M70.21-22, cp. A7.53
^{xlvii} A8.22
^{xlviii} Ud6.2
^{xliv} D5.23 cp. Vin Mv 1.20, Ud1.10
^l M128.29
^{li} D29.16
^{lii} S56.31

CHAPTER TWO:

SAMATHA AND VIPASSANA

‘Suppose, monk, a king had a border city with strong ramparts, walls, and arches, and six gates. The gatekeeper there would be clever, competent, and intelligent, and would keep strangers out but let acquaintances in. A swift pair of messengers coming from the eastern quarter would question that gatekeeper thus: “Where, friend, is the lord of the city?” “There he is, sirs, he is sitting in the middle at the crossroads.” Then that swift pair of messengers would present a message in accordance with reality to the lord of the city and leave by the way they came. I have made up this simile to convey a meaning. And this is the meaning: “City” is a designation for this body made up of the four great elements, produced from mother and father, built up from rice and porridge, subject to impermanence, to rubbing, wearing, breaking up, and dispersal. “Six gates” is a designation for the six internal sense bases. “Gatekeeper” is a designation for mindfulness. “Swift pair of messengers” is a designation for samatha and vipassana. “Lord of the city” is a designation for consciousness. “In the middle at the crossroads” is a designation for the four great elements... “Message in accordance with reality” is a designation for Nibbana. “The way they came” is a designation for the noble eightfold path.’ⁱ

In ordinary usage, ‘samatha’ means ‘calming, settling, pacifying’, for example, of disputes and litigations,ⁱⁱ here the meaning approaches ‘cessation’. The means of settling disputes in the Sangha exemplify how samatha and vipassana work together. The disputants should come together in harmony; thoroughly examine the issues in accordance with Dhamma; and unanimously agree on a solution. We thus find ‘vipassana’ also used in a legalistic context.

‘And when Prince Vipassi was born, the divine eye manifested to him as a result of past action, by means of which he saw for a league all around both day and night. And when Prince Vipassi was born, he was unblinkingly watchful, like the deities of Tavatimsa. [People said:] ‘The Prince is unblinkingly watchful’, and so Prince Vipassi came to be called ‘Vipassi’. And then, when King Bandhuma was seated adjudicating a legal case, he sat Prince Vipassi on his lap and instructed him regarding the legal case. And Prince Vipassi, even while seated on his father’s lap, having thoroughly investigated, drew a conclusion regarding the legal case by a logical method... and so Prince Vipassi was all the more called ‘Vipassi’.ⁱⁱⁱ

Here, two aspects of vipassana are brought forth. Firstly, the penetrative, clear-eyed observation of what is occurring in the present moment. And secondly, the ability to infer a correct conclusion by closely examining the evidence before one’s eyes, understanding it in line with valid general principles. These two aspects are mirrored in the context of dependent origination by ‘knowledge regarding Dhamma’ – understanding each factor according to the four noble truths – and ‘knowledge regarding entailment’ – understanding that all those who see the Dhamma, past, present, and future, will see it the same way.^{iv} Another, similar, kind of knowledge is called the ‘knowledge of the regularity of natural principles’ – understanding that the conditional relations between the factors always operate the same way.^v

The precise denotation of the terms ‘samatha’ and ‘vipassana’ in the context of meditation can be derived from a passage quoted more fully below. Samatha is the steadying of the mind, its settling, unifying, and concentrating in samadhi. It is therefore similar in meaning to one-pointedness of mind, the most distinctive mental quality of jhana or samadhi.^{vi} Vipassana is the seeing and exploring of activities. It therefore refers to wisdom in its refined mode of investigation into the nature of reality as experience. These definitions express the quintessence of samatha and vipassana. However, samatha and vipassana also occur as a comprehensive summary of the meditative aspect of the path, and the next passage treats them as leading to the development of the mind and of wisdom respectively. This implies that they may also be taken in a more general sense as including those qualities of mind leading to peace and to wisdom. They almost always occur as a pair in the suttas, and are a pair of mental qualities to be developed by means of the eightfold path.

‘Monks, these two principles share in realization. What two? Samatha and vipassana.

‘When samatha is developed, what purpose is achieved? The mind is developed. When the mind is developed, what purpose is achieved? Lust is abandoned.

‘When vipassana is developed, what purpose is achieved? Understanding is developed. When understanding is developed, what purpose is achieved? Ignorance is abandoned.

‘Monks, the mind tainted by lust is not released; understanding tainted by ignorance is not developed. Thus the release of heart is due to the fading away of lust; the release by understanding is due to the fading away of ignorance.’^{vii}

Sometimes the phrases ‘release of heart’ and ‘release by understanding’ denote different kinds of arahants distinguished by their giving chief emphasis to either samadhi or wisdom. Normally however, as here, they point to two complementary aspects of the release of all arahants. Thus samatha and vipassana function as a pair, not only in the preliminary training, but also right up to the ultimate liberation. This is why the Buddha called Nibbana the ‘samatha of all activities’, emphasizing that samatha points to the stilling, tranquillizing, and pacifying of suffering.

Notice that samatha brings about the fading of lust, vipassana the fading of ignorance. Lust is a term for the emotional aspect of the defilements; ignorance is a term for the intellectual aspect. At their most general, then, samatha may be regarded as pertaining to emotional development, vipassana as pertaining to intellectual development. The terms ‘emotional’ and ‘intellectual’ are meant here in their broadest possible connotation. They have been chosen because they offer an established usage, easily understood, which approximates to this context. No doubt we risk trivializing the concept of samatha and vipassana; but perhaps we may succeed instead in dignifying the contemporary impoverished understanding of the emotions and the intellect. By using the word ‘emotional’ we no more mean being moody and impulsive than by ‘intellectual’ we mean mere reasoning and rational thinking. Rather, we refer to that whole side of experience, half of our mind or world which deals with feelings and intuitions, the soft feminine side, and that which deals with understanding and analysis, the penetrating masculine side. All of us contain both of these aspects within us. Each of these aspects contains some good and some bad and must be developed in a balanced way if we are to achieve liberation – we cannot enlighten only half our mind.

Many similes can illustrate this mutual support. Vipassana only is like trying to cut down a tree with a razor blade; samatha only is like using a hammer. Both together is like using a sharp axe – both penetrating and powerful. Or samatha is like the underside of a postage stamp – it sticks – while vipassana is like the top – it informs. Or samatha is like the left foot, vipassana like the right foot – one can only move one foot forward by leaning on the other. Or samatha is like the cool breeze at the mountain top, and vipassana is like the view of the countryside. Or samatha is like the hand which clings to the next rung up the ladder, vipassana like the hand which lets go of the rung below. This simile contains a warning – if one lets go of both ends before reaching the top, one is likely to end up as a crumpled heap at the foot of the ladder.

Venerable Ananda states that enlightenment must depend on some combination of samatha and vipassana.

‘Friends, any monk or nun who declares arahantship in my presence has arrived there by four paths or by one of them. What four? Here, friends, a monk develops samatha prior to vipassana. While he is developing samatha prior to vipassana the path is born in him. He cultivates, develops, and makes much of that path. As he does so his fetters are abandoned and his inherent compulsions are eradicated. Again, friends, a monk develops vipassana prior to samatha... Again, friends, a monk develops samatha and vipassana yoked equally... Again, friends, a monk’s mind is seized by restlessness for the Dhamma. When the mind settles down within, becomes steady, unified, and concentrated in samadhi, then the path is born in him. He cultivates, develops, and makes much of that path. As he does so, his fetters are abandoned and his inherent compulsions are eradicated.’^{viii}

Venerable Ananda sets out a comprehensive fourfold classification of the sequence of practice. The basic sequence is common to all four modes. First samatha and vipassana are developed; then the path is born; then the path is developed further. The only variation is the manner of preliminary practice of samatha and vipassana. The ‘path’ here is obviously the noble eightfold path. At its inception this is the way to stream-entry. This discourse indicates that all meditators who are to attain liberation will develop both samatha and vipassana before entering into the plane of the noble ones. There is no mode which develops vipassana first and then samatha (as transcendental jhana) simultaneous with the arising of the path. Nor is there a mode that develops vipassana until stream-entry and then samatha to support the higher stages.

Examples of the first mode appear frequently throughout this work. Vipassana prior to samatha is exemplified as follows. In this passage, ‘imperturbable’ refers to the first two formless attainments.

‘Again, monks, a noble disciple considers thus: “Sensual pleasures... sensual perceptions... physical forms... perceptions of physical forms both here & now and in lives to come are alike impermanent. What is impermanent is not worth relishing, not worth welcoming, not worth adhering to.” When he practices in this way and frequently abides thus, his mind becomes clear about this base. When there is full clarity he attains to the imperturbable now, or he decides upon understanding. With the breaking up of the body, after death, it is possible that his onflowing consciousness will pass on to the imperturbable...

‘Again, monks, a noble disciple, gone to a forest or to the root of a tree or to an empty hut, considers thus: “This is void of a self or of what belongs to a self.” When he practices in this way and frequently abides thus, his mind becomes clear about this base. When there is full clarity he attains to the base of nothingness now or he decides upon understanding. With the breaking up of the body, after death, it is possible that his onflowing consciousness will pass on to the base of nothingness...’^{xix}

The path of samatha and vipassana yoked equally is explicitly described in only one place.

‘Monks, when one knows & sees in accordance with reality the eye... visible forms... eye consciousness... eye contact... feeling born of eye contact, then one is not inflamed by lust for these things. When one abides uninfamed by lust, unattached, unconfused, contemplating danger, then the five aggregates associated with grasping are diminished for oneself in the future, and one’s craving which generates repeated existence, accompanied by relishing & lust, delighting here & there, is abandoned. One’s bodily and mental troubles, torments, and fevers are abandoned, and one experiences bodily and mental bliss.

‘Such a person’s view is right view. Their intention is right intention, their effort is right effort, their mindfulness is right mindfulness, and their samadhi is right samadhi. But their bodily action, verbal action, and livelihood have been well purified earlier. Thus the noble eightfold path is fulfilled for them by development [and also the other wings to enlightenment]. These two qualities, samatha and vipassana, are operating in them yoked evenly together.’ [And so on for the ear, etc.]^x

In the final mode, the meditator seized by restlessness for Dhamma must turn to samatha for a cure.^{xi}

‘How does he steady his mind within himself, settle it, unify it, and concentrate it in samadhi? Here, Ananda, he enters and abides in the first jhana... second jhana... third jhana... fourth jhana.’^{xii}

This restlessness for Dhamma is probably the subtle fetter of restlessness remaining to be cut by the non-returner. It seems that if this restlessness is too strong, the meditator will over-emphasize vipassana at the expense of samatha, whereas if the corresponding ‘lust for form [jhana realms]’ and ‘lust for formless [jhana realms]’ are too strong, they will over-emphasize samatha at the expense of vipassana. These imbalances must be rectified if the path is to manifest. I take the phrase ‘pertaining to the higher understanding’ below to imply, not necessarily an advanced level of vipassana, but understanding of impermanence, etc., rather than ‘worldly’ understanding of action and rebirth, etc.

‘A person who has samatha of the heart within himself but no vipassana into principles pertaining to higher understanding should approach one who has vipassana and inquire: “How should activities be seen? How should they be explored? How should they be discerned with vipassana?” And later he can gain vipassana...

‘A person who has vipassana into principles pertaining to higher understanding but no samatha of the heart within himself should approach one who has samatha and inquire: “How should the mind be steadied? How should it be settled? How should it be unified? How should it be concentrated in samadhi?” And later he can gain samatha...

‘One who has neither should inquire about both [and “should put forth extreme enthusiasm, effort, endeavour, exertion, unflagging mindfulness, and clear comprehension to acquire them, just as if one’s turban or hair were ablaze, one would put forth extreme effort to quench the flames”...^{xiii}]

‘One who has both, established in these beneficial qualities should make further effort for the evaporation of the poisons.’^{xiv}

‘Just as if, Nandaka, there was a four-legged animal with one leg stunted and short, it would thus be unfulfilled in that factor; so too, a monk who is faithful and virtuous but does not gain samatha of the heart within himself is unfulfilled in that factor. That factor should be fulfilled by him... A monk who has these three but no vipassana into principles pertaining to higher understanding is unfulfilled in that factor. That factor should be fulfilled by him.’^{xv}

So all meditators are encouraged to develop both samatha and vipassana, employing a variety of strategies to overcome the diversity of defilements.

‘By a monk established in these five principles [that is: good friendship, virtue of the code of conduct, conversation on Dhamma, energy, wisdom], four principles should be further developed: [meditation on] ugliness should be developed to abandon lust; loving-kindness should be developed to abandon anger; mindfulness of breathing should be developed to cut off thinking; the perception of impermanence should be developed to eradicate the conceit “I am.”’^{xvi}

Bearing in mind this basic understanding of samatha and vipassana, we now go on to examine the three frameworks for practice referred to above. Each of these frameworks contains a balance and harmony between these emotional and intellectual qualities.

‘There is no jhana for one without understanding
No understanding for one without jhana
But for one with both jhana and understanding
Nibbana surely is near’^{xvii}

ⁱ S35.245

ⁱⁱ M104.13

ⁱⁱⁱ D14.1.37 LDB is here, as all too often, thoroughly unreliable.

^{iv} S12.33 cp. D28.2

^v S12.34

^{vi} Cp S46.51, where samatha equals ‘not-many-pointedness’ (*avyagga*, unscattered), an obvious synonym for one-pointedness.

^{vii} A2:3.10

^{viii} A4.170

⁹ At A5.57 however, a parallel phrase occurs, including ‘the path is born’, referring to one who is already a noble disciple.

¹⁰ M106.5, 7. Although Bhikkhu Bodhi says that fourth jhana can be included here as ‘imperturbable’, the reflection on the dangers of physical form makes this unlikely (see MLDB notes 1000, 1007).

¹¹ M149.9-10

¹² Vsm, however, identifies 'restlessness for Dhamma' with the 'taints of vipassana' and recommends more vipassana. See Vsm 20.106, 126f

¹³ M122.7 cp. M19.8-10, M20, M4.22, S40.1

¹⁴ A10.54

¹⁵ A4.94

¹⁶ A9.4

¹⁷ Ud.4.1

¹⁸ Dhṛ 372

CHAPTER THREE:

THE WINGS TO ENLIGHTENMENT

‘Those principles I have taught you having directly known them – that is, the four establishings of mindfulness, the four right efforts, the four bases of psychic power, the five spiritual faculties, the five spiritual powers, the seven enlightenment factors, the noble eightfold path – you should all train in these principles in concord, with mutual admiration, without disputing.’ⁱ

These seven groups, totaling thirty-seven ‘wings to enlightenment’, occur frequently in the suttas, were given unique importance by the Buddha, and became a standard doctrinal framework for all schools of Buddhism. As the most important and comprehensive of these groups, we will discuss the noble eightfold path first.

The Noble Eightfold Path

In the Buddha’s first sermon, the Dhammacakkappavattana Sutta, he warned against pursuing two extremes: devotion to sensuality and devotion to self-torment. He then defined the famous ‘middle way’ of Buddhism which does not go anywhere near the two extremes but leads to peace, direct knowledge, enlightenment, Nibbana. This is precisely the noble eightfold path: right view; right intention; right speech; right action; right livelihood; right effort; right mindfulness; right samadhi. The middleness of the middle way has nothing to do with compromise; it is not the ‘mediocre’ way. It is rather the way which avoids seeking for a solution to life’s problems in externals, in the pleasures and pains of the body, but instead turns inwards to the peace of the mind.

‘Bhante, the Blessed One is not devoted to the pursuit of pleasure in sensuality, which is low, vulgar, common, ignoble, and pointless; nor is he devoted to self-torment, which is painful, ignoble, and pointless. The Blessed One is one who gains the four jhanas which constitute the higher mind, and are a blissful abiding here & now at will, without trouble or difficulty.’ⁱⁱ

Not only here do the suttas, emphasizing that ‘samadhi is the path’,ⁱⁱⁱ stand jhanas in the place of the path as a whole. Even the redactors of the canon gave up trying up to enumerate all of the ways jhana was praised by the Buddha, merely commenting: ‘The collection of connected discourses on jhana should be elaborated just as the collection of connected discourses on the path.’^{iv} Jhana is not an adornment or embellishment of the ‘holy vehicle’, the noble eightfold path, but is the axle – the stable pivot around which revolves the wheel.^v The detailed exposition of the path is as follows.

‘And what, monks, is right view? Knowledge of suffering, of the origin of suffering, of the cessation of suffering, and of the way of practice leading to the cessation of suffering. This is right view.

‘And what, monks, is right intention? The intention of renunciation, of non-ill will, and of non-cruelty. This is right intention.

‘And what, monks, is right speech? Refraining from lying, slander, harsh speech, and frivolous gossip. This is right speech.

‘And what, monks, is right action? Refraining from killing living beings, from stealing, and from sexual misconduct. This is right action.

‘And what, monks, is right livelihood? Here, monks, a noble disciple, having abandoned wrong livelihood, makes his living by right livelihood. This is right livelihood.

‘And what, monks, is right effort? Here, monks, a monk generates enthusiasm, tries, stirs up energy, takes hold of the mind, and strives for the non-arising of unarisen evil, unbeneficial qualities... for the abandoning of arisen evil, unbeneficial qualities... for the arousing of unarisen beneficial qualities... for the stability, non-decline, increase, maturity, and fulfillment of arisen beneficial qualities. This, monks, is right effort.

‘And what, monks, is right mindfulness? Here, monks, a monk abides contemplating a body in the body... a feeling in the feelings... a mind in the mind... a dhamma in the dhammas – ardent, clearly comprehending, and mindful, having removed desire and aversion for the world.

‘And what, monks, is right samadhi? Here, monks, a monk, quite secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities, enters and abides in the first jhana, which has initial & sustained application of mind, and rapture & bliss born of seclusion. With the stilling of initial & sustained application of mind, he enters and abides in the second jhana, where there is inner clarity and unification, without initial & sustained application, but with rapture & bliss born of samadhi. With the fading away of rapture he abides in equanimity, mindful and clearly comprehending, personally experiencing the bliss of which the noble ones say: “Equanimous and mindful, one abides in bliss”, he enters and abides in the third jhana. With the abandoning of bodily pleasure and pain, and the previous ending of mental bliss and suffering, he enters and abides in the fourth jhana, which is without pleasure and pain, but has mindfulness fully purified by equanimity. This, monks, is right samadhi.”^{vi}

The first factor, right view, includes the knowledge of the path itself. This, to start with, is wisdom in its preliminary role of providing a theoretical understanding of the path as a necessary prerequisite for setting out on the journey. A distorted understanding of the path will surely act as an effective block to successfully arriving at the destination. Indeed, there are many meditators who, starting practice with wrong views, interpret their meditation experiences so as to confirm and reinforce their misconceptions.^{vii}

But this intellectual understanding is not enough. It must be accompanied by some feeling for the meaning of suffering, an emotional response to the dilemmas of existence if it is to provide an effective motivation for action. Hence, the second path factor is right intention, the emotional counterpart of right view, which enables understanding to mature into wisdom rather than lapse into cunning. Endowed with these two, one can rightly undertake the virtues.

Right practice of virtue also requires two complementary qualities. Firstly, an understanding of what is right and wrong together with skill in acting appropriately; secondly, a feeling of compassion and fear of wrongdoing as motivation. These two

qualities will work together in meditation as samatha and vipassana until they culminate in the universal compassion and transcendental wisdom of the arahant.

A key benefit of ethical conduct is that it 'leads to samadhi'.^{viii} Of the basic moral precepts, those against killing and harsh speech counter the hindrance of ill will, those against stealing and adultery counter sensual desire, and those against lying and intoxicants counter delusion. Diligence in executing ones duties and responsibilities – an important aspect of virtue – combats sloth & torpor, while sense restraint and contentment further reduce sensual desire. Each facet of virtue eliminates stress, conflict, and suffering, giving rise to a quiet ease and confidence of heart. This curbing of gross defilements lays the groundwork for the more subtle task of samadhi. So it is that the Buddha declared that purity of virtue and correct view are the 'starting point of beneficial qualities', to be established before undertaking meditation.^{ix}

The last three factors of the path constitute the 'aggregate of samadhi'. Right effort, the 'requisite of samadhi', eradicates the hindrance of sloth & torpor. The most interesting of the four right efforts is the last. It points to the importance of non-complacency with whatever good has been achieved. The first task of the meditator who has reached a certain degree of calm is not to rush ahead to insight, but to consolidate samadhi. It may also be born in mind that 'effort' is not a synonym for 'self-torture'.

'A lazy person abides in suffering, overwhelmed by evil, unbeneficial qualities, and destroys a great deal of their own good. One with roused up energy abides in bliss, secluded from evil, unbeneficial qualities, and fulfills a great deal of their own good. It is not by the inferior that the topmost is attained; it is by the topmost that the topmost is attained. This holy life, monks, is the cream, and the Teacher is right in front of you.* Therefore you should rouse up energy for the attaining of the unattained, for the achieving of the unachieved, for the witnessing of the unwitnessed.'^x

Right Mindfulness

Right mindfulness, the 'basis of samadhi',^{xi} is the gatekeeper who lets both samatha and vipassana enter with their message in accordance with reality. Here, *nimitta*, rendered as 'basis', may also mean 'sign', since samadhi is certainly characterized by unobstructed mindfulness; but the intended contextual meaning seems to be that satipatthana is a crucial support for samadhi. We can further conclude that since pre-Buddhist yogis practiced samadhi, they must also have practiced mindfulness. In keeping with the theme of this essay, of the mutual harmony between all aspects of the path, it may be worth looking more closely at the relationship between mindfulness and samadhi.

Mindfulness may be characterized as the quality of mind which recollects and focuses awareness within an appropriate frame of reference, bearing in mind the what, why, and how of the task at hand. Mindfulness as a mental quality plays a crucial role in recollecting the teachings and applying them to the present moment, thus supporting right view. By reminding one of what is right and wrong, it supports the sense of conscience which is vital for virtue. Satipatthana, the 'establishings of mindfulness', refers to a specific and detailed set of contemplative exercises in which mindfulness plays a prominent role. The 'development of satipatthana' refers to the advanced employment of the basic exercises for the contemplation of impermanence. The 'way of practice leading

* Remembering that the Dhamma-vinaya is to be the Teacher when the Buddha has gone.

to the development of satipatthana' is the noble eightfold path.^{xiii} In this analysis, 'satipatthana' seems to have the function of supporting samadhi, the 'development of satipatthana' that of supporting insight, while both of these in the overall context of the path lead to liberation. The idiom 'a body in the body' is elucidated as: 'the in-and-out breaths are a certain body [among the bodies].'^{xiii} It therefore implies focusing on a specific aspect of the overall framework. The explanation that the phrase means 'contemplating the body as a body (and not as a self or soul)' does not quite ring true since the phrase pertains as much to samadhi as to insight.

Satipatthana and the Hindrances

Each of the contemplations in satipatthana is qualified by a set of four terms. The first term, 'ardent' refers to energy; the second, 'clearly comprehending' to understanding; the third is mindfulness itself. The fourth term indicates the abandoning of desire and aversion, which are the chief of the five hindrances.^{xiv} The phrase is not further defined here, but the following verses may be relevant. Bearing in mind that sequence in such verses is often quite loose, we may note that these verses follow teachings chiefly on virtue, including sense restraint, but jhana and samadhi have also been mentioned.

'And then there are these five stains in the world
For the removal of which the mindful one should train.
One should overcome lust for visible forms
Sounds, tastes, smells, and touches.

'Having removed desire for these phenomena,
A monk, mindful, with mind well released
Rightly examining Dhamma at the right time
Unified, he shatters the darkness.'^{xv}

A series of four 'steps of the Dhamma' lists freedom from desire, freedom from ill will, right mindfulness, and right samadhi. It seems that the preliminary subduing of these hindrances through sense restraint, etc., prepares the mind for mindfulness practice, which is the foundation for the complete eradication of hindrances by right samadhi.

'One should abide without desire
With heart free from ill will
Mindful, one should be of one-pointed mind
Well concentrated in samadhi within.'^{xvi}

Contemplation of the hindrances present in the mind is part of the preliminary development of satipatthana. But during the course of practice they must be fully abandoned if one is to realize any 'truly noble distinctions of knowledge & vision beyond human principles', which include jhana, psychic powers, the stages of enlightenment, and satipatthana itself as a factor of the noble path.^{xvii}

'Monks, these five hindrances choke the mind, robbing understanding of its strength. What five?

'Sensual desire; ill will; sloth & torpor; restlessness & remorse; and doubt are obstructions and hindrances.

‘Certainly, monks, that any monk with understanding thus feeble and robbed of strength, not having abandoned these five hindrances which choke the mind, robbing understanding of its strength, would know his own welfare, another’s welfare, or the welfare of both, or witness any truly noble distinction of knowledge & vision beyond human principles: that is not possible.

‘But certainly, monks, that any monk with powerful understanding, having abandoned these five hindrances which choke the mind, robbing understanding of its strength, would know his own welfare, another’s welfare, or the welfare of both, or witness any truly noble distinction of knowledge & vision beyond human principles: that is possible.^{xviii}

It is a common idiom in Pali that the same thing can be spoken of in positive or negative terms, as an attainment or an abandoning. For example, the process of enlightenment can be described either as the successive abandoning of the defilements of self-view, doubt, etc., or as the attaining of the stages of stream-entry, once-return, non-return, and arahant. What then is the positive counterpart of the abandoning of the hindrances?

‘The first jhana, friend, abandons five factors and possesses five factors. Here, friend, when a monk has entered upon the first jhana, sensual desire, ill will, sloth & torpor, restlessness & remorse, and doubt are abandoned. Initial & sustained application of mind, rapture, bliss, and one-pointedness of mind are occurring.^{xix}

The opposition between jhana and the five hindrances is not a one-off or occasional teaching, but is a central doctrine, frequently reiterated. It is even enshrined in the basic cosmology of Buddhism, which sees the worlds of rebirth as corresponding to the level of development of mind. One still bound by sensual desire will be reborn in the sensual realm (*kāmaloka*), while one who attains jhana will be reborn in the Brahma realm. There is no ‘access’ realm.

‘What should be done, Anuruddha, by a clansman who has gone forth thus? While he still does not achieve the rapture & bliss that are secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities, or to something more peaceful than that, desire invades his mind and remains, ill will... sloth & torpor... restlessness & remorse... doubt... cynicism... listlessness invades his mind and remains. When he achieves that rapture & bliss... or something more peaceful than that, desire does not invade his mind and remain, ill will... sloth & torpor... restlessness & remorse... doubt... cynicism... listlessness does not invade his mind and remain.^{xx}

The following statement by Venerable Ananda clarifies the standard the Buddha took for what he considered praiseworthy meditation, able to go beyond the hindrances.

‘What kind of jhana did the Blessed One not praise? Here, someone abides with a heart dominated by and prey to lust for sensual pleasures... ill will... sloth & torpor... restlessness & remorse... doubt. Harboring these qualities within, and not understanding the escape from these qualities in accordance with reality, they do jhana, re-do jhana, out-do jhana, and mis-do jhana. The Blessed One did not praise this kind of jhana.

‘But what kind of jhana did the Blessed One praise? Here, a monk... enters and abides in the first jhana... second jhana... third jhana... fourth jhana. The Blessed One praised this kind of jhana.^{xxi}

Even the transcendental wisdom of the noble ones is unable to suppress the hindrances without the support of jhana.

‘Even though a noble disciple has seen clearly with right understanding in accordance with reality how sensual pleasures provide little gratification, much suffering, much despair, with great danger, as long as he does not attain the rapture & bliss that are apart from sensual pleasures, apart from unbeneficial qualities, he is not uninvolved in sensual pleasures... But when he does attain that rapture & bliss... he is uninvolved in sensual pleasures.’^{xxii}

The Buddha compared jhana to gold. As long as the impurities have not been completely removed, gold is not soft, workable, or radiant.

‘So too, there are these five taints of the mind, tainted by which the mind is not soft, nor workable, nor radiant, but is brittle, and does not have right samadhi for the evaporation of the poisons. What five? Sensual desire, ill will, sloth & torpor, restlessness & remorse, and doubt... But when the mind is released from these five taints, that mind is soft, workable, radiant, not brittle, it has right samadhi for the evaporation of the poisons.’^{xxiii}

The phrase ‘having removed desire and aversion for the world’ may be replaced by ‘samadhi’.

‘Monks, those monks who are new, not long gone forth, recently come to this Dhamma and vinaya should be spurred on, encouraged, and established by you in the development of the four establishing of mindfulness. What four? “Come friends, abide contemplating a body in the body... a feeling in the feelings... a mind in the mind... a dhamma in the dhammas – ardent, clearly comprehending, unified, with clarity of mind, concentrated in samadhi, with mind one-pointed for the knowledge of the body... feelings... mind... dhammas in accordance with reality.”

‘Those monks who are trainees, not yet attained to their heart’s goal, who abide longing for the unexcelled security from bondage, they too abide contemplating a body in the body... a feeling in the feelings... a mind in the mind... a dhamma in the dhammas [in the same way] for the full knowledge of these things.

‘Those monks who are arahants, they too abide contemplating a body in the body... a feeling in the feelings... a mind in the mind... a dhamma in the dhammas [in the same way] but detached from these things.’^{xxiv}

Note that the above passage, as is typical, distinguishes between individuals at various stages of development in terms of the goal, not the mode, of practice. The investigative aspect of satipatthana must be balanced with the emotional qualities of joy and serenity if obstacles are to be overcome and insight is to be deepened. This process can proceed in various modes.

‘Therefore, monk, you should train yourself thus: “My mind will be still and steady within myself, and arisen evil, unbeneficial qualities will not invade my mind and remain.”

‘When this is accomplished, you should train yourself thus: “I will develop the heart’s release through loving-kindness... compassion... admiration... equanimity.”

‘When this samadhi is thus developed and made much of, then you should develop this samadhi with initial & sustained application of mind; without initial but with sustained application of mind; with neither initial nor sustained application of mind; with rapture; without rapture; with enjoyment; with equanimity...

‘When this samadhi is thus developed, well developed, then you should train yourself thus: “I will abide contemplating a body in the body – ardent, clearly comprehending, and mindful, having removed desire and aversion for the world.”

‘When this samadhi is thus developed and made much of, then you should develop this samadhi with initial & sustained application of mind; without initial but with sustained application of mind; with neither initial nor sustained application of mind; with rapture; without rapture; with enjoyment; with equanimity... [And so on for feelings, mind, and dhammas].’^{xxxv}

Here, satipatthana is treated simply as jhana. This probably refers to jhana developed using one of the exercises included in the four satipatthanas. If one’s original mode of satipatthana fails to lead to samadhi, the meditator should find some way to uplift the mind.

‘Thus dwelling contemplating a body in the body [feelings... mind... dhammas...], bodily disturbance arises based on the body [feelings... mind... dhammas...], or the heart is lazy, or the mind is distracted externally. That monk should direct his mind to some inspiring basis. Doing so, gladness is born in him. In one who is glad, rapture is born. In one with rapturous mind, the body becomes tranquil. One with tranquil body feels bliss. In one who is blissful, the mind enters samadhi. He considers thus: “I have accomplished that purpose for which I directed my mind; now let me withdraw.” He withdraws, and neither initiates nor sustains application of mind. He understands: “I am without initial & sustained application of mind, I am mindful and blissful within myself.”’^{xxxvi}

But the simplest expression of the meaning of the phrase ‘having removed desire and aversion for the world’ is this:

‘He understands: “As I abide contemplating a body in the body... a feeling in the feelings... a mind in the mind... a dhamma in the dhammas – ardent, clearly comprehending, and mindful, I am blissful.”’^{xxxvii}

The Four Focuses

1. Body

The contemplation of the body begins with mindfulness of breathing. This is therefore the most fundamental satipatthana practice. If this is borne in mind, the intimacy between satipatthana and samadhi as evidenced in the above passages becomes easily understood.

‘When mindfulness of breathing is developed and cultivated, it fulfills the four establishings of mindfulness. When the four establishings of mindfulness are developed

and cultivated, they fulfill the seven enlightenment factors. When the seven enlightenment factors are developed and cultivated, they fulfill realization and release.^{xxviii}

Body contemplation then continues with development of awareness of the body postures, parts of the body, elements, and corpses. One who develops the contemplation on parts of the body and corpses is said to ‘not neglect jhana’.^{xxix} Contemplation of body postures throughout daily activities seems insufficient to induce jhana; but as the words ‘again and beyond’ at the start of each section of the Satipatthana Sutta indicate, each exercise contributes a part of the overall meditative development. Continuity of mindfulness through the day is crucial to both samatha and vipassana. Of each of these contemplations it is said:

‘As he abides thus diligent, ardent, and resolute, his memories and intentions based on the household life are abandoned. With their abandoning, his mind becomes steadied within himself, settled, unified, and concentrated in samadhi. That is how a monk develops mindfulness of the body.’^{xxx}

The basic purpose of these final three contemplations is to rise above sensuality. If one believes that sensuality is an intrinsic quality of human nature, this objective will seem perverse, an unnatural repression leading inevitably to neurosis. Lust can indeed lead to neurosis if it is unacknowledged and denied. But seeing sensual desire, not as a permanent property, but as a conditioned phenomenon, one is free to investigate its effect on the happiness of the mind. Sensual lust is fuelled by the perception of the attractiveness of the body. By going against the stream of habit, focusing attention on the unattractive aspect of the body, sensual lust can be seen in sharp relief. It is in no way repressed; but deprived of its fuel it simply ceases to operate, and the bliss beyond sensuality can be experienced. Then one knows that the real repression, the real perversion, the real neurosis is the addiction to sensual gratification, locked in place by denying the futility of sense pleasures. But these contemplations do not only bestow the emotional maturity, dignity, and independence that come with freedom from addiction. Being based on inquiry into reality, they also serve to deepen wisdom. The most suggestive description of the contemplation of the parts of the body treats this as a stepping-stone to understanding the consciousness which does not die with the body.

‘There are, Bhante, these four attainments of vision. Here, a certain contemplative or brahman by means of ardor, striving, devotion, heedfulness, and right attention contacts such a form of heart-samadhi that when his mind is in samadhi he reviews this very body, up from the soles of the feet and down from the tips of the hair, surrounded by skin and full of many kinds of impurities thus: “In this body there are head hairs, body hairs, nails, teeth, skin, flesh, sinews, bones, bone marrow, kidneys, heart, liver, diaphragm, spleen, lungs, large intestines, small intestines, contents of the stomach, feces, bile, phlegm, pus, blood, sweat, fat, tears, grease, spittle, snot, oil of the joints, and urine.” This is the first attainment of vision.

‘Again, having done this and gone beyond it, he reviews a person’s skin, flesh, blood, and bones. This is the second attainment of vision.

‘Again, having done this and gone beyond it, he understands a person’s stream of consciousness unbroken on both sides, fixated in this world and in the world beyond. This is the third attainment of vision.

‘Again, having done this and gone beyond it, he understands a person’s stream of consciousness, unbroken on both sides, fixated neither in this world nor in the world beyond. This is the fourth attainment of vision.’^{xxxix}

The opening phrase is unusual, but occurs again later in the same sutta and also in the Brahmajala Sutta;^{xxxii} in both places ‘heart-samadhi’ definitely means jhana. The third attainment is the realization of the passing on of consciousness according to dependent origination, and may therefore pertain to stream-entry. The fourth attainment concerns the arahant. In the following passage, the contemplation of the four elements forms a part of the practice directed towards the ‘imperturbable’.

‘Again, monks, a noble disciple reflects thus: “There are sensual pleasures here & now and sensual pleasures in lives to come. Whatever physical form there is, is the four great elements and physical form derived from the four great elements.” Practicing in this way and frequently abiding thus, his mind becomes clear about this base. When there is full clarity he attains to the imperturbable now or he decides upon understanding. With the breaking up of the body, after death, it is possible that his on-flowing consciousness will pass on to the imperturbable.’^{xxxiii}

Notice the order of the teaching: sensuality; body contemplation; jhana; understanding rebirth. We will return again and again to the intimate connection between the mind in jhana set free from the body and the understanding of the process of rebirth.

2. Feelings

Normally in Buddhism, ‘feeling’ (*vedana*) simply refers to the hedonic or affective tone of experience. The Satipatthana Sutta describes the contemplation of feelings as the understanding of pleasant, painful, and neutral feelings, both carnal and spiritual. However, the equivalent section of the Anapanasati Sutta brings in rapture – the emotional response to pleasant feeling – and mental activities (*cittasa³khara*), which are defined in the context of mindfulness of breathing as feeling and perception.^{xxxiv} This seems to broaden the scope of feelings here as far as ‘emotions’, ‘moods’.

‘What, monks is carnal rapture? Rapture which arises dependent on the five cords of sensual pleasures. What is spiritual rapture? Here, a monk enters and abides in the first jhana... second jhana. What is even more spiritual rapture? Rapture which arises when a monk whose poisons are evaporated reviews his mind released from lust, anger, and delusion.’^{xxxv}

Pleasant and neutral feelings are described in similar terms, except pleasant spiritual feelings apply to the first three jhanas, while neutral spiritual feelings only exist in the fourth jhana. Notice that the arahant’s reviewing knowledge is, as normal, specifically distinguished from the four jhanas. These ‘even more spiritual feelings’ seem to be similar to the ‘feelings based on renunciation’ mentioned elsewhere, which however, since they follow on ‘seeing with right understanding in accordance with reality’, seem broader, pertaining to stream-entry and beyond.^{xxxvi} Occasionally, ‘spiritual rapture’ is used prior to jhana.^{xxxvii}

3. Mind

'Mind' (*citta*), in Pali as in English, can convey a wide variety of connotations. Here, as will be clarified below, it means specifically 'cognition', that is, the faculty of awareness itself, as distinct from associated factors such as feeling, emotion, thought, volition, etc. This inner sense of knowing receives the information conveyed through the senses, supplemented and processed by these associated factors. As the 'lord of the city seated at the crossroads' it is the core of experience; but like any ruler it can maintain its position only with the help of its auxiliaries. The quality of the information received is the critical determinant of the quality of awareness. Also like any ruler, it often seems that the auxiliaries impede rather than facilitate the flow of information. The more we try to see the 'boss', the more we are sidetracked with some underling.

The preliminary stages of this section involve contemplating the mind as colored by the presence or absence of greed, anger, and delusion. These accompanying factors are crude and domineering, and the contemplation necessarily coarse and incomplete. In the later stages the mind is contemplated in its pure form, as 'exalted', 'unexcelled', 'concentrated', 'released' – all terms for jhana. Just as the contemplation of feelings culminates with the supreme equanimity of the fourth jhana, here too the term 'unexcelled' implies the 'unexcelled purity of mindfulness and equanimity' of the fourth jhana.^{xxxviii}

This contemplation of mind, with its implicit distinction between the mind and the defilements which soil it, is reminiscent of the famous – and famously misquoted – statement about the radiant mind. The words 'original mind' or 'naturally radiant' do not occur here or elsewhere in the suttas. The 'radiant mind' is a term for jhana.^{xxxix} Here, 'development of the mind' seems to be a synonym for 'noble right samadhi'. The significance of the radiant mind is simply this – when the lights are on, a clear-sighted person can see what is there.

'Monks, this mind is radiant, but tainted by transient taints. An unlearned ordinary person does not understand this in accordance with reality. That is why I say there is no development of the mind for the unlearned ordinary person.

'Monks, this mind is radiant, and freed from transient taints. A learned noble disciple understands this in accordance with reality. That is why I say there is development of the mind for the learned noble disciple.'^{xl}

4. Dhammas

What does 'dhammas' mean here? The practices detailed below indicate a broader scope than 'mental phenomena'. 'Dhammas' in this context appears in its catchall role, encompassing *what* is experienced (phenomena), *how* experience operates (principles), and the meaningful description of experience (teachings).

The contemplation of mental phenomena, not found in the Satipatthana Sutta as such, is the realization of feelings, perceptions, and thoughts as they rise, remain, and end.^{xli} This 'development of samadhi' is taught between jhana and contemplation of the five aggregates, fruiting in mindfulness and clear comprehension, but falling yet short of release. Mental phenomena are the known, not the knowing; insight frees only when the radical impermanence of consciousness itself is brought within its fold.

The contemplation of dhammas begins with contemplation of the five hindrances present and absent. The diversity of these hindrances demands a diversity of approaches for their elimination. Sensual desire colors the mind like water dyed vivid blue or crimson, making it attractive but opaque.^{xlii} The most important counter measures are sense restraint and the meditation on ugliness. The relation between this hindrance and jhana is further discussed below. Ill will is a fire which heats the mind until it is boiling, bubbling, and steaming. It is overcome by loving-kindness.

‘It is always good for the mindful
The mindful one thrives in bliss
It is better each day for the mindful one
But he is not released from enmity

‘One whose mind all day and night
Finds delight in harmlessness
Who has loving-kindness for all living beings
For him there is enmity with none.’^{xliii}

Sloth & torpor is like a mossy, slimy weed overgrowing the clear waters of the mind. It is overcome by initiative, by putting forth effort, by sustained exertion, by non-complacency; the recommended meditation subject is the perception of light. Restlessness & remorse are like strong winds which lash and stir up the mind into ripples and waves. Restlessness manifests as the inability to stay with one object for a long time.

‘Samatha should be developed to abandon restlessness.’^{xliiv}

Or again:

‘Mindfulness of breathing should be developed to abandon scatteredness of heart.’^{xli v}

Remorse (literally ‘bad-done-ness’) is chiefly worry over breaches of virtue. Restlessness runs on to the future; remorse dredges up the past. Their antidote, keeping the mind content in the here & now, is bliss: the pervasive drenching of the whole field of awareness with serene, sublime, sustained ecstasy. Doubt makes the mind turbid, muddy, and dark. It is overcome by ‘paying attention to the root’.^{xli vi} The ‘root’ or basis of meditation is just the meditation object itself. Unwavering continuity of application defines the object, dispelling doubt. It is worth noting that mindfulness is nowhere singled out as a mental factor capable of effecting the abandonment of any hindrance. Only when working together with the other factors of jhana can this occur.

‘Having thus abandoned these five hindrances, taints of the mind which rob understanding of its strength, he abides contemplating a body in the body... a feeling in the feelings... a mind in the mind... a dhamma in the dhammas...

‘Then the Tathagata leads him further on: “Come monk, abide contemplating a body in the body... a feeling in the feelings... a mind in the mind... a dhamma in the dhammas... but do not apply the mind to these things.” With the stilling of initial & sustained application of mind, he enters and abides in the second jhana...’^{xli vii}

The next section deals with the classic vipassana exercise of observing the rise and fall of the five aggregates.

‘Monks, develop samadhi. A monk with samadhi understands in accordance with reality. What does he understand in accordance with reality? The origin and ending of physical form, feeling, perception, conceptual activities, and consciousness. What is the origin of physical form?... For one who relishes, welcomes, and remains attached to physical form, relishing arises. Relishing for physical form is grasping. Due to grasping as condition there is [ongoing] existence. Due to existence as condition, there is birth. Due to birth as condition, aging & death, sorrow, lamentation, bodily pain, mental suffering, and despair come to be. Thus there is the origin of this entire mass of suffering. [And so on for the other four aggregates.]

‘And what is the ending of physical form?... For one who does not relish, welcome, and remain attached to physical form, relishing for physical form ceases. Due to the cessation of relishing, grasping ceases. Due to the cessation of grasping, [ongoing] existence ceases. Due to the cessation of existence, birth ceases. Due to the cessation of birth, aging & death, sorrow, lamentation, bodily pain, mental suffering, and despair cease. Thus there is the cessation of this entire mass of suffering. [And so on for the other four aggregates.]^{xlviii}

The following, closely related, practice is the observation of the six internal and external sense bases, and the fetters that bind these together.

‘Monks, develop samadhi. A monk with samadhi understands in accordance with reality. What does he understand in accordance with reality? He understands: “The eye is impermanent. Visible forms are impermanent. Eye-consciousness is impermanent. Eye contact is impermanent. Feeling arisen due to eye contact... is impermanent. [And so on for the ear, etc.]^{xlix}

The above passage stops short of connecting impermanence with the fetters of defilement. The following passage makes the connection explicit.

‘What, monks, is the origin of suffering? Dependent on the eye and visible forms arises eye-consciousness. The cooperation of the three is contact. Due to contact as condition, there is feeling. Due to feeling as condition, there is craving. Due to craving as condition, there is grasping. Due to grasping as condition, there is [ongoing] existence. Due to existence as condition, there is birth. Due to birth as condition, aging & death, sorrow, lamentation, bodily pain, mental suffering, and despair come to be. Thus there is the origin of this whole mass of suffering. This monks, is the origin of suffering. [And so on for the ear, nose, tongue, body, and mind.]

‘What, monks, is the end of suffering? Dependent on the eye and visible forms arises eye consciousness. The coming together of the three is contact. Due to contact as condition, there is feeling. Due to feeling as condition, there is craving. But due to the remainderless fading away and cessation of that very same craving, there is the cessation of grasping. Due to the cessation of grasping, [ongoing] existence ceases. Due to the cessation of existence, birth ceases. Due to the cessation of birth, aging, and death, sorrow, lamentation, bodily pain, mental suffering, and despair cease. Thus there is the cessation of this whole mass of suffering. This, monks, is the end of suffering. [And so on for the ear, etc.]¹

The contemplation of dhammas also includes the contemplation of the seven enlightenment factors – mindfulness, investigation of dhammas, energy, rapture,

tranquility, samadhi, and equanimity, discussed in more detail below – and their ‘fulfillment by development.’

The final section is the contemplation of the four noble truths.

‘These four noble truths are the Dhamma taught by me which is unrefuted, undefiled, blameless, not criticized by intelligent contemplatives and brahmans... For what reason was this said? Dependent on the six elements [earth, water, fire, air, space, consciousness] there is the reincarnation of the embryo. When there is this reincarnation, there is mentality & physical form. Due to mentality & physical form as condition there are the six sense bases. Due to the six sense bases as condition, there is contact. Due to contact as condition, there is feeling. It is for one who feels that I declare: “This is suffering; this is the origin of suffering; this is the cessation of suffering; this is the way of practice leading to the cessation of suffering.”’^{li}

The four noble truths are described in detail in the Maha Satipatthana Sutta.^{lii} The first noble truth is the various kinds of suffering headed by birth, aging, and death; the second is ‘that craving which generates repeated existence’; while the third is the ending of that very same craving. The fourth noble truth, the way of practice, is the noble eightfold path as detailed above, including the four jhanas as right samadhi.

INSIGHT

1. Internal and external

Each exercise included in the four establishings of mindfulness concludes with a passage describing three aspects of insight development. First, one contemplates ‘within oneself’ and ‘externally.’

‘Here, good man, a monk abides contemplating a body in the body within himself – ardent, clearly comprehending, and mindful, having removed desire and aversion for the world. As he abides thus he becomes concentrated therein with right samadhi, with right clarity. Rightly concentrated and clear therein, he gives rise to knowledge & vision of the bodies of others externally. [And so on for feelings, mind, and dhammas.]’^{liii}

2.1 Impermanence

The second aspect of insight development is the contemplation of the principles of origination and dissolution. In the second noble truth and dependent origination, origin refers to how, fueled by desire for the phenomena of experience, one creates kamma, which propels consciousness into ‘appearance in repeated existence in a womb in the future’,^{liv} that is, rebirth. Cessation refers to cutting off the supply of fuel for the generation of new suffering in the future. ‘Ending’ (*atthagama*) or ‘cessation’ (*nirodha*) mean ‘altogether completely in every way entirely non-existing whatever and wherever.’^{lv} This is carefully distinguished from mere change of state.

‘There are these three characteristics of conditioned phenomena: arising is found; falling is found; change while persisting is found.’^{lvi}

Despite the best attempts of the commentarial theorists, this statement remains incompatible with any explanation of impermanence in terms of momentariness. An

‘ultimate’ unit of time is a conditioned phenomenon. It therefore begins, changes in the middle, and ends. But the ‘sub-moments’ are also conditioned, so they too must have a beginning, middle, and end, and so on *ad infinitum*. Moreover, if the beginning has a beginning, middle, and end; and the middle and the end also have a beginning, middle, and end, the distinction between these events is not evident – the theory lacks explanatory power.

Similar considerations apply not only in the ‘horizontal’ dimension of impermanence, but in the ‘vertical’ dimension of not-self as well. According to the commentaries, the so-called ‘person’ can be fully analyzed at the ultimate level into a finite set of mental and physical constituents called ‘dhammas’, with no further analysis possible. This is compared with breaking a vehicle down into its parts. But this example reveals the flaw in attempting to pin down ontological primacy to any particular level of reality. The vehicle considered in one way is a whole, but considered in another way is a part of a greater whole – ‘traffic’, for example. And the parts of the vehicle considered in one way are parts, but considered in another way are wholes – a whole wheel, for example – made up of their constituents. Any mental or physical event – ‘dhamma’ – is a conditioned (‘compounded’) phenomenon. It can therefore be analyzed into its constituents. But the constituents, too, are conditioned and therefore analyzable – again we disappear into infinity. Just as any ‘ultimate’ moment of time turns out to be a complex, evolving process, any ‘ultimate’ constituent of being turns out to be a complex, conditioned conglomerate.

Impermanence and not-self no more imply ultimate units of time or being than conditionality implies an ultimate first cause. No such theories are found in or derivable from the suttas. ‘Dhamma’ as used in the suttas does not refer to discrete entities existing in their own right, but to aspects of lived experience. The trend towards treating ‘dhammas’ in terms of an immutable, definitive set of highly specialized doctrinal categories may have had the effect of ‘objectifying’ the domain of insight, distracting attention from the essence of awareness. Venerable Ñānamoli, the translator of the *Visuddhimagga*, once commented that by reversing the invariable sutta presentation of the five aggregates, the *Visuddhimagga* when describing consciousness in fact mostly deals with the other aggregates. This trend might be interpreted as the theoretical counterpart of the diminution of the role of the pure consciousness of samadhi in the development of insight. The following passage gives a more typical example of the suttas’ pragmatic approach to impermanence.

‘Long ago, monks, there was a teacher called Araka, a ford-crosser, free from lust for sensual pleasures, with many hundreds of disciples. Araka the teacher taught Dhamma to his disciples thus: “Short is the life of humans, limited and trifling, with much suffering, much despair. Wake up by using your mind! Do good! Live the holy life! There is none born who does not die! Just as a drop of dew on the tip of a blade of grass when the sun rises... Just as a bubble in the water appears when it rains... Just as a line drawn on water with a stick... Just as a mountain stream, traveling far, fast flowing, sweeping all before it, never pausing for a moment, a second, an instant, but ever going on, rolling on, surging on... Just as a man might form a gob of spit on the tip of his tongue and spit it out easily... Just like a lump of meat thrown on an iron griddle which has been heated all day... Just like a cow being led to the slaughter, with each step she is closer to being killed, closer to death; in the same way the life of humans is like a cow to be slaughtered, limited and trifling, with much suffering, much despair. Wake up by using your mind! Do good! Live the holy life! There is none born who does not die!”

‘Now at that time, monks, the life span of humans was sixty thousand years, and girls were marriageable at five hundred years. Humans had only six afflictions: cold, heat, hunger, thirst, excrement, and urine. And yet even though humans were of such long life, duration, and little affliction, still Araka the teacher taught Dhamma in that way... But now who lives long lives but a hundred years or a little more... I have done for you what should be done by a teacher seeking the welfare of his disciples out of compassion. Here, monks, are roots of trees, here are empty huts. Practice jhana, monks! Do not be negligent! Do not regret it later! This is our instruction to you.’^{lvii}

The distinction between the Buddha’s teaching and Araka’s would seem to be not in the conception of impermanence as such, but in its consistent application to worlds other than the human, including the Brahma realms to which Araka no doubt aspired. The impermanence of the body and the mind are contrasted thus:

‘An ordinary unlearned person could experience repulsion, fading away, and release from this body made up of the four great elements. For what reason? Because the increase, decrease, taking up, and laying down of this body is seen...

‘An ordinary unlearned person is not able to experience repulsion, fading away, and release from that which is called “mind” (*citta*), or “cognition” (*mano*), or “consciousness” (*viññāna*). For what reason? For a long time this has been clung to, appropriated, and misapprehended: “This is mine, I am this, this is my self”...

‘It would be better for an ordinary unlearned person to regard this body made up of the four great elements as self, rather than the mind. For what reason? This body is seen to last for... up to a hundred years or more. But that which is called “mind,” or “cognition,” or “consciousness”; by day and by night it rises as one thing and ceases as another, just as a monkey journeying through the forest grabs a branch, and having released it, grabs another...

‘Therein a learned noble disciple carefully attends just to dependent origination...’^{lviii}

The ordinary person’s release from the body would be jhana. They can then see the mind, but without understanding dependent origination they cannot see past it. ‘Repulsion’ towards the mind is the exclusive province of the noble ones, and therefore pertains only to the noble paths.^{lix} This is in line with such stock phrases as: ‘Seeing thus, the learned noble disciple becomes repulsed toward physical form [etc.]’ Rise and fall in satipatthana are similarly described in terms of dependent origination, as a careful examination of the following clauses will show.

‘The body originates due to the origination of nutriment; the body ends due to the cessation of nutriment. Feelings originate due to the origination of contact; feelings end due to the cessation of contact. The mind originates due to the origination of mentality & physical form; the mind ends due to the cessation of mentality & physical form. Dhammas originate due to the origination of attention; dhammas end due to the cessation of attention.’^{lx}

2.2 Impermanence of the Body

‘Nutriment’ here is material food.

‘Just as if a painter or an artist with paint or resin of turmeric, blue, or crimson were to create an image of a man or a woman on a well-polished board or a canvas; in just the same way, if there is lust, relishing, and craving for material food, consciousness becomes fixated there and grows. Where consciousness is fixated and growing, there is the reincarnation of mentality & physical form... the growth of conceptual activities... appearance in repeated existence in the future... birth, aging, and death in the future... that is sorrowful, depressing, and full of despair, I say.’^{lxvi}

2.3 Impermanence of Feelings

‘Contact’ is the normal condition for feeling in dependent origination (and is also the condition for perception and conceptual activities).^{lxvii}

[When contemplation of the five material elements is completed:] ‘Further, monk, there remains only consciousness, purified and bright. And what does one cognize with that consciousness? One cognizes: “pleasure” – “pain” – “neither pain nor pleasure.” Dependent on a contact to be felt as pleasant arises pleasant feeling. Feeling that pleasant feeling, one understands: “I feel a pleasant feeling.” One understands: “Due to the cessation of just that contact to be felt as pleasant, the corresponding pleasant feeling... ceases and stills.” [And so on for painful and neutral feelings.]

‘Just as from the contact and friction of two sticks, heat is born, fire appears, and due to the separation and laying down of those same two sticks that corresponding heat ceases and stills...

‘And further there remains only equanimity, purified and bright, soft, workable, and radiant. Suppose a skilled goldsmith or goldsmith’s apprentice were to prepare a furnace and heat the crucible, take some gold in a pair of tongs and place it in the crucible. Then from time to time he would blow air, from time to time he would sprinkle water, and from time to time he would watch over with equanimity. The gold would become refined, well refined, thoroughly refined, cleansed, rid of dross, soft, workable, and radiant, and could be used for whatever kind of adornment be desired, whether bracelets, earrings, necklaces, or golden garlands. So too, there remains only equanimity, purified and bright, soft, workable, and radiant.

‘He understands thus: “If I were to apply this equanimity so pure and bright to the base of infinite space... the base of infinite consciousness... the base of nothingness... the base of neither perception nor non-perception, and develop my mind accordingly, this equanimity of mine, dependent on that, grasping that as its fuel, would endure for a long time...”’ He understands thus: “That is conditioned [by conceptual activities].”

‘He does not form any volition or act of will for existence or non-existence... He does not grasp at anything in the world. Not grasping, he is not anxious. Not being anxious, he personally attains final Nibbana. He understands: “Birth is destroyed; the holy life has been lived; what was to be done has been done; there is no returning to this state of existence.”

‘If he feels a pleasant... painful... neither painful nor pleasant feeling he understands: “That is impermanent...” “That is not adhered to...” “That is not relished...” He feels it

* Rebirth in these realms is measured in tens of thousands of aeons.

as one detached. Feeling a feeling of the ending of the body he understands: “I am feeling a feeling of the ending of the body.” Feeling a feeling of the ending of life he understands: “I am feeling a feeling of the ending of life.” He understands: “With the breaking up of the body, at the end of life, all that is felt, not being relished, will become cool right here.”

‘Just as a lamp burning dependent on oil and wick, with the finishing of that oil and wick, without any other fuel, would be quenched with no fuel...’^{lxiii}

2.4 Impermanence of the Mind

‘Mentality’ is feeling, perception, volition, contact, and attention. ‘Physical form’ is the elements of earth, water, fire, and air, as well as derived physical form.^{lxiv} These correspond with the first four of the five aggregates (physical form, feeling, perception, conceptual activities), the ‘stations for consciousness’.^{lxv} They support consciousness (*viññāna*, here equivalent to ‘mind’, *citta*) in a number of ways. Physical form directly supports consciousness as the external objects of the senses, as well as in the subtle ‘forms’ – images, etc. – which, though appearing in mind-consciousness, retain certain physical qualities, such as color and shape. In addition, physical form makes up the body, which provides a roost for consciousness. The components of mentality can support consciousness directly as object, or indirectly by performing essential cognitive functions. These factors weld the diverse elements of experience into the elaborate superstructures of language and concepts, an aspect prominent in the Pali word for mentality – *nama*, literally ‘name’. *Nama* in the suttas excludes consciousness, and so is not a blanket term for the four mental aggregates. All this is external, or objective, to consciousness, defining, determining, and delimiting subjective experience. This interdependent dualism forms the invariable structure of experience from the time of conception throughout life and beyond.

‘If, Ananda, consciousness were not reincarnated in the mother’s womb, would mentality & physical form take shape in the mother’s womb?’

‘No, Bhante.’

‘If, Ananda, consciousness having been reincarnated in the mother’s womb were to be miscarried, would mentality & physical form appear into this state of existence?’

‘No, Bhante.’

‘If, Ananda, the consciousness of a young boy or girl were to be cut off, would mentality & physical form attain to growth, increase, and maturity?’

‘No, Bhante.’...

‘If, Ananda, consciousness were not fixated in mentality & physical form, would the production of birth, aging, death, and the origin of suffering in the future be found?’

‘No, Bhante.’^{lxvi}

It is simply the nature of the mind to pass away, but it is not content to leave no trace; instead, the inner world of the mind becomes amplified through the force of kamma into the worlds of rebirth, also called ‘stations for consciousness’.^{lxvii} This is a characteristically

Buddhist expression of one of the universal spiritual insights: microcosm and macrocosm. The mind is the conceiver, the mother of the 'self'. Like any good mother she dotes on her offspring, the bewitching phantasmagoria on the mind's inner screen, forgetting that all those fancyings, imaginings, and schemings are nothing more than the projections of her own delusion. She is like beautiful, pitiful Narcissus, stung by Cupid's arrow and hopelessly enchanted by his own image in the water which, when he bends to embrace it, vanishes into ripples. The concept of self in turn services his mother like a loving son, fertilizing the mind's seeds to ensure an abundant crop of suffering in times to come. It is this idea of self which sticks a label saying 'I, me, mine' on the diverse items of baggage in experience, which one then feels obliged to claim and lug around. Moment to moment, year to year, life to life, the baggage changes but the message on the labels stays the same. Thus the incestuous fascination of the mind with its makings is the thread which weaves the fabric of time.

'Thus far, Ananda, could one be born, age, die, pass away, and be reborn; thus far is the range of designation; thus far is the range of language; thus far is the range of concepts; thus far is the domain of understanding; thus far whirls the round for describing this state of existence, that is: mentality & physical form together with consciousness.'^{lxviii}

Some of the most refined concepts postulate a transcendent reunion of the self and the mind, conceived as some kind of 'cosmic awareness', 'ground of being', or 'original mind'. Yet even this essentially Oedipal union is seen through by the stream-enterer who, disowning the illegitimate progeny of 'self', has embarked on the journey beyond the end of the world: the ultimate cessation of consciousness with all its conceptual baggage.

'Just as when ghee or oil is burnt, neither ashes nor soot are to be found, so too when Dabba Mallaputta rose into the air and, seated cross legged in the sky, attained final Nibbana after attaining to and withdrawing from the fire element, his body burnt up and neither ashes nor soot were to be found.'

And the Blessed One, realizing the meaning of that, was then inspired to utter:

'The body broke up, perception ceased
Feelings all disappeared
Conceptual activities were calmed
And consciousness came to an end.'^{lxix}

2.5 Impermanence of Dhammas

'All dhammas are produced by attention.'^{lxx} Attention as basis for dhammas here emphasizes the pre-eminent role of wisdom in the final satipatthana, as also in the equivalent final four steps of mindfulness of breathing. Nor should it be ignored that the Buddha regularly prefaced his Dhamma teaching with: 'Pay attention!' Attention has the fundamental role of directing the mind skillfully or unskillfully in any situation.

'Due to not paying attention to dhammas unfit for attention and to paying attention to dhammas fit for attention, unarisen poisons do not arise and arisen poisons are abandoned. He pays attention to the root: "This is suffering"... "This is the origin of suffering"... "This is the cessation of suffering"... "This is the way of practice leading to the cessation of suffering." Paying attention in this way, three fetters are abandoned in him: identity view, doubt, and misapprehension of virtue & vows.'^{lxxi}

It is of no little significance that just the consistent application of common sense to spiritual problems lays at the heart of the Buddha's teaching. Before the Buddha appeared on the scene, the prevailing religious observance lay at the furthest possible remove from common sense – the sacrifice. The intimacy between birth and death is obvious, since all living things subsist on the corpses of other living things: Mother Nature is fertilized with the blood of her own children. The unquestioned addiction to creation of more life demands the creation of ever more death, and so anything from grains to ghee, from goats to elephants, from virgins to kings was enthusiastically offered up. The solace of this symbolic identification with the cycle of life and death was so meaningful that many of the human victims were volunteers. There is a logic at work here, a logic of correlation not causation, of perception not knowledge; the appalling, inescapable logic of the world, perfectly embodied in the sacrifice: death is the condition for birth. It is therefore the most seemingly mundane and obvious of the Buddha's insights which is in fact the most radical and revolutionary.

‘And then when Vipassi Bodhisatta had entered his dwelling, living privately on retreat, the reflection occurred to him: “Alas, the world has fallen into misery, in that it is born, ages, dies, passes away, and re-arises, and does not understand the escape from this suffering, from aging & death. Might there be found an escape from this suffering, from aging & death?” Then it occurred to Vipassi Bodhisatta: “When what exists is there aging & death? What is the condition for aging & death?” Then Vipassi Bodhisatta had the penetration by understanding due to paying attention to the root: “When birth exists there is aging & death. Birth is the condition for aging & death.”^{1xxxii}

All the factors (dhammas) of dependent origination are likewise penetrated by paying attention to the root. By pointing out the suffering inherent in birth, the Buddha sets the tone for all Buddhist theory and practice, seeing the calming, stilling, ending of creation as true happiness.

‘What nine dhammas are very helpful? The nine dhammas rooted in paying attention to the root. In one paying attention to the root, gladness is born. In one who is glad, rapture is born. In one with rapturous mind, the body becomes tranquil. One with tranquil body feels bliss. In one who is blissful, the mind enters samadhi. With mind in samadhi, one knows & sees in accordance with reality. Knowing & seeing in accordance with reality, one is repulsed. Being repulsed, lust fades away. Due to fading away, one is released. These are the nine dhammas that are very helpful.’^{1xxxiii}

This passage suggests the meaning of ‘paying attention to the root’: a systematic, thoroughgoing inquiry, not distracted by superficial issues, which focuses awareness precisely where it counts, staying at that point until it opens up to wisdom, and the mind moves not onwards, but inwards; not from one leaf to another to another, but from a leaf to a branch to the trunk and all the way down to the roots.

3. KNOWLEDGE

The third aspect of insight development involves the establishing of mindfulness only for a measure of knowledge and mindfulness. Venerable Anuruddha details the kinds of knowledge obtainable by satipatthana. These knowledges are said to be ‘for one with samadhi, not for one without samadhi.’^{1xxxiv}

‘It is from the development and making much of these four establishings of mindfulness that I have attained such great direct knowledge... I directly know a thousand worlds...’ ‘I recollect a thousand aeons...’ ‘I wield the various kinds of psychic powers...’ ‘I have the purified divine ear, which surpasses the human...’ ‘I understand the minds of other beings...’ ‘I understand in accordance with reality the possible as possible, and the impossible as impossible...’ ‘I understand in accordance with reality the fruits of undertaking actions past, future, and present...’ ‘...the goals of all paths of practice...’ ‘...the many and various elements...’ ‘...the various dispositions of beings...’ ‘...the spiritual faculties of other beings...’ ‘...the defilement, cleansing, and emergence of jhanas, liberations, samadhi, and attainments...’ ‘I recollect various past lives...’ ‘I understand with the divine eye how beings pass on according to their actions...’ ‘I enter and abide, due to the evaporation of the poisons, in the poison-free release of heart, release by understanding, having witnessed it here & now with my own direct knowledge.’^{lxxv}

INDEPENDENCE

The insight section of the Satipatthana Sutta concludes with the following statement:

‘One abides independent, not grasping at anything in the world.’^{lxxvi}

For advanced practitioners this is taught as the comprehensive detachment from any aspect of existence.^{lxxvii} But another aspect of ‘independence’ is particularly relevant to stream-entry.

‘The four satipatthanas are taught and described by me for the abandoning and surmounting of these dependencies on views connected with former times and dependencies on views connected with times to come.’^{lxxviii}

These passages may perhaps be illustrated in the light of the famous Kaccayana Sutta. This sutta links the same terms – dependence, grasping, world – with the contemplation of impermanence to explain the knowledge of stream-entry as a radical paradigm shift, overturning philosophical assumptions so fundamental that they are rarely even acknowledged, still less questioned.

‘“Right view, right view” is said. Now what, Bhante, does “right view” refer to?’

‘Kaccayana, this world is for the most part dependent on the dualism of existingness and non-existingness. One who sees the origin of the world with right understanding in accordance with reality has no notion of “non-existingness” regarding the world. One who sees the cessation of the world with right understanding in accordance with reality has no notion of “existingness” regarding the world.

‘This world is for the most part shackled by approaching, grasping, and insistence. But for that approaching, grasping, standpoint of the heart, insistence, and inherent compulsion one does not approach, grasp, or take a stand on the idea “my self”. What arises is just suffering arising; what ceases is just suffering ceasing. One is not uncertain and does not doubt; one has knowledge regarding this which is not reliant on another. This is what “right view” refers to.

‘ “All exists”’: this is one extreme. “All does not exist”’: this is the second extreme. Avoiding both these extremes, the Tathagata teaches Dhamma by the middle method. [That is, by dependent origination.]^{lxxxix}

The extremes of ‘existingness’ and non-existingness’ refer not to the simple idea that things do or don’t exist, but to that attitude which assumes these concepts to interpret the world. ‘Existingness’ is the idea that things exist independently, in and of themselves. ‘Non-existence’ does not seem to imply total absence, but is a regular synonym for ‘cessation’; here however implying that such self-existing entities disappear without trace, with no continuity of cause and effect.^{lxxx} The Buddha’s position regarding existence is quite clear. While he denies that there are any eternally existing phenomena, or that entities vanish without trace, he asserts that the five aggregates exist as impermanent processes driven by conditions.^{lxxxii}

These theories, far from being abstruse abstractions of the intellectual dilettantes, are right at home here in the world of beings. In Buddhism, the ‘world’, or the ‘all’, also means ‘that in the world by which one is a perceiver and conceiver of the world’,^{lxxxiii} that is, the six internal sense bases. These internal sense bases only operate when stimulated by the six kinds of external sense objects, with mind objects as sixth. The world of experience therefore depends on the duality of inner and outer.^{lxxxiii} The inner world is the nucleus around which orbits the outside world as reported by the external sense objects. So most beings conceive themselves at the center of the world. While relying on six-sense experience it is impossible to go beyond the world; wherever we go we take our world with us.^{lxxxiv} Further: how we relate to or conceive the world of phenomenological experience is tangled up with how we conceive ourselves. If the phenomena of experience exist in their own right, not relying on conditions, and hence not subject to cessation with the cessation of conditions, our own self, the hub of the world, must likewise exist eternally. But if the phenomena of experience just vanish leaving no trace, our self too must be cut off and annihilated. At this point philosophical musings assume a stark urgency. The fear of death – the basic motivation for spiritual practice – arises from the conception of a self (i.e. grasping, *upadana*) existing in time (i.e. existence, *bhava*). It depends on the ability to infer beyond the immediate sphere of experience, to imagine that one may or may not be able to have more of this pleasure – or more of this pain – in the future. The views of eternalism and annihilationism thus interpret lived experience in relation to the destiny of the individual. The eternalist longs for birth without death, an unnatural impossibility, while the annihilationist, intent on gratification here & now, has destroyed any possibility of transcendence through spiritual practice.

We may postulate an approximate correlation between the two dualities, that of existence and non-existence, and that of inner and outer. One who emphasizes the inner world, a ‘spiritual’ or ‘religious’ person, an ‘introvert,’ is likely to hold an eternalist view, such as of an eternally existing soul. One who emphasizes the outer world, an ‘objective’ or ‘scientific’ person, an ‘extrovert,’ is likely to lean towards such opinions as that the mind is merely an emergent property of matter or behavior and will disappear at death. But since these views only allow part of experience, they fail to account for the manifoldness of the world. The theory of a creator God, for example, is notoriously unable to explain the fact of suffering. Any such eternalist view encounters similar dilemmas. Placing the highest spiritual good, conceived as god, soul, mind, or whatever, in any kind of relation with anything in the world soils what should be free of suffering with what must be bound up with suffering. Falling back on non-existence solves nothing. The social and environmental devastation accompanying the growth of a sophisticated technological science with little ethical or spiritual dimension is a cogent reminder that external

development fails to meaningfully address the problem of suffering. Such inadequacies generate tension between theory and reality, undermining the solace afforded by these views.

Interestingly, the sutta does not say that the world usually depends on one or other of the extremes, but on the dualism of both. This suggests that the fact of dualism itself, inherent in the structure of experience, is even more fundamental than its expression as particular views. Dualism implies a division, a marking-off, a boundary. Language delineates this boundary, making a measurement. 'This' implies 'not that'; 'now' implies 'not then'; 'I' implies 'not you'. This dualistic paradigm, embedded in the building blocks of language, is reflected in successively more sophisticated linguistic structures, finally emerging as full-blown conceptual theorems. The views tend to be framed in terms of one or other of the extremes; but it is difficult to deny one necessary half of experience. One of the blessings of stream-entry is the relief from such tensions, as one's whole psychic structure is attuned to one coherent worldview. This occurs when the duality of the inner and outer sense bases is seen as neither existing intrinsically nor vanishing without trace but as coming to be dependent on conditions.

'All these contemplatives and brahmans who hold settled views about the past and the future, and assert on sixty-two grounds various conceptual theorems referring to the past and the future, experience these feelings only by repeated contacts through the six bases of contact. Due to feeling as condition, there arises in them craving. Due to craving as condition, there is grasping. Due to grasping as condition, there is [ongoing] existence. Due to existence as condition, there is birth. Due to birth as condition, aging & death, sorrow, lamentation, bodily pain, mental suffering, and despair come to be. When a monk understands in accordance with reality the origin, ending, gratification, danger, and escape regarding the six bases of contact, then he understands what lies beyond all these views.'^{plxxxv}

The various views about the world do not arise all at once as full-blown theories, but emerge gradually. The initial encounter with the world seems innocuous enough, but the gratification sets up a desire, then an expectation, then a demand, and finally an unquestioned and absolute identification of a 'self' with that experience. But it is right at that point that the most radical tenet of the Dhamma turns the world on its head. That very 'self' in which one invests such hopes, from which one derives such solace, is nothing but suffering through and through. But suffering is itself a conditioned phenomenon, so it too must pass away. The contemplation of impermanence, by examining the world from the inside, building up a view from experience rather than imposing it from preconceptions, rejects all simplistic abstractions. It has nothing to fear from any kind of experience.

The origin of the world is the origin of suffering, which is 'that craving which generates repeated existence.' Understanding this, one could never commit the fallacy of annihilationism. The cessation of the world is the cessation of suffering, which is the realization of Nibbana as the ending of craving. Understanding this, one could never commit the fallacy of eternalism.

The contemplation of impermanence by way of dependent origination not only avoids the extremes of theory, but also the extremes of practice. By placing the conditioned, fickle, yet tamable mind at the center of the world, dependent origination avoids the complacency of indulgence and the despair of self-torment. The twofold extremes of 'existingness' and 'non-existingness' call for a twofold meditative response: samatha and

vipassana. Samatha is a joyous plunge into the inner waters of the mind, and together with the dissolution of the five external senses dissolves the cynical reductionism which insists that only externals are real. Vipassana in its turn discloses the radical discontinuity of consciousness, dispelling the smugly naïve belief in an eternal soul. Since it is only suffering that ceases, the gradual stilling of activities is experienced as exquisite bliss by the meditator. One can at last be truly independent, as one experiences for oneself the fading away of suffering. Faith in this process of peace enables the meditator to loosen, then untie the shackles binding the mind to the world, free of the fear that there could be any kind of existing 'self' to be destroyed. This is the right view of the stream-enterer.

'Activities are impermanent
Their nature's to rise and fall
Having arisen, they cease
Their stilling is bliss'^{xxxvi}

Conclusion: Satipatthana and Insight

Contemplation of rise and fall refers not to an objective, pseudo-scientific scrutiny of phenomena 'out there', independent of the observer, but to seeing the way the mind entangles itself in the world, altering what is known by the very act of knowing. This implies that it is the understanding of the mind itself, the faculty of knowing, which is the crucial element in the delicate process of disentanglement. Mind consciousness is the resort of the five senses, the functional center coordinating experience, and the subjective sense of individual identity linking life to life. While samadhi plays an important role in all aspects of insight, its 'home base' is the contemplation of mind. So samadhi is the straight road from virtue to wisdom, focusing attention right at the heart of the matter and providing a perspective for the other aspects of insight.

RIGHT SAMADHI

'On the occasion when a noble disciple intends to go forth from the home life into homelessness, he is like the celestial coral tree of the Tavatimsa deities when the leaves turn brown.

'On the occasion when the noble disciple shaves off hair and beard, puts on the dyed robe and goes forth from the home life into homelessness, he is like the celestial coral tree...when the leaves fall.

'On the occasion when the noble disciple...enters and abides in the first jhana, he is like the celestial coral tree...when it is budding.

'On the occasion when the noble disciple...enters and abides in the second jhana, he is like the celestial coral tree...when the shoots appear.

'On the occasion when the noble disciple...enters and abides in the third jhana, he is like the celestial coral tree...when the blossoms form.

'On the occasion when the noble disciple...enters and abides in the fourth jhana, he is like the celestial coral tree...when the flowers are like the red lotus.

‘On the occasion when the noble disciple, due to the evaporation of the poisons, enters and abides in the poison-free release of heart, release by wisdom, having witnessed it with his own direct knowledge, he is like the celestial coral tree of the Tavatimsa deities when it is in full bloom.

‘On that occasion the earth deities proclaim the news: “The venerable one of such & such a name, the student of such & such a venerable one, who went forth from such & such a village or town, has witnessed...the evaporation of the poisons!”... And right at that moment, that instant, the news soars up [through the various orders of deities] as far as the Brahma realm. Such is the majesty of one who has evaporated the poisons.’^{lxvii}

Right samadhi brings together the other seven path factors, issuing in right knowledge and release.

‘How well described by the Blessed One who knows and sees, the arahant, the fully enlightened Buddha are the seven requisites of samadhi for the development and fulfillment of right samadhi. What seven? Right view, right intention, right speech, right action, right livelihood, right effort, and right mindfulness. One-pointedness of mind with these seven factors as requisites is called noble right samadhi with its vital conditions and with its requisites.’^{lxviii}

I take ‘one-pointedness of mind’ in the context of jhana to imply singleness both of consciousness and of object. That is, jhana occurs based on mind-consciousness only, without the diversity of sense consciousness; and this mind-consciousness knows just one thing. ‘Point’ (or ‘acme’) has superlative connotations difficult to capture in translation. It should not be taken to imply that jhanas are a cramped, narrow state of mind; rather, they are regularly described as ‘vast, exalted, measureless’.

Doubt sometimes arises as to whether or not all activity of the five external senses must cease in jhana. From the evidence of the suttas, this certainly seems to be the case. The jhana formula begins with ‘quite secluded from sensual pleasures’. *Kama* can, in a typical Pali idiom, refer to either the inner attraction to the senses, or to the sense objects themselves. Here, the following phrase ‘secluded from unbeneficial qualities’ obviously includes sensual desire, so ‘secluded from sensual pleasures’ should refer to, or at least include, the sense objects. The suttas regularly contrast the plurality of sense experience with the unity of jhana, and so the Buddha said that: ‘Noise is a thorn to the first jhana.’^{lxix} The meaning of this statement can be understood in the light of the statements which follow: ‘Initial & sustained application of mind are a thorn to the second jhana. Rapture is a thorn to the third jhana’ and so on. Initial & sustained application of mind are incompatible with the second jhana, cannot exist in it, and if they arise they signify that one has fallen away from second jhana. So too, sound – and by extension the other sense objects – are incompatible with the first jhana, cannot exist in it, and if they arise they signify that one has fallen away from first jhana. The following passage bears on this question.

And then Venerable Maha Moggallana addressed the monks: ‘Here, friends, when I had attained imperturbable samadhi on the bank of the Sappinika River, I heard the sound of elephants plunging in, crossing over, and trumpeting.’ [On which the Buddha commented:] ‘The meaning is that that samadhi was not fully purified. Moggallana spoke truthfully.’^{lxx}

This episode presumably refers to Venerable Maha Moggallana's brief but troubled period of striving for enlightenment. 'Imperturbable' usually means at least fourth jhana. If hearing sounds in samadhi were normal, no explanation would be required.

The four jhanas may be regarded as the ultimate manifestation of the psychology of bliss. The first jhana occurs with the ending of the five external senses and the five hindrances. Relieved of these burdens, the mind is buoyant and at ease, solely preoccupied with one object, the subtle reflection of the mind derived from the basic meditation subject. Because of the nearness of sense activity and defilement, however, the mind must still actively apply itself to that object, first placing then pressing. This pressing causes the object to recede slightly, so the mind must be re-placed, and so on in an automatic process which causes a slight ripple or wavering in awareness. As the mind gains more inner confidence it no longer needs to apply itself, but can simply be at one with the object. The pulsing effect fades away, leaving the clear stillness characteristic of the second jhana. The third jhana is marked by a maturing of the emotional response to blissful feeling. The refined thrill of rapture deepens into the impartial watchfulness of equanimity. Mindfulness and clear comprehension, though like equanimity present from the first jhana, come to the fore as one is fully immersed in bliss without being elated by it. But even that purified bliss exerts the softest of pulls and must be let go as the mind settles down into the fourth jhana – pure bright watchfulness. Equanimity is now present as both affective tone and emotional attitude, allowing mindfulness to reach its maximum clarity and power.

The relative stability of the four jhanas can be gauged from the duration of the rebirth they generate. First jhana – one aeon; second jhana – two aeons; third jhana – four aeons; fourth jhana – five hundred aeons.^{xcii} The inconceivable duration of these rebirths is a powerful affirmation of the supremacy of good over evil – it seems that the maximum period of rebirth in hell is 'only' one aeon.

Right samadhi is further described as having five factors: 'suffusion with rapture, suffusion with bliss, suffusion with heart, suffusion with light, it is the basis of reviewing.'^{xciii} The 'basis of reviewing' is samadhi as a basis for the investigative reviewing knowledge developed upon emerging from jhana.

'Just as if, monks, one should review another, or one standing should review one sitting, or one sitting should review one lying down, in the same way a monk has the basis of reviewing well apprehended, well attended, well borne in mind, well penetrated with understanding.'^{xciii}

According to the brahman student Subha:

'I do not see such a fulfilled noble aggregate of samadhi outside of Buddhism amongst other contemplatives and brahmans.'^{xciv}

It is associated with five knowledges:

'Monks, develop samadhi that is measureless, masterly, and mindful. When samadhi is developed that is measureless, masterly, and mindful, five personal knowledges arise. What five? The personal knowledge arises: "This samadhi is blissful now and results in bliss in the future." ... "This samadhi is noble and spiritual." ... "This samadhi is not cultivated by bad men." ... "This samadhi is peaceful and refined, tranquil, unified, not

actively controlled or constrained.” ... “I enter and emerge mindfully from this samadhi.”^{xxcv}

These descriptions neither imply nor are congruent with the concepts of ‘momentary samadhi’ or transcendental ‘path-moment’ samadhi. They simply refer to the practice of jhana by one developing the noble path.

The conception of the path as support for noble right samadhi might be described in this way. The course of meditation for one on the noble path has been smoothed by the right view that has eliminated or lessened certain defilements, such as doubt or sensual desire, according to the stage of progress. Furthermore, at the actual time of developing samadhi, the previously developed right view manifests as a clear awareness of what is beneficial and what is unbeneficial, as well as an understanding of the causal relationships between mental factors leading to peace of mind. The path factor of right intention manifests as undistracted application to the meditation object, while the previously purified virtue gives rise to the gladness of non-remorse. Together with energy and mindfulness, these factors lead to jhana. These jhanas as noble right samadhi itself are similar in their basic functions and natures to jhana practiced outside the eightfold path. However, due to the exceptional purity of the factors instrumental in their attaining, they are singularly pellucid and tranquil, yielding an intuitive flowering of wisdom. Upon emerging mindfully, the noble one will review the jhana with wisdom. They will see that the bliss in that jhana arose from letting go, and that continuing that process of letting go will culminate in the ultimate bliss of Nibbana.

Noble right samadhi closes the circle: although the last factor of the path, its fruit is the perfection of the first factor, the right view normally associated with the stream-enterer. The path includes the truths, the truths include the path. The Dhamma is like a hologram image: when it is broken, each fragment contains not a part, but the whole of the original image.

‘Monks, develop samadhi. A monk who has samadhi understands in accordance with reality. What does he understand in accordance with reality? He understands: This is suffering; this is the origin of suffering; this is the cessation of suffering; this is the way of practice leading to the cessation of suffering.’^{xxcvi}

THE BASES OF PSYCHIC POWER

These are: enthusiasm, energy, mind, and inquiry.

‘Dependent on enthusiasm [...energy... mind... inquiry], one gains samadhi, one gains one-pointedness of mind; when endowed with active striving, this is the base of psychic power consisting of enthusiasm [...energy... mind... inquiry].’^{xxcvii}

Thus these are various mental qualities which predominate in gaining samadhi. ‘Enthusiasm’ is a wholesome mode of desire; not the worldly desire to ‘be’ or ‘have’, but especially in this context of samadhi, the desire to know. Both enthusiasm and energy are compassed within the path factor of right effort in its role as ‘requisite of samadhi’. ‘Inquiry’ is wisdom in its mode of investigation into the reasons for progress or decline in meditation. ‘Mind’ here denotes samadhi itself. The word ‘mind’ (*citta*) is a common

synonym for samadhi. It is not defined further here, but as it is a basis for psychic power, there is no doubt as to the meaning.

“That a monk without refined, peaceful, tranquil, and unified samadhi could wield the various kinds of psychic power...or witness the evaporation of the poisons: that is not possible.”^{xcviii}

An interesting analysis details the manner of developing all four of these factors.

“Here, monks, a monk develops the basis of psychic power consisting of samadhi due to enthusiasm [...energy...mind...inquiry] and active striving, thinking: “Thus my enthusiasm will be neither too slack nor too tense, and it will neither constricted within [due to sloth & torpor] nor distracted externally [due to sense pleasures].” He abides perceiving before and after: “As before, so after; as after, so before; as below, so above; as above, so below; as by day, so by night; as by night, so by day.” Thus with heart open and unenveloped, he develops a mind imbued with luminosity.”^{xcix}

‘As below, so above’ is explained in the sutta with reference to the meditation on the parts of the body ‘upwards from the soles of the feet, and downwards from the tips of the hairs’. ‘As before, so after’ probably refers to evenness in attending to the meditation subject throughout the session. The phrase ‘well apprehended, well attended, well borne in mind, well penetrated with understanding’ is used here just as with the ‘basis for reviewing’, implying that reviewing and inquiring into causes is a key to developing this evenness.

Given the importance of this inquiry into causes, we might postulate a causal relationship between the bases of psychic power themselves, in line with similar relationships described elsewhere. Enthusiasm is the wish, the motivation to do the work of purifying the mind. Effort is the actual exerting of energy to do the work. The purified mind is the result of that work. And in the clarity of the purified mind, the causes and conditions for that purity can be discerned through inquiry. The manner in which these qualities work together may be compared to an electric light. Enthusiasm is like the voltage in the circuits. Energy is like the current of electricity which flows when the switch is turned on. The mind is like the globe lighting up. And when the room is lit, it is easy to see what is there. Anyone who has ever had to search for something in a dark room would know that the quickest way, if one must grope in the dark, is to grope first of all for the light switch.

THE SPIRITUAL FACULTIES AND POWERS

The spiritual faculties and powers are simply different aspects of the same set of principles,^c which are: faith, energy, mindfulness, samadhi, and understanding.

‘Bhante, a noble disciple who is absolutely dedicated to the Tathagata, with full confidence would not doubt or be uncertain about the Tathagata or the Tathagata’s dispensation. It is to be expected, Bhante, of a faithful noble disciple that he will abide with energy aroused for the abandoning of unbeneficial qualities and the undertaking of beneficial qualities, that he will be strong, firm in exertion, not shirking his responsibility regarding beneficial qualities. His energy is his spiritual faculty of energy.

‘It is to be expected, Bhante, of a faithful noble disciple whose energy is aroused that he will be mindful, endowed with supreme mindfulness and mastery [over the senses], able to remember and recall what was said and done long ago. His mindfulness is his spiritual faculty of mindfulness.

‘It is to be expected, Bhante, of a faithful noble disciple whose energy is aroused and whose mindfulness is established that, having made relinquishment the support, he will gain samadhi, he will gain one-pointedness of mind. His samadhi is his spiritual faculty of samadhi.

‘It is to be expected, Bhante, of a faithful noble disciple whose energy is aroused, whose mindfulness is established and whose mind is concentrated in samadhi that he will understand: “Inconceivable is samsara’s beginning. For beings hindered by ignorance and fettered by craving, a starting point of roaming on and faring on is not found. But the remainderless fading away and cessation of that dark mass of ignorance – that state is peaceful, that state is sublime, that is, the samatha of all activities, the relinquishment of all belongings, the evaporation of craving, fading away, cessation, Nibbana.” His understanding is his spiritual faculty of understanding.

‘That faithful noble disciple, having thus repeatedly practiced striving, remembering, samadhi, and understanding has full confidence thus: “These principles which I had previously only heard about I now abide having personally contacted, and see having penetrated with understanding.”^{ci}

These principles are conveniently elaborated in groups of four, bringing them in line with the corresponding path-factors: faith should be seen in the four factors of stream-entry (faith in the Buddha, Dhamma, Sangha, and perfect virtue), energy in the four right efforts, mindfulness in the four satipatthanas, samadhi in the four jhanas, and understanding in the four noble truths.^{cii} The description of understanding in the above passage may be seen as an expansion of the usual definition as ‘the noble and penetrative understanding of arising and ending which leads to the complete evaporation of suffering.’^{ciii} The phrase ‘having made relinquishment the support, one gains samadhi’ is sometimes followed by the full jhana formula.^{civ} The spiritual powers are defined similarly, the sole difference being the absence of the phrase ‘having made relinquishment the support.’^{cv} Since the spiritual faculties and powers are identical, it may be inferred that that phrase does not add anything crucial to the definition, or justify extending the scope of the spiritual faculty of samadhi beyond the four jhanas. Each of the spiritual faculties and powers are further described as being developed together with each of the four jhanas.^{cvi} One practicing in this way, even for the duration of a finger snap, ‘does the Teacher’s bidding, responds to instruction, and does not waste the nation’s almsfood.’

Strikingly, the texts set the spiritual faculties apart from the other sets comprised within the wings to awakening by treating them under all four of the noble truths, not just the fourth.^{cvii} This treatment foreshadows the terminological development, already nascent in the suttas, of ‘faculty’ as a general phenomenological classification parallel to the aggregates, sense bases, and elements. The spiritual faculties themselves are conditioned; considered in this way it becomes meaningful to speak of the ‘origin, ending, gratification, danger, and escape’ in the case of mindfulness, samadhi, understanding, etc. ‘Origin and ending’ refers to the conditioned nature of the spiritual faculties, to be understood by way of dependent origination. This is the task of the spiritual faculty of

understanding itself, defined precisely as understanding rise and fall. So to possess the faculties, one must understand the faculties; and to understand the faculties, one must understand dependent origination. The faculties should be seen as arising dependent on each other, and this interdependence serves as a paradigm for understanding phenomenal actuality in general. This ‘understanding in accordance with reality’ is repeatedly identified with the stream-enterer.^{cvi} Hence, although as mental qualities they are to be developed by the ordinary person, only with the entrance to the noble path do they gain control, becoming the predominating dispositions in their own field, thus meriting the title ‘spiritual faculties’. Although the term ‘spiritual faculties’ may be used in a more general sense, this is not identified with the group of five.^{cix} Not only does the presence or absence of the spiritual faculties serve to distinguish between the ordinary person and the noble ones, the relative strength of the faculties is a key criterion for classifying the noble ones in terms of spiritual potential, level of attainment, and mode of practice.

The phrase ‘having made relinquishment the support’ is probably best explained in the light of such passages as the following, describing how the noble ones meditate. In this passage, ‘taints of the mind’ does not refer, as elsewhere, to the five hindrances, but to the manifestations of greed, anger, and delusion abandoned by the stages of the noble path.

‘When he has given up, expelled, released, abandoned, and relinquished [the taints of the mind] in part, he thinks: “I am endowed with confirmed confidence in the Buddha [...Dhamma...Sangha].” He gains inspiration in the meaning, inspiration in the Dhamma, gladness connected with the Dhamma. In one who is glad, rapture is born. In one whose mind is rapturous, the body becomes tranquil. One with tranquil body feels bliss. The mind of one who is blissful enters samadhi.’^{cx}

‘Confirmed confidence’ is the clarity from having undergone the experience of forever relinquishing defilements, thereby walking in the Buddha’s footsteps, seeing the Dhamma, and becoming one of the noble Sangha. Here, the spiritual faculty of faith is predominant in the meditation subject; energy is implied in the undertaking of meditation; while mindfulness is the act of recollecting. Samadhi here is obviously ‘noble right samadhi’, equivalent to the spiritual faculty of samadhi. The word for ‘relinquishment’ (*patimissagga*) is a slightly different form than in the spiritual faculty of samadhi (*vossagga*), but the meaning here seems substantially identical. We may understand that the unique occurrence of the phrase ‘having made relinquishment the support’ before the jhana formula in the definition of the spiritual faculty of samadhi is linked to the restriction of the spiritual faculties to the noble individuals. Only they have their course of meditation smoothed by the root-level eradication of defilements. In the above sutta, the monk next develops the divine abidings, and then wisdom is indicated:

‘He understands thus: “There is this, there is the inferior, there is the superior, and beyond there is an escape from this whole field of perception.”’

The phrase ‘field of perception’ invites comparison with such passages as the Potthapada Sutta.^{cx} ‘This’ would then refer to the divine abidings; ‘the inferior’ to the sensual realm; ‘the superior’ to the formless liberations; while ‘the escape’ is Nibbana. So the spiritual faculties, relying on the partial relinquishment of defilements by the trainees, mature into the total relinquishment of defilements by the arahant.

THE ENLIGHTENMENT FACTORS

“They lead to enlightenment, therefore they are called enlightenment factors.”^{cxii}

The seven enlightenment factors enjoy a prestige second only to the eightfold path itself. They are: mindfulness, investigation of dhammas, energy, rapture, tranquility, samadhi, and equanimity. Generally, they may be regarded as an articulation of the meditative component of the path complementary to the bases for psychic powers and the spiritual faculties. The very first sutta of the collection on the enlightenment factors, however, emphasizes the indispensability of virtuous ethical conduct as their foundation.^{cxiii}

‘Mindfulness’ and ‘investigation of dhammas’, though including the recollection and investigation of teachings, primarily refer to the discriminative contemplation of presently arisen mental phenomena. ‘Energy’ and ‘tranquility’ include both physical and mental aspects. ‘Rapture’ and ‘samadhi’ occur either with initial & sustained application of mind (in the first jhana) or without (in the higher jhanas). ‘Equanimity’ is the crowning quality of samatha, reaching perfection in the fourth jhana and the fourth divine abiding. The Pali canon lacks explicit definitions of some of these factors; however the expected identifications are available in the Sarvastivadin recension of the Bojjhanga Samyutta: mindfulness = the four satipatthanas; energy = the four right efforts; samadhi = the four jhanas.

An outstanding feature is the opposition between the enlightenment factors and the five hindrances. This is presented both as a general qualitative appraisal of the two sets as leading to or obstructing enlightenment, and as a specific analysis, especially in terms of the distinctive ‘nutriment’ for each factor. The gradual assimilation of the nutriments through repeated attention nurtures the growth of either good or bad qualities. Sometimes a specific enlightenment factor opposes a specific hindrance. The nutriment for ‘investigation of dhammas’ – discriminating between good and bad – is what starves the hindrance of doubt. The nutriments of energy – the elements of arousal, of exertion, of persistence – starve the hindrance of sloth & torpor. Tranquility opposes restlessness & remorse. Samadhi is nurtured by ‘the basis of samatha, the basis of not-many-pointedness’.^{cxiv} The suttas do not directly oppose samadhi and rapture to particular hindrances, but elsewhere the commentaries set them against sensual desire and ill will respectively. Equanimity, along with tranquility and samadhi, serves to pacify the excited mind, whereas investigation, energy, and rapture rouse up the sluggish mind. Mindfulness is always useful. Mindfulness, rapture, and equanimity are not allocated specific nutriments; perhaps the Buddha is throwing us back on our own ‘investigation of dhammas’ to discern these.

Each of the enlightenment factors may be developed in conjunction with various meditation subjects: a skeleton; a decaying corpse; the divine abidings; mindfulness of breathing; and the perceptions of ugliness, death, the repulsiveness of food, boredom with the whole world, impermanence, suffering, not-self, abandonment, fading away, and cessation. Developed in this way, these meditations are of great fruit and benefit, lead to arahantship or non-returning, to great good, security from bondage, a sense of urgency, and a comfortable abiding.^{cxv}

One passage describes the successive emergence of the enlightenment factors induced by recollecting the Dhamma of the noble ones. Here, ‘spiritual rapture’ seems to encompass rapture arisen prior to jhana, but as prelude, not surrogate.

‘On an occasion when a monk abiding withdrawn remembers and thinks over that Dhamma, the enlightenment factor of mindfulness is aroused, developed, and fulfilled. Abiding thus mindfully, he investigates, explores, and inquires into that Dhamma with understanding... His energy is roused up and persistent... Spiritual rapture arises... In one who is rapturous, the body and mind become tranquil... In one whose body is tranquil, there is bliss. The mind of one whose body is tranquil and who is blissful enters samadhi... One watches closely with equanimity the mind thus concentrated in samadhi.’ [Thus all the factors of enlightenment are roused, developed, and fulfilled.]^{cxvi}

Samatha and vipassana are intimately interlaced through all these teachings. It is noteworthy, though, that most of these factors pertain to samatha, while only investigation of dhammas pertains specifically to vipassana, and even this is chiefly treated in its mode of supporting samadhi. Indeed, these seven dhammas sometimes simply substitute for samadhi in the grouping: virtue, dhamma, understanding.^{cxvii} The emphasis throughout is simply on the development of the mind. Many terms used with these principles – ‘seclusion’, ‘fading away’, ‘cessation’, ‘relinquishment’, ‘abandoning’, ‘ending’, ‘stilling’, and others – are also used of the four jhanas, vipassana, and even Nibbana itself, underlining the essential kinship of these facets of the path and the goal.

THE WINGS TO ENLIGHTENMENT: CONCLUSION

Examining these thirty-seven wings to enlightenment, consistent patterns emerge. The sequences start with more basic qualities: faith, or enthusiasm, or energy. The placing of investigation of dhammas near the start of the enlightenment factors is reminiscent of the placing of right view at the start of the eightfold path. With energy and mindfulness in operation, the mind develops the joy which leads to samadhi, ripening in liberating wisdom. Fundamental principles of the nature of the mind are embodied in these formulations. At each stage the emotional and intellectual qualities are balanced and support each other, while the variations warn against taking an overly rigid approach to development. In the next chapter we will examine in more detail the relationships between these qualities.

‘Thus, Ananda, beneficial virtues gradually flow on to the top most.’^{cxviii}

ⁱ M103.3

ⁱⁱ D28.19 Note: this paragraph is mistakenly numbered as 20 in the PTS Pali.

ⁱⁱⁱ A6.64

^{iv} S53

^v see S45.4

^{vi} D22.21, M141.23-31, S45.8

^{vii} D1.1.31ff

^{viii} e.g. A4.52

^{ix} S47.3

^x S12.22

^{xi} M44.12. See Appendix 1

^{xii} S47.40

^{xiii} M118; bracketed phrase omitted at S54.10, 13, 16

^{xiv} *Domanassa* = ill will at SN1106 = A3.32

^{xv} Sn974, 975

^{xvi} A4.29 cp. A4.30

^{xvii} Vin Pj4.4

^{xviii} A5.51

^{xix} M43.20

-
- xx M68.6
xxi M108.26-27
xxii M14.4 cp. M68.6
xxiii A5.13
xxiv S47.4
xxv A8.63
xxvi S47.10
xxvii S47.10
xxviii M118.15
xxix A1: 20. Although I refer to this chapter a few times, it is a highly schematic passage, probably assembled quite late, and in part incongruous. For example, one who develops right speech is said to 'not neglect jhana', which does not make much sense even if we interpret jhana here as broadly as 'meditation.'
xxx M119.4ff
xxxi D28.7
xxxii D1.1.31
xxxiii M106.4
xxxiv M44.14
xxxv S36.31 cp. Thag 85
xxxvi M137.11, 15
xxxvii e.g. S46.3 quoted below
xxxviii M54.22-24, M53.20-22
xxxix S46.33, A5.23
xl A1: 6.1,2
xli D33.11 etc
xlii These similes at A3.193
xlili S1.813, 814
xliv A6.116
xlv A6.115
xlvi A1.2
xlvii M125.22-25 condensed
xlviii S22.5
xlix S35.99 cp. S35.160
l S12.43 cp. S12.44, 45
li A3.61
lii D22
liii D18.26
liv VinMv6.31
lv D15.4
lvi A3.47
lvii A7.70
lviii S12.61
lix contra AA pg. 732
lx S47.42
lxi S12.64
lxii S22.56, S22.82, etc.
lxiii M140.19-24
lxiv S12.2
lxv S22.55 etc
lxvi D15.21
lxvii D15.33
lxviii D15.22
lxix Ud8.9
lxx A10.58
lxxi M2.10, 11
lxxii D14.2.18. Incidentally, in the phrase appearing below at D14.2.21 'the vipassana path to enlightenment', the word 'vipassana' was inserted into the PTS Pali from the commentary despite lacking any manuscript support.

-
- lxxiii D34.2.2
lxxiv A6.64
lxxv S52.6, 11-24
lxxvi M10.5ff, D22.2ff
lxxvii e.g. M143
lxxviii D29.40
lxxix S12.15
lxxx see S44.10
lxxxi S22.94
lxxxii S35.116
lxxxiii S35.93
lxxxiv See S1.2.26 and Bhikkhu Bodhi's note 182 in CDB
lxxxv D1.144
lxxxvi D16.6.10 etc.
lxxxvii A7.65
lxxxviii D18.27 cp. S45.28, A7.42, M117.3
lxxxix A10.72
xc Vin Pj 4
xci A4.123. An aeon is probably the period from one Big Bang to the next.
xcii D34.1.6 cp. A5.28, D2.81
xciii A5.28
xciv D10.2 cp. S45.14
xcv D34: 1.6, A5.27
xcvi S56.1
xcvii S51.13 condensed
xcviii A6.70
xcix S51.20
c S48.43
ci S48.50
cii S48.8
ciii S48.9
civ S48.10
cv A7.4, A5.14, A5.15
cvi A1.20
cvii S48.2-7
cviii e.g. S48.2, 3, see too S22.109, M2.11, etc.
cix M26.21
cx M7.8
cxii D9.10ff quoted below. See too Iti 72, 73
cxiii S46.5
cxiv S46.1
cxv S46.51
cxvi S46.57ff
cxvii S46.3
cxviii This grouping occurs several times in the suttas, usually glossed by the commentary as 'dhammas pertaining to samadhi', but as far as I know only explained in the suttas at Iti 3.97 as 'the seven dhammas that are wings to enlightenment', which is often translated as 'the seven sets of dhammas that are wings to enlightenment'. Now it is well known that the thirty-seven are not called 'wings to enlightenment' in the suttas. The term is used of the spiritual faculties, however, and as there are many descriptive terms common to both the spiritual faculties and the enlightenment factors, I feel it would be easier for an epithet to transfer from one set to another than from one set to the whole group of sets. Moreover, 'dhamma' is more idiomatically used of the members of the set rather than the set as a whole.
cxviii A10.1

CHAPTER FOUR:

DEPENDENT LIBERATION

‘Monks, one who is virtuous, endowed with virtue, need not form the volition: “May I be free from remorse.” It is natural that the virtuous are free from remorse.

‘One who is free from remorse need not form the volition: “May I be glad.” It is natural that in one free from remorse, gladness arises.

‘One who is glad need not form the volition: “May I feel rapture.” It is natural that one who is glad feels rapture.

‘One who feels rapture need not form the volition: “May my body be tranquil.” It is natural that one who feels rapture has a tranquil body.

‘One whose body is tranquil need not form the volition: “May I feel bliss.” It is natural that one whose body is tranquil feels bliss.

‘One who is blissful need not form the volition: “May my mind be concentrated in samadhi.” It is natural that one who is blissful has samadhi.

‘One who has samadhi need not form the volition: “May I know & see in accordance with reality.” It is natural that one who has samadhi knows & sees in accordance with reality.

‘One who knows & sees in accordance with reality need not form the volition: “May I be repulsed [from suffering].” It is natural that one who knows & sees in accordance with reality is repulsed.

‘One who is repulsed need not form the volition: “May lust fade away.” It is natural that lust fades away in one who is repulsed.

‘One whose lust has faded away need not form the volition: “May I witness the knowledge & vision of release.” It is natural that one whose lust has faded away witnesses the knowledge & vision of release...

‘Thus, monks, dhammas flow on to and fulfill dhammas for crossing over from the near to the far shore.’ⁱⁱ

Causality

I have coined the term ‘dependent liberation’ for this doctrinal framework, elsewhere known as ‘transcendental dependent origination’. Many versions occur in the suttas, but with a common pattern, displaying the conditional unfolding of liberation as an evolution of ever more refined qualities. All of the variations follow the sequence virtue, samadhi,

wisdom. Often these broad categories are subdivided, revealing their inner structure as comprised of further conditional sequences. Such passages as the above, which treat the samadhi section in most detail, occur throughout the suttas. An important version explicating the virtue section is given below, starting with ‘mindfulness and clear comprehension’. Variations of this version occur often, but only in the Anguttara Nikaya, reflecting the pragmatic orientation of that collection. Wisdom is highlighted in the seven purifications. Some understanding of the principles of causality is helpful to fully appreciate the significance of this teaching.

Causes can be analyzed as two kinds: necessary conditions and sufficient conditions. A necessary condition is one whose absence makes it impossible for the result to occur. For example, a necessary condition for being a woman is being a human being; if one is not a human being, it is impossible to be a woman. A sufficient condition is one whose presence makes it inevitable that the result will occur. For example, a sufficient condition for being a human being is being a woman; if one is a woman, it definitely follows that one is a human being. The two need not, as in the examples above, be exclusive. For example, a necessary and sufficient condition for being a human being is being a featherless biped; if one is not a featherless biped, one cannot be a human being and if one is a featherless biped, it definitely follows that one is a human being. Or a condition may be neither necessary nor sufficient. For example, being a boy is neither necessary nor sufficient condition for becoming an adult human being, but merely conducive.

This distinction is critical. If in the thought-world of the suttas ‘x is a condition for y’ means ‘x is a sufficient condition for y’, this leaves open the question whether other things may also be sufficient. Virtue, samadhi, and understanding lead to Nibbana; but there may be another, or a myriad of other paths up the same mountain. If however, ‘x is a condition for y’ means ‘x is a necessary condition for y’, we must regard all ‘x’ – each of the factors subsumed within such a causal relationship – as being indispensable. One mountain, one path. The general formula for causality in dependent origination, known as ‘specific conditionality’,ⁱⁱ is this:

‘When this exists, that is; due to the arising of this, that arises.
When this does not exist, that is not; due to the cessation of this, that ceases.’

The first pair of principles appears to imply sufficient conditionality. However, a close contextual examination reveals that this is not so.

‘That noble disciple, content with the virtues beloved of the noble ones, does not try further for seclusion by day and retreat by night. Abiding thus negligent, gladness does not arise. When there is no gladness, there is no rapture. When there is no rapture, there is no tranquility. When there is no tranquility, he abides in suffering. The mind of one who suffers does not enter samadhi. Dhammas do not manifest in a mind without samadhi. Because dhammas do not manifest he is called an abider in negligence.’ⁱⁱⁱ

If such sequences were based on a concept of sufficient conditionality, there would be no point in making an effort. Further, the phrase ‘feeling is the condition for craving’ would render the holy life ineffectual. There are in fact many conditions left unstated in these contexts. The suttas make no claim to completeness rather than usefulness in their analysis of causality. In the context of practice, sufficiency applies only in that a noble disciple must eventually attain liberation even if, as above, they are ‘mightily negligent’.^{iv} The second pair of clauses are explicitly identified as necessity:

‘If there were not the birth of those various kinds of beings into the various states [of existence]; when all kinds of birth do not exist, due to the cessation of birth, would aging & death be found?’

‘No Bhante.’^v

Below we shall see the kinship between dependent origination and dependent liberation, like an image and its reflection, expressed in various ways in the suttas. All the main similes illustrating dependent liberation likewise imply necessity – the rain filling the mountain streams, the leaves sustaining the tree, or each stage of a journey bringing one to the next.^{vi} This means that these passages embody an invariable law of nature: unless these conditions are fulfilled, it is impossible that the result can occur.

Our first passage above, however, shows a more active process than mere necessity, a vigorous positive inducement. The main emphasis is not that the absence of the conditions immediately destroys the resultant phenomena, but that without the supporting conditions the results are not nourished to fulfillment and completion. Although this does not apply to all causal relationships found in the suttas, it is an important aspect of the contexts dealing with practice – they have a direction, a forward impetus. Following the way of the world, the sequence of dependent origination starting with ignorance, one is swept along the stream of birth, aging, and death. But the sequence starting with faith and virtue will sweep one along to Nibbana.

Some writers have tried to reduce Buddhist conditionality to the ‘mutuality condition’, that is, when two factors act as a mutual support for each other, as when two sheaves of straw lean against one another. But this type of condition, though important, is restricted to a few contexts. In many contexts, this relation is expressly denied.^{vii} It would be difficult to establish from the suttas that the normal expressions for conditional relations can mean, in and of themselves, ‘conascent’ (or ‘mutuality’) condition. The few occasions where such a relation is discussed emphasize how abstruse and unusual this was regarded.^{viii} And even here the standard conditional clause does not alone bear the burden of expressing two-way conditionality. Conascence is explained instead with two separate mirror-image statements of one-way conditionality.

This lack of full mutuality is explicitly affirmed in the relationship between samadhi and wisdom. In the Potthapada Sutta, the Buddha first describes ‘perception’ in terms of the successive refinement of perception through the jhanas leading to insight knowledge. Perception deals with how things seem; knowledge with how they are.

‘But Bhante, does perception arise first and knowledge afterwards, or does knowledge arise first and perception afterwards?’

‘Perception, Potthapada, arises first, knowledge afterwards; due to the arising of perception there is the arising of knowledge. One understands thus: “It seems that my knowledge arose due to that condition.”’^{ix}

This is like a mother’s relationship with her child. A child as they mature may give their mother as much support and help as they are able, but can never repay the gift of life. Similarly, wisdom can act as a supporting condition to deepen clarity of awareness, but can only see its way to do so dependent on clarity already present.

Three main modes of the wisdom which precedes samadhi can be discerned. Firstly, conceptual understanding from hearing and reflecting on the teachings. Second, investigation into the causes of samadhi. Third, vipassana proper – the clear-eyed discernment of conditioned reality. Now obviously these three aspects are closely linked, and the mention of any one of them in a particular context should normally be understood by way of emphasis, not exclusion. A thread unifying all these modes is that wisdom is described in some sort of relation to samadhi, not apart from it. This preliminary understanding serves various preparatory functions, but only with the ripening of the other path factors does it mature into the wisdom that really counts – ‘knowledge & vision in accordance with reality’. The prevailing general paradigm – that clarity of awareness is necessary for understanding – for all its vagueness of application elsewhere, assumes in this highest sense a rigorous invariability.

‘It is, monks, just like a house with a peaked roof. As long as the roof peak has not been set in place, the rafters are not steady, not stable. But when the roof peak has been set in place, then the rafters are steady and stable. So too, as long as noble knowledge has not arisen in the noble disciple, the [other] four spiritual faculties are not steady, not stable. But when noble knowledge has arisen in the noble disciple, the [other] four spiritual faculties are steady and stable.’^x

The rafters of faith, energy, mindfulness, and samadhi must be set up before the roof peak of noble understanding can be set in place. They are the necessary antecedent conditions for liberating wisdom. Understanding in its turn fortifies and firmly fixes the four already existing faculties. This kind of ‘feedback loop’ may have quite a general application – any subsequent quality can strengthen previously developed qualities. However, this should not distract from the prevailing paradigm of sequential conditions.

‘That one could fulfill the aggregate of understanding without having fulfilled the aggregate of samadhi: that is not possible.’^{xi}

The Buddha did not teach causality with such philosophical pleasantries as ‘Everything is interrelated.’ He taught specific conditionality – *this* is the cause of *that*. The same patterns occur over and over. Craving is the cause of suffering; but just as the lotus grows in the mud, the experience of suffering is the essential motivation for the spiritual practice which will end suffering.

In the Upanisa Sutta, the connection between the normal dependent origination and the liberating sequence is made explicit.^{xii} The sequence starts out as usual with ignorance leading up to birth and suffering; but suffering gives rise not to despair, but to faith. This is the difference made by paying attention to the root. In the spiritual faculties, virtue is included in faith.^{xiii} This emotional conviction then triggers the same sequence of joy, rapture, tranquility, bliss, samadhi, insight, and release that was described above beginning with virtue. The sequence of twelve factors, beginning with suffering, is the positive counterpart of the twelve factors of the cessation mode of the normal dependent origination. Intriguingly, dependent origination in the mode of origination is called ‘the wrong way of practice’, just as the wrong eightfold path, while the mode of cessation is called ‘the right way of practice’, just as the right eightfold path. This collapses the distinction between theory and practice, between the middle teaching and the middle way.^{xiv}

The Maha Nidana Sutta^{xv} also describes the ending of suffering in positive terms, by focussing on two kinds of arahants. The first is ‘released by understanding’. Here this is

described as understanding the origin, ending, gratification, danger, and escape in the case of the seven stations of consciousness and two bases. These are all planes into which rebirth can occur depending on the level of development of consciousness, with particular emphasis on meditative attainments. Here the identity is clear between consciousness as a link in dependent origination, as the mind states developed in meditation, and as the stream of consciousness flowing on to rebirth in the various worlds. The second kind of arahant is the ‘both-sides released’, who is distinguished by fluency in all the meditative attainments. Thus the transparent mind of samadhi has a key role throughout in unraveling the tangle of samsara.

‘This dependent origination is profound, and appears profound. Not awakening to and not penetrating this principle, this generation has become like a tangled ball of string, matted like a bird’s nest, entangled like a mass of coarse grass, and does not evolve beyond lower realms, bad destinies, the abyss, and samsara.’^{xvi}

A tangled ball of string will never untie itself, and so too the mind entangled in worldly affairs will never loosen the knot of suffering. The key difference between enlightened and unenlightened beings is their sincerity of devotion to spiritual practice.

‘For the fool [as for the sage], hindered by ignorance and fettered by craving, this [conscious] body has been acquired. Thus there is the duality of this body and external mentality & physical form. Dependent on this duality, there is contact. There are just six sense bases, contacted through which – or through one of them – the fool [and the sage] experience pleasure and pain. What then is the difference, the distinction, between the fool and the sage?’...

‘For the fool, this ignorance has not been abandoned and this craving has not been evaporated. For what reason? Because the fool has not lived the holy life for the complete evaporation of suffering. Therefore with the breaking up of the body, the fool passes on to a [new] body. He is not released from birth, aging, death, sorrow, lamentation, bodily pain, mental suffering, and despair; he is not released from suffering, I say.

‘But for the sage, this ignorance has been abandoned and this craving has been evaporated. For what reason? Because the sage has lived the holy life for the complete evaporation of suffering. Therefore with the breaking up of the body, the sage does not pass on to a [new] body. He is released from birth, aging, death, sorrow, lamentation, bodily pain, mental suffering, and despair; he is released from suffering, I say.

‘This is the distinction, the difference, between the fool and the sage, that is, living the holy life.’^{xvii}

‘The holy life’ is usually identified as the noble eightfold path.^{xviii} Its application in this context is illustrated in the Mahata¹/₄hasankhaya Sutta. This is one of the most important discourses on dependent origination, set up specifically to refute the view that it is this ‘very same consciousness’ which fares on through the round of rebirths. It is one of the contexts which suggests that the word ‘consciousness’ was established pre-Buddhist parlance for the principle underlying rebirth. As he often did, the Buddha accepted the conventional terminology, but redefined it according to his own system, insisting that consciousness arises according to conditions. We should note that although consciousness is the normal term used in the context of rebirth, this does not imply that it flows on alone, without the support of mentality & physical form.^{xix} Rather,

consciousness is mentioned as it is of key importance here; it is the ‘seed’ that performs the decisive function of transferring the information necessary to establish the new organism. He also takes over a brahmanical view that reincarnation of the embryo occurs concurrent with three things: the coming together of the mother and father; the mother is fertile; and the being to be reborn is present.^{xx} Having taken rebirth, one is nourished by the mother, plays childish games, and, as the sense faculties mature, engages in favoring and opposing feelings, giving rise to craving and future rebirth. The round of cessation is shown with the full gradual training, from the appearance of the Tathagata and the going forth, to the abandoning of the hindrances.

‘Having thus abandoned these five hindrances, taints of the mind which rob understanding of its strength...he enters and abides in the first jhana...second jhana...third jhana...fourth jhana. Seeing a visible form with the eye, he does not lust for pleasant seeming visible forms. He abides with mindfulness of the body established, with an immeasurable heart, and he understands in accordance with reality the release of heart, release by understanding where these evil unbeneficial phenomena cease without remainder. Having thus abandoned favoring and opposing, whatever feeling he feels, whether pleasant, or painful, or neither pleasant nor painful, he does not relish, welcome, or remain attached to it... The relishing of feelings ceases in him. Due to the cessation of his relishing, grasping ceases. Due to the cessation of grasping, [ongoing] existence ceases. Due to the cessation of existence, birth ceases. Due to the cessation of birth, aging & death, sorrow, lamentation, bodily pain, mental suffering, and despair cease. Thus there is the cessation of this whole mass of suffering. [And so on for the ear, etc.]^{xxxi}

Something of the profound relationship between the evolving sequence of dhammas beginning with faith or virtue and the core of the Dhamma is beginning to emerge, how this process is both necessary for and powerfully inductive to liberation. The term ‘vital condition’ has been chosen to bring out these two aspects.

‘Monks, when there is no mindfulness and clear comprehension, in one without mindfulness and clear comprehension, the vital condition for conscience and fear of wrong-doing is destroyed.

‘When there is no conscience and fear of wrongdoing... the vital condition for sense restraint is destroyed.

‘When there is no sense restraint... the vital condition for virtue is destroyed.

‘When there is no virtue... the vital condition for right samadhi is destroyed.

‘When there is no right samadhi, in one without right samadhi, the vital condition for knowledge & vision in accordance with reality is destroyed.

‘When there is no knowledge & vision in accordance with reality... the vital condition for repulsion and fading away is destroyed.

‘When there is no repulsion and fading away... the vital condition for knowledge & vision of release is destroyed.

‘Just as, monks, when a tree is without leaves and twigs, the branches, bark, sapwood, and heartwood do not come to fulfillment...^{xxii}

The commentaries treat ‘knowledge & vision in accordance with reality’ as immature vipassana. But this cannot be so, since vipassana may be developed prior to jhana. ‘Knowledge & vision in accordance with reality’ regularly refers not to vipassana but to the stream-enterer’s ‘vision of the Dhamma’. Vipassana as such seems to be one of the unstated but implied factors in such sequences. Perhaps the advanced vipassana which, empowered by samadhi, issues directly in penetration can be included as a preliminary stage of ‘knowledge & vision in accordance with reality’. In any case, this passage is a strong support for the necessity of jhana for stream-entry. It may not, however, in and of itself confirm the necessity of jhana for those on the way to stream-entry, since they may not unambiguously possess ‘knowledge & vision in accordance with reality’. More on these path-attainers below.

This passage further clarifies two points in the interpretation of other descriptions of dependent liberation or the path in general. Firstly, samadhi as a crucial support for liberation means right samadhi, which is the four jhanas. When we came across other descriptions of the path that refer to or imply samadhi in less explicit terms, we can therefore infer that jhana is meant. The sequence gladness, rapture, tranquility, bliss, and samadhi in fact normally serves to introduce the four jhanas;^{xxiii} sometimes too the divine abidings;^{xxiv} or else it develops the spiritual faculties, powers, and enlightenment factors.^{xxv} Secondly, this right samadhi is an essential component of the path, not an optional extra. In contexts such as the gradual training, which include extras such as psychic powers, we must therefore understand that samadhi, no less than virtue or wisdom, cannot be skipped over.

The Seven Purifications

The seven purifications shed further light on ‘dependent liberation’. They occur only once in the suttas, and once again with two further purifications added, and are not analyzed in detail. Although of slight importance in the suttas, they were utilized as the framework of the Visuddhimagga, thus assuming great importance for the Theravadin exegetical tradition. Here virtue and samadhi are treated summarily, the focus being on a more detailed breakdown of the stages of insight. This trend continues further in the Patisambhidamagga, and further still in the Visuddhimagga. Perhaps for this reason the seven purifications have played a key role in the attempts to discover a vehicle of ‘pure vipassana’ in the suttas. I will endeavour to piece together what the suttas say about these stages.

‘Purification of virtue is for the sake of purification of mind. Purification of mind is for the sake of purification of view. Purification of view is for the sake of purification of overcoming uncertainty. Purification of overcoming uncertainty is for the sake of purification of knowledge & vision of what is and what is not the path. Purification of knowledge & vision of what is and what is not the path is for the sake of purification of knowledge & vision of the way. Purification of knowledge & vision of the way is for the sake of purification of knowledge & vision. Purification of knowledge & vision is for the sake of final Nibbana without grasping.’^{xxvi}

The simile is given of a journey by means of relay carriages. The first carriage takes one as far as the second carriage, but no further. The second carriage takes one as far as the third, but no further. Each carriage has a specific role to play, which cannot be omitted.

A related teaching by Venerable Ananda provides explanations of some factors. The stages of purification are here shown, not as self-sufficient, but as supported by a phalanx

of ancillary factors. Though wisdom is at work throughout, only after ‘purification of mind’, i.e. jhana, does it mature into ‘knowledge & vision in accordance with reality’.

‘And what, Vyagghapajjas, is the factor of striving in the purification of virtue? Here, a monk is virtuous; he trains in the rules of training he has undertaken. This is called purification of virtue. [He thinks:] “I will fulfill such a form of purification when it is unfulfilled, or when it is fulfilled, I will assist it everywhere with understanding.” The enthusiasm therein, the effort, the industry, the endeavour, the not holding back, the mindfulness, and the clear comprehension; this is called the factor of striving in the purification of virtue.

‘And what, Vyagghapajjas, is the factor of striving in the purification of mind? Here, a monk ... enters and abides in the first jhana...second jhana...third jhana...fourth jhana. This is called purification of mind... The enthusiasm [etc] therein; this is called the factor of striving in the purification of mind.

‘And what, Vyagghapajjas, is the factor of striving in the purification of view? Here a monk understands in accordance with reality: “This is suffering”...“This is the origin of suffering”...“This is the cessation of suffering”...“This is the way of practice leading to the cessation of suffering.” This is called purification of view... The enthusiasm [etc] therein; this is called the factor of striving in the purification of view.

‘And what, Vyagghapajjas, is the factor of striving in the purification of release? That noble disciple, endowed with the factor of striving in the purification of virtue...of mind...of view, makes lust fade from his mind regarding phenomena provoking lust, and makes his mind release from phenomena which should be released from. Having done so, he contacts right release. This is called purification of release. [He thinks:] “I will fulfill such a form of purification when it is unfulfilled, or when it is fulfilled, I will assist it everywhere with understanding.” The enthusiasm therein, the effort, the industry, the endeavour, the not holding back, the mindfulness, and the clear comprehension; this is called the factor of striving in the purification of release.^{xxxvii}

In this sequence, purification of view is the vision of the four noble truths, which as we noted above, is normally associated with the stream-enterer.^{xxxviii} Purification of view in this group of four occupies the same place as, in the seven purifications, purification of view, of overcoming uncertainty, and of knowledge & vision of what is and what is not the path. These correspond exactly with the three fetters abandoned by the stream-enterer – identity view, doubt, and misapprehension of virtue & vows. A possible reading is that these three purifications constitute an expanded explanation of purification of view, detailing the sequence in which these fetters are abandoned during the course of vipassana culminating in the vision of the four noble truths. Having clearly seen what the path is, the stream-enterer is in a position to perfect their purification of knowledge & vision of the way. This occupies the equivalent position in this sequence as do ‘repulsion’ and ‘fading away’ elsewhere. We noted earlier that these pertain exclusively to the noble stages; and in the above passage too, the text changes from ‘monk’ to ‘noble disciple’ for the final purification, the fading away of lust after seeing the four noble truths.^{xxxix} The development of insight culminates with the purification of knowledge & vision, here surely the knowledge & vision of release pertaining to arahantship.^{xxx} The commentarial notion that these seven stages are completed by the stream-enterer, and that the higher path attainments go around again, each time repeating the sequence of vipassana knowledges,^{xxxi} finds no support in the suttas and contradicts the basic texts and similes, which speak of a one-way, step by step progression. The purpose of developing

purification of knowledge & vision is not for the further purification of insight through the higher paths, but for final Nibbana without grasping. Now it is true that in real life things are not so straightforward, and Buddhist causality hinges on these ramified complexities. But this does not empower us to simply postulate interpretive paradigms without a textual basis. Such sequences express the primary conditional sequence of practice, to which other elements – supplementary factors, feedback loops, etc. – should be considered as subordinate. This interpretation is somewhat complicated by the nine purifications mentioned in the Dasuttara Sutta, which add purification of understanding and purification of release to the seven.^{xxxii} These two extras, occurring only in this late and formalistic sutta, may perhaps be read as an expanded explanation of purification of knowledge & vision. The exact interpretation of the stages of liberating insight, though, does not affect the necessity for jhana as the purification of mind that precedes all these stages.

Purification of view can be described from different angles.

A certain monk approached another monk and asked: ‘What does “well-purified vision” refer to?’ That monk replied: ‘When a monk understands in accordance with reality the origin and ending of the six bases of contact...’ Dissatisfied with that explanation, he approached another monk, who said: ‘When a monk understands... the five aggregates...’ and another monk said: ‘When a monk understands... the four great elements...’ and yet another said: ‘When a monk understands in accordance with reality: “Whatever is subject to arising, all that is subject to cessation,” that is what “well-purified vision” refers to.’

Dissatisfied with all these answers, that monk approached the Blessed One, who replied: ‘Each of those true men explained how vision is well purified according to their dispositions, in just the same way that their own vision had been well purified.’^{xxxiii}

The Buddha then went on to present the simile of the city given above, showing that all of these modes of seeing reality are delivered to the mind by samatha and vipassana.

Purification by overcoming uncertainty refers to doubts such as: ‘Was I in the past? How was I? Will I be in the future?’ Seeing the monk Revata the Doubter reviewing his purification by overcoming uncertainty, the Buddha remarked:

‘Whatever uncertainties about here or beyond
One’s own or another’s feelings [about views]
The liver of the holy life abandons them all
Ardently practicing jhana.’^{xxxiv}

The Buddha’s first inspired utterance after his enlightenment describes how he overcame doubt through discernment of conditions.

‘When dhammas fully manifest
To the ardent brahman in jhana
Then his doubts all disappear
Since he understands dhammas and their causes.

‘When dhammas fully manifest
To the ardent brahman in jhana
Then his doubts all disappear

Since he has realized the evaporation of conditions.

‘When dhammas fully manifest
To the ardent brahman in jhana
He stands dispelling Mara’s hordes
Like the sun which lights the sky.’^{xxxv}

Knowledge and vision of what is and what is not the path seems to occur in only one other passage.

‘This was spoken, Bhante, by the Blessed One in the “Girl’s Questions”:

“The attainment of the goal, the peace of the heart
Having conquered the army, dear and pleasant seeming
Alone, doing jhana, I awakened to bliss
Therefore I make no partners with people
Partnership with anyone is not for me.”

‘Bhante, how should the detailed meaning of this brief statement by the Blessed One be regarded?’

‘ Sister, some contemplatives and brahmans, excelling in the attainment of the earth kasina, came up with that as the goal. But the extent of excellence in the attainment of the earth kasina was directly known by the Blessed One. Directly knowing thus, he saw the beginning, the danger, the escape, and knowledge & vision of what is and what is not the path. For him, because of seeing these things, the attainment of the goal, the peace of the heart, is known.’ [And so on for the other nine kasinas, concluding with the consciousness-kasina.]^{xxxvi}

So this knowledge involves developing insight into samadhi based on direct experience. The seven purifications thus involve samadhi, not simply as the precondition for insight at purification of mind, but at each step of the development of insight, specifically including the stages of insight pertaining to stream-entry.

Conclusion

In this chapter we have delved into the nature of the conditional relations governing the path of practice. The path embodies the principles of the Dhamma in practical form. The practice and theory of Dhamma can only be provisionally separated; for the sincere practitioner their every moment is a reflection of Dhamma. The serenity they find in their daily life and in their meditation is the experiential proof of the drying up of the flood of defilements. The next chapter shows how these principles find expression in a life of contemplative simplicity.

‘When the sky pours down rain in big drops on the mountaintop, the water flows down and fills the mountain cracks and crevices. When the mountain cracks and crevices are full, they fill the little pools. When the little pools are full, they fill the great pools. When the great pools are full, they fill the little rivers. When the little rivers are full, they fill the great rivers. When the great rivers are full, they fill the mighty ocean.’^{xxxvii}

ⁱ A11.2

-
- ii S12.37 etc.
iii S55.40
iv Sn230
v D15.4
vi Similar similes are applied to dependent origination at S12.23, S12.55, S12.69.
vii S14.3, 5,8,10
viii e.g. D15.21-22, S12.67, M43.22
ix D9.20; see below
x S48.52
xi A5.22
xii S12.23
xiii S48.8 cp. S55.3, etc.
xiv S12.3, S45.23
xv D15.33ff
xvi D15.1
xvii S12.19
xviii S45.39
xix S12.39
xx see M93.18
xxi M38
xxii A8.81 cp. A5.24, A5.168, A6.50, A7.61, A10.3-5, A11.3-5
xxiii D2.25, etc. quoted below
xxiv M7.8ff quoted above, M40.8
xxv VinMv8.15 quoted below
xxvi M24.15
xxvii A4.194
xxviii contra Vsm 182
xxix contra Vsm 21.135
xxx contra Vsm 22.1
xxxi Vsm 22.22f
xxxii D34.22
xxxiii S35.245 condensed
xxxiv Ud5.7 cp. Sn474. Views are called feelings in D1.3.32ff.
xxxv Ud1.1-3
xxxvi A10.26
xxxvii S12.23

CHAPTER FIVE:

THE GRADUAL TRAINING

The gradual training is a practical exemplification of the path described above in abstract. Since it is an example, not a universal principle, it will naturally admit of some variation when applied to the complexities of the real world. Certainly not all practitioners will accomplish everything described here; for example, it is not necessary to develop psychic powers. Nevertheless, the prominence of the gradual training and its central role in displaying the detailed workings of the path lend it great authority. Indeed, development of all the key factors of the gradual training is necessary in order to abandon suffering.ⁱ The Buddha stresses that each step of the training should be accomplished in order for the practitioner to successfully progress to the next step.ⁱⁱ

Of all the versions of the gradual trainingⁱⁱⁱ none can rival the Samaññaphala Sutta. This very long discourse is the record of a conversation between the Buddha and the newly crowned King Ajatasattu. This is a truly regal discourse, a literary classic, and a tragedy of Oedipal proportions; and yet the desperate psychological struggle of the king remains in the background, barely hinted at in the discourse. Unfortunately there is no room for a proper translation here, so we must remain content with an abridgement. The king, tormented by remorse over a terrible crime, asks the Buddha about happiness.

‘Bhante, the various craftsmen and workers enjoy here & now the visible fruits of their skills, bringing themselves and their families pleasure and joy, and supporting monks, which leads to happiness in heaven. Is it possible, Bhante, to point out such a fruit of the contemplative life apparent here & now, pertaining to this life?’

‘It is possible, Great King... Here, a Tathagata arises in the world, an arahant, a fully enlightened Buddha, perfect in realization and conduct, sublime, knower of the worlds, unexcelled trainer of persons to be tamed, teacher of deities and humans, enlightened, blessed. He makes known this world with its deities, Maras, and Brahmas, this generation with its contemplatives and brahmins, princes and people, having witnessed it himself with direct knowledge. He teaches the Dhamma, beautiful in the beginning, beautiful in the middle, beautiful in the end, meaningful and well phrased, and he elucidates the holy life entirely fulfilled and purified.

‘A householder hears that Dhamma and gains faith in the Tathagata. He considers thus: “This household life is cramped and dirty, but life gone forth is wide open. It is not easy while dwelling in a house to live the holy life absolutely fulfilled and purified as a polished shell. What if I were to shave off my hair and beard, put on the dyed robe and go forth from the home to homelessness?” And after some time, having abandoned a small or large quantity of wealth, having abandoned a small or large circle of relatives, he goes forth into homelessness.

‘When he has gone forth he dwells restrained in the role of the monastic code, perfect in conduct and resort, seeing danger in the slightest fault, training in the rules he has undertaken; endowed with good action of body and speech; with purified livelihood; perfect in virtue; with sense doors guarded; endowed with mindfulness and clear comprehension; content.

‘And how, Great King, is a monk perfect in virtue?’

‘Here a monk has abandoned killing living beings, he has laid down the rod and the sword, and abides compassionate for the welfare of all living beings...

‘He has abandoned theft, taking only what is given...

‘He has abandoned what is not the holy life, living remote from the vulgar act of sex...

‘He has abandoned false speech, speaking what is true and reliable, no deceiver of the world...

‘He has abandoned divisive speech, delighting and rejoicing in harmony...

‘He has abandoned harsh speech, speaking what is pleasing to the ear, going to the heart, delightful and pleasing to the manyfolk...

‘He has abandoned gossip, speaking what is true and meaningful at the right time...

‘He eats one meal a day...

‘He refrains from dancing, singing, playing music, and seeing shows...

‘He refrains from accepting gold and money...

‘He refrains from storing up food and material possessions...

‘He refrains from hinting and suggesting, using material goods to gain other material goods...

‘He refrains from making a living by various trivial sciences such as interpreting omens and dreams, fortune telling, palmistry, astrology, mathematics, worldly poetry and studies, geomancy [feng shui], and medicine...

‘And so, Great King, a monk thus perfect in virtue, just like a warrior chief without enemies, does not see fear from any quarter. Endowed with this noble aggregate of virtue he experiences within himself a blameless bliss...

‘And how, Great King, does a monk guard his sense-doors?’

‘Seeing a form with the eye; hearing a sound with the ear; smelling a smell with the nose; tasting a taste with the tongue; touching a tangible object with the body; and cognizing a phenomena with the mind he does not grasp at general or particular features. Since if he did not restrain his sense faculties he would be overwhelmed by desire and aversion, by evil, unbeneficial qualities, he practices for the restraint and guarding of his sense faculties. Endowed with this noble aggregate of sense restraint, he experiences within himself an unblemished bliss...

‘And how, Great King, is a monk endowed with mindfulness and clear comprehension?’

‘Here, a monk acts with clear comprehension when going out and returning; when looking in front and to the side; when bending and stretching the limbs; when carrying robes and bowl; when eating and drinking; when urinating and defecating; when walking, standing, sitting, sleeping, waking, speaking, and keeping silent.

‘And how, Great King, is a monk content?’

‘Here, a monk is content with robes to protect the body and alms-food to sustain the belly. Wherever he goes, he takes just these with him, like a bird which flies, burdened only by its wings...

‘And so, Great King, endowed with this noble aggregate of virtue, this noble sense restraint, this noble mindfulness and clear comprehension, and this noble contentment he resorts to a secluded dwelling place – a forest, the root of a tree, a mountain, a wilderness, a cave, a charnel ground, a wood, an open space, or a heap of straw. Then after his meal, having returned from alms-round, he sits down cross-legged, sets his body erect, and establishes mindfulness before him.

‘Having abandoned desire he abides with a mind free from desire. Having abandoned ill will and anger, he is compassionate for the welfare of all living beings. Having abandoned sloth & torpor, he is percipient of light, mindful and clearly comprehending. Having abandoned restlessness & remorse he abides unruffled, with mind inwardly calm. Having abandoned doubt, he is not perplexed regarding beneficial qualities. He purifies his mind from these five hindrances.

‘When a debtor pays off their debt, or a sick person is cured, or a prisoner is released, or a slave is freed, or a traveler through the desert reaches a safe place, for that reason they would gain gladness and happiness. In just the same way, a monk contemplates these five hindrances when not abandoned in himself as a debt, a sickness, a prison, slavery, a desert journey. He contemplates these five hindrances when they are abandoned in himself as unindebtedness, health, release from prison, freedom, a safe place.

‘In one who contemplates the abandoning of the five hindrances in oneself, gladness is born. In one who is glad, rapture is born. In one whose mind is rapturous, the body becomes tranquil. One whose body is tranquil feels bliss. The mind of one who is blissful enters samadhi.

‘Quite secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities he enters and abides in the first jhana. He steeps, soaks, fills, and suffuses his person with rapture and bliss born of seclusion, so that nothing remains unsuffused by rapture and bliss. Just as if an expert bathman were to knead a lump of bath-powder, the entire lump would be soaked with water and yet no water would leak out. In the same way he suffuses his person with rapture and bliss born of seclusion. This, Great King, is a fruit of the contemplative life apparent here & now, pertaining to this life, more exalted and sublime than those described previously.

‘And again, Great King, a monk enters and abides in the second jhana. He steeps, soaks, fills, and suffuses his person with rapture and bliss born of samadhi, so that nothing remains unsuffused. Just as if there were a pool of water fed neither by streams nor by rain; but a spring welling up underneath would steep, soak, fill, and suffuse the

entire pool with cool water, so that nothing of the pool would remain unsuffused by cool water. In the same way he suffuses his person with rapture and bliss born of samadhi. This too, Great King is a more exalted and sublime fruit of the contemplative life.

‘And again, Great King, a monk enters and abides in the third jhana. He steeps, soaks, fills, and suffuses his person with bliss devoid of rapture, so that nothing remains unsuffused. Just as if a lotus were to be born and grow underneath the water without emerging, the entire plant from the roots to the tips would be steeped, soaked, filled and suffused with cool water, so that nothing of the lotus would remain unsuffused by cool water. In the same way he suffuses his person with bliss devoid of rapture. This too, Great King is a more exalted and sublime fruit of the contemplative life.

‘And again, Great King, a monk enters and abides in the fourth jhana. He sits having suffused his person with pure bright heart, so that nothing remains unsuffused with pure bright heart. Just as if a man were to sit completely covered, including his head, with white cloth, so that nothing of his person would remain unsuffused by the white cloth, in just the same way he suffuses his person with pure bright heart. This too, Great King, is a more exalted and sublime fruit of the contemplative life.

‘And so when his mind is thus concentrated in samadhi, is purified, bright, rid of blemishes, free of taints, soft, workable, steady, and attained to imperturbability, he bends and inclines his mind to knowledge & vision. He understands: “This my body is material, made up of the four great elements, produced by mother and father, built up from rice and porridge, subject to impermanence, to rubbing, wearing, breaking up and dispersal; and this my consciousness is caught up and bound up in it.” Just as if a man with good sight were to examine a beryl gem in his hand, saying: “This beryl gem is beautiful, well made, clear, and transparent; and through it is strung a blue, yellow, red, white, or brown string.” In just the same way he inclines his mind to knowledge & vision. This too, Great King, is a more exalted and sublime fruit of the contemplative life.

‘And so when his mind is thus concentrated in samadhi, is purified, bright, rid of blemishes, free of taints, soft, workable, steady, and attained to imperturbability, he bends and inclines his mind to creation of a mind-made body... to wielding the various psychic powers... to the divine ear... to knowledge of the minds of others... to recollection of past lives... to the divine eye which sees the passing away and reappearing of beings according to their actions... Each of these is a fruit of the contemplative life apparent here & now, pertaining to this life, more exalted and sublime than those previously described.

‘And so when his mind is thus concentrated in samadhi, is purified, bright, rid of blemishes, free of taints, soft, workable, steady, and attained to imperturbability, he bends and inclines his mind to the knowledge of the evaporation of the poisons. He understands in accordance with reality: “This is suffering”... “This is the origin of suffering”... “This is the cessation of suffering”... “This is the way leading to the cessation of suffering”... “These are poisons”... “This is the origin of the poisons”... “This is the cessation of the poisons”... “This is the way leading to the cessation of the poisons.” For him knowing & seeing thus, his mind is freed from the poisons of sensual pleasures, existence, and ignorance. When released he knows: “It is released.” He understands: “Birth is evaporated; the holy life has been lived; what was to be done has been done; there is no returning to this state of existence.”

Just as if, Great King, there were a mountain pool, crystal clear and clean, a man with good sight standing on the bank would see the rocks, pebbles, and shells, and would see the fish swimming about or resting... So too he knows... “There is no returning to this state of existence.”

“This, Great King, is a fruit of the contemplative life apparent here & now, pertaining to this life, which is more exalted and sublime than those described previously. And, Great King, there is no fruit of the contemplative life more exalted and sublime than this.”^{iv}

King Ajatasattu is an archetype for the dilemmas of worldly people searching for inner peace. He obviously had great spiritual potential, and respect for those pursuing the path of renunciation. But, goaded on by the evil monk Devadatta, he had murdered his father King Bimbisara, a stream-enterer, for the sake of the throne, thus destroying his chance of seeing the Dhamma. It is because of the inevitable conflict between worldly ambitions and spiritual aspirations that the Buddha laid such stress on the way of renunciation. The gradual training is the key paradigm for monastic practice. Such meticulous care and attention to refinement of conduct, seclusion, restraint, contentment, and discipline, day in day out, year in year out, provides the optimum supporting conditions for the refined states of mind that lead to liberation. So close was the connection between meditation and the life of seclusion in the forest that often they were virtually equated, as in the Buddha’s critique of the brahmins which follows, so sadly prophetic of the course Buddhism was to take. There is a pun in the Pali: the word for scholar (*ajjhayaka*) also means ‘non-jhana meditator’.

“They made leaf huts in the forest and practiced jhana in them. They went to the city or village to gather alms... and then returned to their huts to practice jhana. People saw this and noticed how they meditated so they introduced the title “jhana meditator” for them... But some of them, being unable to do jhana, settled around towns and villages and composed scriptures. People saw them doing this and not meditating, so they introduced the title “scholars” for them... At that time it was regarded as a low designation, but now it is the higher.”^v

However, the Buddha never insisted that his followers must leave the lay life. As in all things, he relied on the maturity of the individual to choose the appropriate course of action. For those who choose to remain in the household life, he would point out how to live that life well, to restrain the defilements, and to incline gradually towards Dhamma. What lay people should not do is to try to incline the Dhamma gradually towards lay-life, watering down the teachings and making renunciation seem like unnecessary complication.

“There are five things which conduce to the disappearance of the true Dhamma. What five? When monks and nuns, laymen and laywomen live in disrespect and are undeferential towards the Buddha... Dhamma... Sangha... training... samadhi.”^{vi}

The distinction between laypeople and monastics pertains to moral conduct; that is, to actions of body and speech. The world of the mind, though dependent on virtue, lies beyond it, following natural principles of its own where distinctions of lifestyle, gender, race, or nationality have been left behind. Bhikkhuni Soma forcibly makes this point in her rebuke to Mara’s taunt about a woman’s ‘two-fingered understanding.’

‘What does womanhood matter at all
When the mind is well concentrated in samadhi
When knowledge flows on steadily
As one rightly sees Dhamma with vipassana?’

‘One to whom it might occur
“I am woman” or “I am man”
Or “I am anything at all”
Is fit for Mara to address’^{vii}

It may therefore be expected that the training for the laity will embody similar principles as the monastic training, although as the training in moral discipline is less thorough, the higher training will be correspondingly more difficult to fulfill.

‘Monks, I do not praise wrong practice for either householders or those gone forth... What is wrong practice? Wrong view, wrong intention, wrong speech, wrong action, wrong livelihood, wrong effort, wrong mindfulness, wrong samadhi.’^{viii}

While the Buddha emphasized the basics of generosity, faith, and virtue for laypeople, he encouraged them to go further than that.

‘Householder, you have supplied robes, alms-food, dwellings, and medicines for the Sangha of monks, yet you should not be content with just that much. Therefore you should train yourself: “Come now, from time to time I should enter and abide in the rapture of seclusion.”’^{ix}

The practice of generosity and virtue yields its highest benefit when treated as a basis for meditation. In the following passage, the wise lady Visakha explains to the Buddha why she has asked for the privilege of supplying the Sangha in Savatthi with eight specific kinds of requisites.

‘When, Bhante, monks will come to Savatthi when the rains retreat is completed in order to see the Blessed One, they will approach the Blessed One and ask: “Bhante, a monk called such and such has passed away – what is his destiny, his future course?” The Blessed One will explain that as the fruit of stream-entry, once-return, non-return, or arahantship. I will approach them and ask: “Bhante, did that venerable one ever come to Savatthi?” If they say “Yes, he did”, then I will come to the conclusion that surely that venerable one used a rains cloth, or food for those arriving or leaving, or food for the sick or those attending the sick, or medicine for the sick, or porridge. Recollecting that, gladness will be born in me. Being glad, rapture will be born in me. Being rapturous, my body will become tranquil. Being of tranquil body, I will feel bliss. Being blissful, my mind will enter samadhi. That will develop the spiritual faculties, spiritual powers, and enlightenment factors in me. Seeing this benefit, Bhante, I ask the Tathagata for these eight favors.’

‘Sadhu! Sadhu! Visakha. It is good that seeing this benefit you ask the Tathagata for these eight favors. I allow you, Visakha, these eight favors.’^x

Although lay people practiced satipatthana ‘from time to time’,^{xi} it was never singled out as being particularly appropriate for them. The Satipatthana Samyutta records satipatthana being taught to lay people on only two occasions – both times to non-returners on their deathbeds.^{xii} More typically, lay people were encouraged to develop the divine abidings or the six recollections. The Buddha never taught lay meditation retreats or established any lay meditation centers. The intensive retreat seems to have been for monastics only. This is quite consonant with the outlook of the suttas as expressed in the gradual training, which sees higher state of mind emerging, not from strenuous toil for a short time in artificial conditions divorced from everyday life, but from a holistic lifestyle of simplicity, contentment, and restraint. This distinguishing feature of the Buddha’s dispensation was one of the ‘monuments to the Dhamma’ proclaimed by King Pasenadi.

‘Bhante, I see some contemplatives and brahmans leading a limited holy life for ten, twenty, thirty, or forty years, and then on a later occasion I see them well groomed and well anointed, with trimmed hair and beards, enjoying themselves provided and endowed with the five cords of sensual pleasure. But here I see monks leading the perfect and pure holy life as long as life and breath last.’^{xiii}

Skipping the evening meal while on retreat is for some a grueling asceticism. Here’s the Buddha’s droll summing-up of the spiritual benefits of intermittent starvation.

‘[Some contemplatives] take food once a day... or once a week... or once a fortnight; they dwell pursuing the practice of taking food at stated intervals.’

‘But do they survive on so little, Aggivessana?’

‘No, Master Gotama. Sometimes they consume various sorts of delicious food, delicacies, and drinks. Thereby they regain their strength, fortify themselves, and become fat.’

‘What they earlier abandoned, Aggivessana, they later gather together again. That is how there is increase and decrease of this body.’^{xiv}

Rather than plunging in at the deep end of spiritual life at a meditation intensive, lay people were encouraged to spend one day a week in the monastery, keeping eight precepts, listening to Dhamma, and practicing meditation. This practice is continued in some places today.

‘Now do you Sakyans keep the observance day endowed with eight precepts?’

‘Well, sometimes we do, Bhante, and sometimes we don’t.’

‘It is no gain for you Sakyans, it is ill-gained that in this life with its fear of sorrow, fear of death, sometimes you keep the observance day and sometimes you don’t. What do you think, Sakyans?... What if a man day in, day out were to earn a hundred dollars, a thousand dollars and were to invest his earnings throughout his life of a hundred years, would he not achieve a great mass of wealth?’

‘Yes, Bhante.’

‘Well, would that man because of his wealth, owing to his wealth, abide exclusively experiencing bliss for one day and night? Or for half a day and night?’

‘No, Bhante. For what reason? Sensual pleasures, Bhante, are impermanent, hollow, false, delusory.’

‘Here, Sakyans, one of my disciples abiding diligent, ardent, and resolute, practicing according to my instructions... for one day and night would abide exclusively experiencing bliss for a hundred years, a hundred times a hundred years, a hundred times a thousand years, a hundred times a hundred thousand years. And he would be a once-returner, a non-returner, or without question a stream-enterer. It is no gain for you, Sakyans, it is ill-gained that in this life with its fear of sorrow, fear of death, sometimes you keep the observance day and sometimes you don’t.’

‘From this day on, Bhante, we will keep the observance day endowed with eight precepts.’^{xv}

Some lay disciples were skilled in even the highest reaches of meditation. Here, Uttara Nandamata, the foremost female devotee in jhana practice, explains to Venerable Sariputta how she knew in advance of the Sangha’s arrival. A passing deity, as a stream-enterer Nandamata’s brother in the Dhamma, stopped to hear her chanting.

‘Having arisen in the night before dawn, Bhante, and chanted the “Way to the Beyond,”^{xvi} I was silent. Then Great King Vessavana, realizing that I had finished reciting, congratulated me: “Sadhu, sister! Sadhu, sister!”

‘“But who is this of majestic countenance?”

‘“I, sister, am your brother, Great King Vessavana.”

‘“Sadhu, Your Majesty! May this passage of Dhamma which I have chanted be my gift to you.”

‘“Sadhu, sister! Then let this be a gift for me: tomorrow the Sangha of monks headed by Sariputta and Moggallana will arrive here at Ve¹ukantaka without having eaten. Having fed the Sangha of monks may you dedicate the offering to me, then that will be a gift for me.”

‘So let the merit of this offering be for the happiness of Great King Vessavana.’

‘It is wonderful, Nandamata, it is marvelous that you should converse face to face with Great King Vessavana, a deity of such great psychic power and potency!’

‘This is not my only wonderful and marvelous quality, Bhante... When rulers for some reason took my dear beloved son by force and killed him... I know of no change in my mind...

‘When my husband, who had passed away and re-arisen in a spirit world, revealed himself to me in his old form, I know of no change in my mind on that account...

‘Since I was a maiden brought to my youthful husband, I know of no transgression against him in thought, how then in body?...

‘Since I declared myself a lay devotee, I know of no deliberate violation of any training rule...

‘As far as I wish, I enter and abide in the first jhana... second jhana... third jhana... fourth jhana...

‘I do not see any of the five lower fetters taught by the Blessed One unabandoned in me...’

‘Wonderful, Nandamata! Marvelous, Nandamata!’^{xvii}

Such advanced lay disciples attained those levels by following a comprehensive daily training as similar to the monastic path as possible.

‘Ananda, clarify the practice of a trainee for the Sakyans of Kapilavatthu...’

‘Here, Mahanama, a noble disciple is virtuous, guards his sense faculties, is moderate in eating, and devoted to wakefulness. He possesses seven good qualities (faith, conscience, fear of wrong-doing, learning, energy, mindfulness, and understanding) and he attains at will, without trouble or difficulty, the four jhanas which constitute the higher mind and are a blissful abiding here & now...’^{xviii}

The opinion is sometimes expressed that times have changed and it is no longer possible to find the quiet and solitude necessary for development of deep samadhi. There is some truth to this, with the loss of most of the forests and the pervasive noise pollution of the ‘infernal combustion engine’. However, improved transport, communications, and medicines make it in some ways easier to live in seclusion without having to endure extremes of hardship as in former times. Another advantage possessed by modern Dhamma practitioners is the widespread availability of the Buddha’s teachings, translated and explained in various languages. Indeed, even today there are many tens of thousands of Buddhist monks, nuns, and lay people who live constantly or for extended periods in peaceful and secluded places suitable for meditation. Most of these places, right now, have empty rooms or empty huts available for anyone who wishes to practice.

‘Live enjoying retreat, monks, live delighting in retreat, developing samatha of the heart within, not neglecting jhana, possessing vipassana, and frequenting empty places. If you do so, one of two fruits may be expected – profound knowledge here & now or, there still being some residual defilement, the state of non-returning.’^{xix}

Many today feel they lack the necessary accumulation of spiritual perfections (*parami*) to attain Dhamma in this life. But the very concept that the possibility of attainment is dependent on practice in past lives is refreshingly absent from the suttas.

‘Endowed with six qualities, one hearing the true Dhamma is able to enter the fixed course of rightness regarding beneficial qualities [i.e. the way to stream-entry]. What six? One has not killed one’s mother, one’s father, or an arahant, one has not maliciously shed the blood of a Tathagata, one has not caused a schism in the Sangha, and one is wise, no imbecile.’^{xx}

Invariably, the Buddha stressed that it is sincerity and totality of commitment which is the decisive factor; not applying Dhamma to daily life, but applying daily life to Dhamma.

‘As the crested blue-necked peacock when flying
Can never keep up with the speed of the swan
So the layperson cannot compete with the monk
The sage secluded, practicing jhana in the forest.’^{xxi}

Rather than asking – ‘How can I get the fruits of renunciation without actually renouncing?’ – the Buddha’s words should be pondered.

‘Not apart from enlightenment and ardor
Not apart from restraint of the senses
Not apart from relinquishing all
Do I see safety for beings.’^{xxii}

This is right view: sensuality leads to suffering, renunciation leads to peace. Those looking for a shortcut have already been offered one in the Buddha’s 3-in-1 instant enlightenment program: virtue, samadhi, understanding. The efficacy of this course of spiritual training has been guaranteed by the Buddha and attested to by countless practitioners, lay and monastic, over the centuries.

‘Whoever practices Dhamma in accordance with Dhamma
Those people will go to the far shore
Across the realm of Death
So very hard to cross.’^{xxiii}

Making the commitment to go forth as a monk or nun is not an outdated custom, but is the most efficient way to realize the full benefit of the Dhamma.

‘Friend Sariputta, what is hard to do in this Dhamma-vinaya?’

‘Going forth, friend, is hard to do in this Dhamma-vinaya.’

‘But what is hard to do by one gone forth?’

‘By one gone forth it is hard to find delight.’

‘But what is hard to do by one who has found delight?’

‘Practicing Dhamma in accordance with Dhamma is hard to do by one who has found delight.’

‘But, friend, for a monk who practices Dhamma in accordance with Dhamma, will it take long to become an arahant?’

‘Not long, friend.’^{xxiv}

-
- ⁱ See e.g. A10.76
ⁱⁱ M107.3ff
ⁱⁱⁱ M27, M38, M39, M51, M53, M107, M125, etc.
^{iv} D2
^v D27.23
^{vi} S16.13
^{vii} S1.523, 524
^{viii} S45.24
^{ix} A5.176
^x Vin. Mv8.15
^{xi} M51.4
^{xii} S47.29, S47.30
^{xiii} M89.10
^{xiv} M36.5
^{xv} A10.46
^{xvi} Sn1032-1123 The 'Vatthugatha' in its current form is no doubt later.
^{xvii} A7.50
^{xviii} M53.6
^{xix} Iti2.45
^{xx} A6.87
^{xxi} Sn221
^{xxii} S1.304
^{xxiii} Dhp 86
^{xxiv} A38.16, S38.16

CHAPTER 6:

THE BENEFITS OF SAMADHI

‘A monk who develops and makes much of the four jhanas slopes, flows, and inclines towards Nibbana.’ⁱ

Why is this so? The Buddha often praised the jhanas as ‘blissful abidings here & now’. Does this imply that jhanas are just escapism, a refined form of solitary vice? Why are jhanas blissful?

Sensuality

‘There are these five cords of sensual pleasures. What five? Visible forms cognizable by the eye... sounds cognizable by the ear... smells cognizable by the nose... tastes cognizable by the tongue... tangibles cognizable by the body that are wished for, desired, alluring, likeable, connected with sensual pleasure, and provocative of lust.’ⁱⁱ

‘Suppose, Aggivessana, there were a high mountain not far from a village or town, and two friends would leave the village or town and approach the mountain hand in hand. Having reached it, one friend would remain below at the foot of the mountain while the other would climb to the top. Then the friend who remained below at the foot of the mountain would say to the friend who stood on the top: “Well, friend, what do you see, standing on top of the mountain?” And the other replied: “I see lovely parks, groves, meadows, and ponds.” Then the first friend would say: “It’s impossible, friend, it cannot happen that while standing on top of the mountain you should see lovely parks, groves, meadows, and ponds.”

‘Then the other friend would come down to the foot of the mountain, take his friend by the arm, and make him climb to the top of the mountain. After giving him a few moments to catch his breath, he would ask: “Well, friend, standing on top of the mountain, what do you see?” And he would reply: “Standing on top of the mountain, friend, I see lovely parks, groves, meadows, and ponds.” Then the other would say: “Friend, just a little earlier we heard you say: ‘It is impossible, friend, it cannot happen that while standing on top of the mountain you should see lovely parks, groves, meadows, and ponds.’ But now we heard you say [just the opposite].” Then the first friend would reply: “Because I was obstructed by this high mountain, friend, I did not see what was there to be seen.”

‘So too, Aggivessana, Prince Jayasena is obstructed, hindered, blocked, and enveloped by a still greater mass than this – the mass of ignorance. Thus it is impossible that Prince Jayasena, living in the midst of sensual pleasures, enjoying sensual pleasures, being devoured by thoughts of sensual pleasures, being consumed by the fever of sensual pleasures, bent on the search for sensual pleasures, could know, see, or witness that which must be known through renunciation, seen through renunciation, attained through renunciation, witnessed through renunciation.’ⁱⁱⁱ

‘Householder, suppose a dog, overcome by hunger and weakness, was hanging around a butcher’s shop. Then the butcher would cut out a skeleton of meatless bones

smear with blood and toss it to the dog. What do you think, householder? Would that dog assuage its hunger and weakness by gnawing meatless bones?

‘No, Bhante, that dog would just reap weariness and disappointment...’

‘Suppose a bird grabbed a piece of meat and flew away, and then vultures, crows, and hawks flew up and pecked and clawed it. If that bird does not quickly let go of that piece of meat, wouldn’t it incur death or deadly suffering because of that?’

‘Yes, Bhante.’

‘Suppose there was a charcoal pit deeper than a man’s height and full of glowing coals... Then along came a man who wanted a happy life, and recoiled from pain and death. But two strong men seized him by the arms and dragged him towards that charcoal pit. Wouldn’t that man struggle desperately?’

‘Yes, Bhante.’

‘So too, householder, a noble disciple considers thus: “Sensual pleasures have been compared with a skeleton... with a piece of meat... with a charcoal pit by the Blessed One – they provide much suffering and much despair, and the danger in them is great...”

^{iv}

‘Suppose, Magandiya, there was a leper with sores and blisters on his limbs, being devoured by worms, scratching the scabs off the openings of his wounds with his nails, cauterizing his body over a burning charcoal pit. The more he scratches his nails and cauterizes his body, the fouler, more evil-smelling, and more infected the openings of his wounds would become; and yet he would derive a certain amount of satisfaction and enjoyment from scratching the openings of his wounds. So too, Magandiya, beings who are not free from lust for sensual pleasures, who devoured by craving and burning with fever, still indulge in sensual pleasures – the more they indulge, the more their craving and fever increases; and yet they derive a certain amount of satisfaction and enjoyment from the five cords of sensual pleasure...’

‘I have never seen or heard of a king or a king’s minister in the past, future, or present, enjoying themselves with the five cords of sensual pleasure, who without abandoning craving and fever for sensual pleasures, was able to abide with mind... at peace within himself.’

‘On the contrary, Magandiya, those contemplatives and brahmins in the past, future, or present, who abide with a mind at peace within themselves, all do so having understood in accordance with reality the origin, ending, gratification, danger, and escape from sensual pleasures, and having abandoned craving and fever for sensual pleasures.’^v

‘Where sensual pleasures end and those who have thoroughly ended sensual pleasures abide, certainly those venerable ones are wishless and quenched, crossed over and gone beyond with respect to that factor, I say. Where do sensual pleasures end and those who have thoroughly ended sensual pleasures abide? Whoever should say: “I do not know or see that” should be told: “Here, friend, a monk, quite secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities, enters and abides in the first jhana... Here sensual pleasures end and those who have thoroughly ended sensual pleasures abide.”’

Certainly, monks, the guileless and undecitful person would exclaim “Sadhu!” and rejoice and admire what was said, revering and honoring the speaker with palms joined in homage.^{vi}

Sensual desire is not restricted to sexual desire, or desire for food, etc. These are only the coarse manifestations of a hunger for stimulation which constantly obsesses the minds of beings. Any interest or concern whatsoever connected with experiencing pleasure, relief, or comfort through the eye, ear, nose, tongue, or body, or any thoughts, memories, or expectations of such experience fall within the range of this prime hindrance. This is the defining characteristic of beings in the ‘sensual world’ and the chief reason for taking rebirth in this realm. Having taken rebirth here, life is for the most part dedicated to maximizing the experience of sensual pleasure. Hardly a few seconds ever go by during the course of an entire life truly free from harassment by concern for sensual pleasure. Even the overwhelming majority of religious practitioners – and here Buddhists are no exception – are motivated largely by sensual desire, whether it be the desire to experience refined sensual objects in a heavenly realm, or the desire to enjoy one’s relationships and material comforts with mind at peace.

The pleasure of sensuality has these properties. It is narrow, occurring in only a restricted zone of the totality of awareness, thus forcing a constriction of consciousness. It is evanescent, necessitating a constant toil to seek out new pleasures. It is stimulating, agitating the mind so that it cannot experience the pleasure fully, but keeps restlessly skipping about, thus concealing the inadequacy of the gratification provided by the pleasure. It is crude, coarsening the mind and blocking awareness of subtle realities. It is always interwoven with painful feeling, pressuring the mind to reject or deny part of experience. It is selfish, obstructing relationships with others based on genuine compassion and altruism. And because of all these things, it promotes the growth of unwholesome thoughts and intentions, leading to suffering in future lives and obstructing the path to Nibbana.

The bliss of the peaceful mind shares none of these faults. It permeates the whole field of awareness, so that the mind is spacious and relaxed. Though of course impermanent, it is far more stable and enduring than sensual happiness. It is peaceful, soothing the fevered mind, drawing the mind into the stillness of deep, sustained contemplation. It is of surpassing subtlety, the limits of feeling, pointing the way to the transcendence of feeling. It is pure bliss without any admixture of pain, fostering a truly holistic awareness. It removes any motivation for relationships based on selfish desires; seeing the tenderness of one’s own heart, one would shrink away from any act which would harm the heart of another. And because of all these things, it is exclusively associated with wholesome thoughts and intentions, leading to bliss not only in this life, but also to unimaginable ages of bliss in the future, and constituting the incontrovertible high road to the ultimate bliss of Nibbana.

‘Why am I afraid of that bliss which has nothing to do with sensual pleasures and unbeneficial qualities? It occurred to me: “I am not afraid of that bliss, since it has nothing to do with sensual pleasures and unbeneficial qualities.”’^{vii}

Perception

According to fundamental principles of Buddhist philosophy, it is the mind which creates the world. The mind, fuelled with craving and attachments, cooks up the five

senses to feed, distract, and entertain it, to avoid at any cost the ghastly possibility of having to confront the grisly reality behind its cozy complacency. By assailing the mind with an overload of input, the five hindrances, hand in hand with the five senses, confuse and sully the ability to clearly know.

‘Ignorance has a nutriment, I say. What is the nutriment of ignorance?
‘“The five hindrances” should be the reply.’^{viii}

The importance of this statement can scarcely be overstated. It formulates in negative terms the statement that one in samadhi knows & sees according to reality the four noble truths. The practice of jhana, by cutting off the five hindrances, starves ignorance of its food. But how exactly does samadhi accomplish this?

One of the key factors in meditation is perception (*sañña*). Perception is a relatively shallow mode of knowing which recognizes the surface features of phenomena, interpreting them in terms of past experience. It marks off one section of sense data so that it can be treated as a unit. For example, it is perception that generalizes and summarizes the data in a visual image, recognizing that ‘This is blue, this is yellow, this is red.’ It filters, simplifies, and abstracts the sheer bewildering quantity of sense data, processing it in terms of manageable information, symbols, and labels. Perception forms the basis of concepts. While perception recognizes common features of phenomena, concepts combine a group or class of features into a mental image or idea. In order to construct something as ephemeral as a concept, the mind must be actively diverted from the clamor of sense experience and applied inwards. The formation of a concept can be analyzed in two stages. Firstly, there is the initial conception of a verbal idea, a thought (*vitakka*). Secondly, a sustained series of these thoughts is linked up to form a coherent consideration (*vicara*). At this stage, this thinking and considering is still preoccupied with perceptions of sense experience; but the mind is able for the first time to be aware of a mental object distinct from that experience, and hence by reflection to infer the existence of a ‘mind’ as experiencer. This development, though crucial for both psychology and philosophy, introduces a subtle distortion in experience. By representing the world as more coherent and meaningful than it really is, it invites an insidious obsession with the fantasy realm of concepts, the fairy castles of the imagination, divorced from the uncertainties of reality.

‘Dependent on the eye and visible forms arises eye-consciousness. The coming together of the three is contact. Due to contact there is feeling. What one feels, one perceives. What one perceives, one thinks about. What one thinks about, one proliferates about. What one proliferates about is the source from which ideas derived from the proliferation of perceptions beset a person regarding past, future, and present visible forms cognizable by the eye [and so on].’^{ix}

The first steps of this passage form the basic exposition of the workings of conscious processes as analyzed in dependant origination. They constitute the ‘given’, the raw materials common to all sentient beings. With feeling, though, a new syntactical structure appears, signifying a change in mood. The static nouns are replaced by verbs – the momentum is picking up. The mind is adding to experience, and here perception plays a key role, under the influence of the four ‘perversions of perception’ – seeing permanence in what is impermanent, happiness in what is suffering, self in what is not-self, and beauty in what is ugly.^x By noticing shared characteristics, with each phenomenon perception suggests an association with further phenomena. These associations become

verbalized internally as mental images called ‘thinking’. The following verse links ‘proliferation’ closely with ‘conceit’, the idea of a ‘self’.

‘One should thoroughly dig up the root
Of ideas born of the compulsion to proliferate
[That is, the notion:] “I am the thinker.”’^{xii}

‘Proliferation’ seems to be a term for the way the undisciplined mind, by identifying with and delighting in this process of thinking, erupts in a profusion of trivial and repetitious inner commentaries. So at this point the passage moves on from analysis purely in terms of mental factors – the ‘person’ is introduced. Proliferation has given birth to the full-blown concept of a ‘thinker’ who is being overwhelmed by the conceptual process by which they were born, which is now spinning out of control. Significantly, at the same point time is introduced. We have seen above the connection between time, concepts, and the idea of an enduring self. The ‘ideas’ spoken of in the passage lie close in meaning to ‘concepts’; but the literal meaning ‘reckonings, classifications’ suggests rather more specifically the mind’s calculating manipulation of the data of experience, like an inner spin doctor reaffirming the self and its place in the world. Given the integral significance here ascribed to the process of conceptual proliferation in the generation of suffering, the benefits of a meditation which can quell thought are not restricted to short term psychological ease. We have met such a meditation before.

‘Mindfulness of breathing should be developed to cut off thinking.’^{xiii}

It was seen above how perception simplifies experience into meaningful units. This essential function is undermined when proliferative thinking re-multiplies experience, so that just one word can trigger minutes or hours of discursive inner monologue. The special quality of mindfulness of breathing, shared to some degree with other meditation subjects, is to cut this process before it sprouts by staying with singleness of perception. When disciplined through meditation, the simplifying function of perception radically reduces the quantity of data in experience, allowing the development of a more refined and sensitive awareness.

What exactly is the ‘breath’? There is a certain experience at the beginning of each breath, a different experience in the middle, and yet another at the end. These experiences are simply awareness of the air element; but it is perception that marks them off as the ‘breath’. Only the physical impact of the air on the nerve endings is registered by body consciousness. That body consciousness ‘reports’ to mind consciousness, which performs the more sophisticated cognitive tasks such as recognition, interpretation, and so on. The function of *vitakka* to initiate thoughts and *vicara* to sustain chains of thoughts is transformed by applying them not to perceptions of verbal constructs but to perceptions of the breath, actively directing the mind away from the diversity of sense experience onto the breath. Doing so over and over, the common features of the breaths become apparent. By combining the shared features of the breaths recognized by perception and by ignoring irrelevant data, the mind forms a stable and coherent concept or mental image of the breath. As contemplation deepens, the physical breath becomes very fine, so that its impact, originally overpowering, fades and the settling mind gains more appreciation of the subtle mind consciousness. Here, the meditator is going beyond the first four steps of mindfulness of breathing which fall within body contemplation. A

numinous rapture arises; the mind floats up like a balloon relieved of its ballast as the heavy burden of the body is disappearing. The subtle reflection of the mind in the breath is now almost the sole object in awareness. This refined concept, because of ignoring fluctuations in detail, has an enduring quality which outlives the changing physical phenomena it is derived from, in just the same way than the concept of 'self' has an enduring quality which outlives the body.^{xiii} It normally appears to the meditator as a brilliant light of awesome power, yet exquisite refinement. As the fluctuations in consciousness even out, change fades away. One need no longer rely on memories of past experiences to interpret the present moment. The contrast on which time depends is not evident, and past and future disappear in the seamless flow of the present: one-pointedness in time. The contents of experience become so rarified that signs and summaries are rendered superfluous. A deeper mode of knowing emerges.

'Quite secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities one enters and abides in the first jhana... One's former perception of sensual pleasures ceases. On that occasion there is a subtle & true perception of rapture & bliss born of seclusion. Thus with training some perceptions arise and some perceptions cease... Again, one enters and abides in the second jhana... third jhana... fourth jhana... base of infinite space... base of infinite consciousness... base of nothingness. One's former perception of the base of infinite consciousness ceases. On that occasion there is a subtle & true perception of the base of nothingness... Thus with training, some perceptions arise and some perceptions cease. Pothapada, from when a monk gains his own [inner] perception, he then step by step gradually contacts the peak of perception. Standing on the peak of perception it occurs to him: "Volition is evil to me; non-volition is better. If I were to form volitions and acts of will, this perception of mine would cease, and another, coarser, perception would arise. What if I were to neither form volitions nor acts of will?" ...Those perceptions cease and other, coarser, perceptions do not arise. He contacts cessation. Thus, Pothapada, there is the gradual attainment of the cessation of higher perceptions with clear comprehension.'^{xiv}

Knowledge

If one is disinterested in these five senses and withdraws attention from them, how would they continue to exist? They would disappear, together with their associated feelings, perceptions, thoughts, memories, intentions, and consciousnesses. When they stop, there is the first experiential understanding of 'cessation'. The path of practice is called a 'gradual cessation'. For one who lacks grounding in this experience, the dawning realization of the frailty and emptiness of all one holds dear can precipitate a traumatic existential crisis.

'Here, monk, some have such a view: "This is the self, this is the world. After death I will be permanent, everlasting, eternal, not subject to change, and will remain just like eternity." He hears the Tathagata or a disciple of the Tathagata teaching Dhamma for the destruction of all standpoints for views, resolutions, obsessions, insistings, and inherent compulsions, for the relinquishing of all belongings, for the evaporation of craving, for fading away, cessation, Nibbana. It occurs to him: "Good grief! I shall be annihilated! Good grief! I shall perish! Good grief! I shall not exist!" He sorrows, grieves, and laments, beats his breast, and becomes distraught. Thus there is anxiety about what is non-existent within.'^{xv}

Jhanas share, to a lesser degree, the bliss of cessation which is Nibbana. In fact, jhanas are called, with qualification, ‘deathless’, ‘Nibbana here & now’, ‘Nibbana’, even ‘final Nibbana’.^{xvi} The gradual abiding in jhana, witnessing the successive stilling of activities, prepares the mind to accept that Nibbana is ultimate bliss, precisely because all feelings have ended.

Venerable Sariputta addressed the monks: ‘Nibbana is bliss, friends, Nibbana is bliss.’

When this was said, Venerable Udayin said to Venerable Sariputta: ‘But what is the bliss there, in that nothing is felt?’

‘Just that is the bliss there, in that nothing is felt... The bliss & happiness that arise dependent on the five cords of sensual pleasure; this is called the bliss of sensual pleasure. Here, a monk, quite secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities, enters and abides in the first jhana... If perception and attention connected with sensual pleasure assail one abiding thus, this is an affliction for him, just as if pain arises in a happy person. Affliction has been called suffering by the Blessed One. By this method it should be understood how Nibbana is bliss...’^{xvii}

Once the mind has experienced jhana, it will deeply understand the suffering of sense-activity and will be reluctant to get involved, remaining free from defilements for a long time. It can then engage in contemplation of Dhamma without being diverted by distracting thoughts, sleepiness, or desire, and can pursue any theme of meditation as long as it wishes.

‘When the mind is released from these five taints [hindrances], it is soft, workable, radiant, not brittle, it has right samadhi for the evaporation of the poisons. One can incline the mind to witness with direct knowledge any principle which can be witnessed with direct knowledge, and become an eyewitness in every case, there being a suitable basis.’^{xviii}

These direct knowledges have been met with above in the examination of satipatthana and the gradual training. Although not absolutely necessary, their frequent occurrence in the suttas indicates that they should not be dismissed lightly. They include such important psychic powers as the ability to recollect one’s past lives and to see how beings are reborn according to their actions. These confirm through personal experience the truth of action and rebirth, which constitutes non-transcendental right view. This will ripen into the transcendental right view of the four noble truths – how the process of rebirth works and how to bring it to an end. These are distinct kinds of knowledge; but the clearer is the knowledge of the details of rebirth, the easier will be the understanding of the principles of rebirth. The practice of jhana will naturally lead to this kind of understanding by demonstrating how the mind can exist quite happily when relieved of the burden of the body. Perhaps this may explain the intriguing reports of near-death experiences – being drawn down a tunnel of light, meeting heavenly beings, feeling great bliss – which are so similar to experiences commonly met with on the threshold of jhana. To get into jhana, one has to die to the body.

It was also noted above how the ability of the mind to form concepts is the basis of both the idea of ‘self’ and the attainment of jhana. As the unseen seer, the ‘lord of the city in the center at the crossroads’, the mind is the prime resort of the ‘self’. Mind consciousness plays a role in the processing of all the other kinds of consciousness, and

therefore can easily be mistaken for a permanent substratum of experience. Further, as it is within the mind that the idea of ‘self’ is born, when the mind is seeking for some aspect of experience to appropriate as ‘self’, it is automatically prone to turn back in on itself rather than seek outside. So we have seen that freedom from attachment to the mind is the exclusive province of the noble ones. For those who start practice with wrong views, then, the mind in jhana becomes the obvious locus for theories of the self. But one who starts practice with right view will instead use their jhana as a basis for insight into the nature of awareness.

Jhanas are at ‘the end of the world’.^{xix} Five coarse kinds of consciousness have disappeared, leaving only the purified mind consciousness. Here, consciousness is directly accessible to profound contemplation. Not catching a glimpse of it here and there like a butterfly flitting in the twilight gloom of the forest, but gazing at it face to face for a long time, like a butterfly caught and pinned down under a brilliant light. Before, the ‘self’ could always shift its ground when challenged. But now it is like an army in retreat, besieged and surrounded in just one city. With nowhere to run, it cannot hold out long. Soon the victorious army of insight will scale the walls and storm the last stronghold of the self: consciousness itself. But why so many words?

“There are these four ways of devotion to the pursuit of pleasure, Cunda, which conduce exclusively to repulsion, fading away, cessation, peace, direct knowledge, enlightenment, Nibbana. What four? Here, a monk... enters and abides in the first jhana... second jhana... third jhana... fourth jhana.

If wanderers from other religions should say: “The monks who are sons of the Sakyan abide devoted to these four ways of pursuing pleasure”, they should be told: “Yes!” since they speak rightly, without misrepresenting the truth.

If wanderers from other religions should ask: “How many fruits and benefits are there from devotion to these four ways of pursuing pleasure?” They should be told: “There are four fruits, four benefits. What four? Here, a monk... becomes a stream-enterer... a once-returner... a non-returner... an arahant.”^{xx}

ⁱ S53.1

ⁱⁱ M13.7 etc.

ⁱⁱⁱ M125.9

^{iv} M54.15-18

^v M75.17-18

^{vi} A9.33

^{vii} M36.32

^{viii} A10.61

^{ix} M18.16. The subtle dynamics of this passage were explored by Bhikkhu Nananda in his classic study *Concept and Reality*.

^x A4.49

^{xi} Sn916

^{xii} Ud4.1, etc.

^{xiii} This does not, however, imply that concepts are not classified as conditioned and impermanent, as some suggest. See S15.20.

^{xiv} D9.10-17

^{xv} M22.20

^{xvi} A9.48ff

^{xvii} A9.34

xviii A5.23 cp. S46.33
xix A9.38
xx D29.24

Jhana and the Noble Ones

‘The four who are on the way
 And the four established in the fruit –
 This is the Sangha upright
 With understanding, virtue, and samadhi.’ⁱⁱ

--- We have seen that all the expositions of the path include samadhi as a central element. This being so, we should expect that samadhi will be one of the intrinsic qualities ascribed to the noble individuals, those who bring the path to life. In this chapter the key sutta statements about the samadhi of the noble ones are collected, starting with the arahant (who seems to be indicated here by ‘noble one’).

‘Monks, there are ten ways of noble living, by which noble ones have dwelt in the past, will dwell in the future, and are dwelling now. What ten?’

‘Here, monks, a monk has abandoned the five hindrances; has equanimity towards the six senses; guards mindfulness; is supported by using, enduring, avoiding, or dispelling after reflection; has rejected personal speculations about the truth; has utterly dismissed all searching; has unclouded intention; having tranquilized the bodily activity [of the breath]... he enters and abides in the fourth jhana; has mind well released with the abandoning of lust, anger, and delusion; and has understanding well released by understanding that lust, anger, and delusion have been permanently uprooted. Whatever noble ones that lived in the past, will live in the future, or are living now, all of them live according to these ten ways of noble living.’ⁱⁱⁱ

--- Elsewhere, the first jhana is shown to be the minimum necessary prerequisite for arahantship,ⁱⁱⁱ but this sutta confirms that all arahants would have access to fourth jhana after their attainment. Notice that this fourth jhana occurs with the tranquilizing of the breath. This obviously refers to a gradual process of settling, occurring at the very least over several minutes. This rules out any ‘momentary’ or transcendental ‘path-moment’ samadhi. For the arahant, free from all defilements, there is nothing to prevent the attainment of jhana.

‘Monk, having abandoned six things one can enter and abide in the first jhana. What six? Sensual desire, ill will, sloth & torpor, restlessness & remorse, doubt; and the danger in sensual pleasures has been well seen with right understanding in accordance with reality.’^{iv}

---To hold that an arahant may not attain jhana is therefore tantamount to implying that they may still be subject to residual defilements. The historical context here is revealing. In virtually all other schools of Buddhism, a threefold development occurred contemporaneous with the development of the jhana-less arahant in the Theravada. Firstly, the Buddha was exalted from being a perfected human to being a god; secondly, the arahant was downgraded, subject, it was felt, to residual sensuality, lack of universal knowledge, even selfishness; and thirdly, the Bodhisattva ideal emerged from obscurity to fill the resulting gap as an alternative way of practice. These trends culminated in the Mahayana. In an attenuated form, the first and third of these trends are also evident in the Theravada commentaries. It should therefore come as no surprise to find the status of the arahant being gradually eroded. Some scholars, however, attempt to derive the jhana-less arahant from one described in the suttas as ‘released by understanding’.

‘ “Released by understanding, released by understanding”, is said, friend. What was the Blessed One referring to when he spoke of the one released by understanding?’

‘Here, friend, a monk... enters and abides in the first jhana. He understands that. This is what the Blessed One was referring to, with qualification, when he spoke of the one released by understanding. Again... he enters and abides in the second jhana... third jhana... fourth jhana... base of infinite space... base of infinite consciousness... base of nothingness... base of neither perception nor non-perception... cessation of perception and feeling. Having seen with understanding, his poisons are completely evaporated. He understands that. This is what the Blessed One was referring to, without qualification, when he spoke of the one released by understanding.’^v

---- Elsewhere, however, the arahant released by understanding is, somewhat inconsistently, said to not dwell in the formless attainments.^{vi} The main point, then, is not which level of samadhi is achieved, but the emphasis on wisdom at each level. Nowhere in the suttas is it stated or implied that an arahant released by understanding does not attain the four jhanas.

---- The non-returner shares with the arahant the distinction of being ‘perfected in samadhi’. With a typically memorable simile, the Buddha explains to Venerable Ananda how the non-returner cuts through the five lower fetters.

‘There is a path, Ananda, a way for the abandoning of the five lower fetters. That someone, without coming to that path, that way, could know or see or abandon the five lower fetters [thereby becoming a non-returner]: that is not possible. Just as when there is a great tree with heartwood standing, it is not possible that anyone could cut out the heartwood without first cutting through the bark and sapwood.... But that someone, by coming to that path, that way, could know and see and abandon the five lower fetters: that is possible.

‘And what, Ananda, is that path? Here, with seclusion from belongings, the abandoning of unbeneficial qualities, the complete tranquilization of bodily disturbance, quite secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities, a monk enters and abides in the first jhana...second jhana...third jhana...fourth jhana...base of infinite space...base of infinite consciousness...base of nothingness. Whatever exists in there of feeling, perception, conceptual activities, and consciousness [also physical form in the four jhanas only], he sees those phenomena as impermanent, suffering, a disease, a tumor, a barb, a calamity, an affliction, alien, disintegrating, empty, not-self. He turns his mind away from those phenomena and directs it towards the deathless element thus: “This is peaceful, this is sublime; that is, the samatha of all activities, the relinquishment of all belongings, the evaporation of craving, fading away, cessation, Nibbana.” Standing on that he attains the evaporation of the poisons [or

to the state of non-return].... This is the path, the way for the abandoning of the five lower fetters.’

‘In that case, Bhante, how is it that some monks are released of heart and some are released by understanding?’

‘The difference here, Ananda, is in their spiritual faculties, I say.’^{vii}

--- Here again the simile drives home that not only is each stage of the path absolutely necessary, it is just as necessary that they occur in the correct sequence: first the bark, then the sapwood, then the heartwood. The samadhi here occurs with the ‘tranquilizing of bodily disturbance’ (sometimes rendered ‘inertia’, but one cannot tranquilize inertia). The remarks above on the incompatibility of ‘path-moment’ samadhi with the tranquilizing of the breath apply here also. This is confirmed yet again in the body of the text, which treats samadhi exclusively as a basis for vipassana, not as an enlightenment experience. Realization then occurs after vipassana.

The suttas do not record any similarly forceful, definitive statements about the samadhi required by the other ‘trainees’ – the stream-enterer, the once-returner, and those on the way – yet the basic position is straightforward.

‘Here, a monk is endowed with the right view, right intention, right speech, right action, right livelihood, right effort, right mindfulness, and right samadhi of a trainee. That is what “trainee” refers to.’^{viii}

‘For a long time, Bhante, I have understood the Dhamma taught by the Blessed One thus: there is knowledge for one who has samadhi, not for one without samadhi. But which comes first, samadhi or knowledge?’

...‘Mahanama, the virtue, samadhi, and understanding of both the trainee and the adept have been spoken of by the Blessed One.... And what is the samadhi of the trainee? Here, a monk...enters and abides in the first jhana...second jhana...third jhana...fourth jhana.’^{ix}

--- Although Venerable Ananda's answer is not explicit, it seems to imply that the samadhi of the trainee comes before the understanding of the trainee, but the understanding of the trainee comes before the samadhi of the adept.

‘One trains in the higher virtue, the higher mind, and the higher understanding, therefore a monk is called a trainee.’^x

‘What is the training in the higher mind? Here, a monk... enters and abides in the first jhana...second jhana...third jhana...fourth jhana.’^{xi}

‘And what is a true person? Here, someone is of right view, right intention, right speech, right action, right livelihood, right effort, right mindfulness, and right samadhi.’^{xii}

‘How is a person an unshakeable contemplative [i.e. a stream-enterer]? Here a monk has right view, right intention, right speech, right action, right livelihood, right effort, right mindfulness, and right samadhi.’^{xiii}

‘Sariputta, one who is endowed with this noble eightfold path is called a stream-enterer, this venerable one of such and such a name and clan.’^{xiv}

--- Such statements should not be taken to restrict the possession of the path to the stream-enterer, for the once-returner, etc., also possess the path. ‘One who’ (*yo*) is indicative, not distributive (which would be *yo yo* or *yo keci*). The point is simply that the ‘stream’ is the practice and the ‘stream-enterer’ is the practitioner.

‘Here, a monk has fulfilled virtue and has measure of samadhi and understanding...with the evaporation of three fetters he is a stream-enterer...’^{xv}

‘A faithful noble disciple, having thus repeatedly practiced striving, remembering, samadhi, and understanding, has full confidence thus: “These principles which I had previously only heard about I

now abide in having personally contacted, and see having penetrated with understanding.”^{xvi}

--- In general, the Buddha described the wrong eightfold path as the ‘bad path’.^{xvii} Here he is more specific.

--- ‘Samadhi is the path; no samadhi is the bad path.’^{xviii}

‘Without abandoning paying attention away from the root, cultivation of the bad path, and laziness of the heart, it is impossible to abandon identity view, doubt, and misapprehension of virtue & vows.’^{xix}

--- If the ‘path’ by which these three fetters are abandoned by the stream-enterer is, or more modestly, includes samadhi, it may be inferred that those on the path to stream-entry also possess samadhi. Being destined for enlightenment,^{xx} these individuals are of great interest to the aspirant, although since the Theravada tradition relegates them to the status of a ‘mind moment’ they are often overlooked. If they possess jhana it follows as a matter of course that those at higher stages will too. They are regularly credited with the five spiritual faculties.

‘One who has completely fulfilled these five spiritual faculties is an arahant. If they are weaker, he is one on the way to witnessing the fruit of arahantship. If they are weaker than that he is a non-returner... one on the way to non-returning... a once-returner... one on the way to once-returning... a stream-enterer... If they are weaker than that, he is one on the way to witnessing the fruit of stream-entry. But monks, I say that one in whom these five spiritual faculties are completely and totally absent is an outsider, one who stands in the faction of ordinary persons.’^{xxi}

--- So the one on the way to stream-entry possesses the spiritual faculty of samadhi, albeit weakly. ‘Weakly’ is of course quite different from ‘not at all’. Below we will examine several passages that describe those on the way to stream-entry in detail, clarifying what is meant by ‘weakly’.

--- This path attainer is further described as the ‘Dhamma-follower’ and the ‘faith-follower’. Although these terms are most commonly used to distinguish between those on the way to

stream-entry as giving chief emphasis to either understanding or faith, in a few passages they seem to be used as complementary descriptions of these ‘wayfarers’ treated as a single group.^{xxii} This is reminiscent of the usage of the analogous terms ‘release of heart, release by understanding’ which we briefly noted above (Chapter two). In fact, the suttas’ treatment of the different classifications of noble individuals (apart from the standard set of four pairs) is somewhat loose, and must be sensitively judged in each context. Even when they *are* differentiated, the Dhamma-follower has the spiritual faculty of faith, while the faith-follower has the spiritual faculty of understanding, leaving no doubt that the difference is simply a matter of emphasis, and explaining how both terms can also be applied to all the wayfarers without distinction. The following passage compares the Dhamma-follower and the faith-follower with the stream-enterer. ‘Rightness’ is the noble eightfold path.^{xxiii}

‘Monks, the eye...the ear...the nose...the tongue...the body...the mind is impermanent, changing, becoming otherwise. One who has faith and certainty in these principles thus is called a faith-follower, one who has entered the fixed course of rightness, entered the plane of true persons, and transcended the plane of ordinary persons. He is incapable of doing any action having done which he would be reborn in hell, an animal’s womb, or the ghost realm. He is incapable of passing away without having witnessed the fruit of stream-entry.

‘Monks, the eye...the ear...the nose...the tongue...the body...the mind is impermanent, changing, becoming otherwise. One who thus accepts these principles after pondering with a measure of understanding is called a Dhamma-follower, one who has entered the fixed course of rightness, entered the plane of true persons, and transcended the plane of ordinary persons. He is incapable of doing any action having done which he would be reborn in hell, an animal’s womb, or the ghost realm. He is incapable of passing away without having witnessed the fruit of stream-entry.

‘One who knows & sees these principles thus is called a stream-enterer, not subject to [rebirth in] the abyss, fixed in destiny, destined for enlightenment.’^{xxiv}

While the above passage deals with the understanding of the wayfarers, the following deals with their samadhi.

‘What kind of person is the Dhamma-follower? Here, monks, a certain person does not, having transcended forms, personally contact and abide in those peaceful formless liberations, and his poisons are not fully evaporated after being seen with understanding. But he accepts after pondering with a measure of understanding the principles made known by the Tathagata, and he has these qualities: the spiritual faculties of faith, energy, mindfulness, samadhi, and understanding....

‘What kind of person is the faith-follower? Here, monks, a certain person does not, having transcended forms, personally contact and abide in those peaceful formless liberations, and his poisons are not fully evaporated after being seen with understanding. But he has a measure of faith and affection for the Tathagata, and he has these qualities: the spiritual faculties of faith, energy, mindfulness, samadhi, and understanding ...^{xxv}

--- In the following passage, ‘laughing understanding’ and ‘swift understanding’ refer to the arahant and the non-returner, ‘release’ to the arahant only. Although the terms are not used, the first ‘certain person’ is obviously the Dhamma-follower, and the second is the faith-follower.

‘Here, Mahanama, a certain person is endowed neither with confirmed confidence in the Buddha, Dhamma, and Sangha, nor with laughing understanding, swift understanding, or release. Yet he has these qualities: the spiritual faculties of faith, energy, mindfulness, samadhi, and understanding; and he accepts the Dhamma made known by the Tathagata after pondering with a measure of understanding. Even this person will not go to hell, an animal’s womb, the ghost realm, or to a lower realm, bad destiny, or the abyss.

‘Here, Mahanama, a certain person is endowed neither with confirmed confidence in the Buddha, Dhamma, and Sangha, nor with laughing understanding, swift understanding, or release. Yet he

has these qualities: the spiritual faculties of faith, energy, mindfulness, samadhi, and understanding; and he has a measure of faith and affection for the Tathagata. Even this person will not go to hell, an animal's womb, the ghost realm, or to a lower realm, bad destiny, or the abyss.^{xxvi}

--- Those on the way to stream-entry are contrasted with the stream-enterer in terms of their primary cognitive mode. The stream-enterer 'knows & sees' directly, while the wayfarers accept by faith or by pondering, i.e. with primarily emotive or intellectual acquiescence to the teachings. These kinds of acceptance are two of five ways of knowing mentioned elsewhere, which may lead to either right or wrong conclusions, and which do not constitute 'awakening to the truth'. However, if correctly apprehended – as provisional, not conclusive – they form part of the practice for awakening.^{xxvii} In fact, acquiescence in line with the teachings is necessary before one can enter the way.^{xxviii} Although this contrast is consistent with the normal ascription of 'knowledge & vision in accordance with reality' to the stream-enterer, elsewhere the noble ones as a whole are described as 'directly knowing' in contrast with the ordinary person, who merely 'perceives'.^{xxix} Rather than describing these wayfarers as directly knowing or not, it seems more relevant to describe them as being in the process of coming to know. Like the time just before sunrise: compared with midnight it is light, but compared with midday it is still dark. When contrasted with the ordinary person, then, it may be said that they have direct knowledge, but when contrasted with the stream-enterer their knowledge is primarily conceptual. The possession of the spiritual faculty of understanding, though limited in this way, implies a degree of direct introspective insight. Not having fully seen the Dhamma, they also lack 'confirmed confidence'. Earlier, we saw this as a key ingredient of the 'relinquishment' that supports the samadhi of the stream-enterer. The wayfarers would instead rely on their own intrinsic qualities: love of the Buddha, freedom from doubt about the teachings, and lack of remorse for doing evil.

--- While the texts exhibit some equivocation over the understanding and faith faculties of those on the way to stream-entry, no such hesitation is expressed regarding their samadhi faculty. The Buddha specifically addresses the question of the level of samadhi required for the initial entry to the path. In common with the arahant released by understanding (sometimes),

the wayfarers do not have the formless liberations. But they are repeatedly declared to possess the spiritual faculty of samadhi, i.e. jhana. Although the Buddha took pains to make the attainment of the way to stream-entry appear accessible, emphasizing the qualities that the Dhamma-follower and faith-follower lack, nowhere is jhana included among the qualities that they lack. The weakness of the spiritual faculty of samadhi may be explained by the weakness of the supporting 'relinquishment'. The weakness of the faculty, it may be noted, does not necessarily imply weakness of samadhi. Even ordinary people, while totally bereft of the faculties, may be highly skilled in all samadhi attainments. The term 'spiritual faculty' implies rather that these principles become the ascendant prevailing dispositions, dominant in their own fields, and not to be overthrown. For ordinary people, the long-term prognosis is decline from the heights they have attained, and eventually perhaps rebirth even in hell; while for the trainees, though they may still have short-term difficulties, the long term will bring only progress.

--- If the wayfarers possess jhana, why then, as we saw above, is samadhi not directly stated in the dependent liberation to be a vital condition for this attainment? Consider the structure of the noble eightfold path. One starts with conceptual right view, and then develops the remaining factors culminating in right samadhi, which includes reviewing knowledge, a wisdom practice. At this point all the path factors have been fulfilled to a degree which may for some be sufficient for entering the way. So it seems that the attainment of right samadhi may itself be equivalent to entering the way. It therefore could not be said that it is a condition for entering the way, since nothing can be a condition for itself. This does not necessarily imply that all those with right view who develop samadhi become noble ones; this would depend on one's spiritual maturity, especially one's depth of wisdom or faith.

--- Since the wayfarers must inevitably attain stream-entry in this very life, even if prevented from practicing by, say, grave illness or sudden death, it is difficult to escape the conclusion that they need not further develop their meditation after the entry to the path.^{xxx} This makes sense if we understand the attainment of the path as requiring development of all the path factors to a sufficient degree, such that the conditions for stream-entry are already fulfilled, requiring only time to bear their fruit. There does not seem to be any method given to ascertain exactly how much the path factors must be developed to reach this point. Normally, of course, the character of such individuals would be to delight in

meditation, and the Buddha further exhorts them to put forth effort to minimize their time in samsara.

Conclusion

All noble ones are consistently and repeatedly said to be endowed with samadhi as a path-factor and spiritual faculty, to possess the samadhi of a trainee, and to train in the higher mind. These are all defined as jhana. In my opinion, the conclusion is inescapable: jhana is necessary for all the stages of noble liberation. The noble path has eight factors. Jhanas are one of those factors. Only with the fulfillment of all eight factors can one be considered to be on the path. At the end of our labyrinthine tour of the suttas we return to this simple, straightforward principle. Our explorations of the subtle, sometimes enigmatic, world of the suttas serve to illuminate this model, revealing unexpected nuances, unappreciated depths. Like a fugue, where the main theme is reflected in every note, not distorted but enhanced by the modulations and transformations; so too the keynote of peace permeates the path. As we will see below, this pervasion is so complete that the very passages which at first glance may seem to introduce a new thematic paradigm, with sensitive appraisal often turn out to enrich our appreciation of the essential theme.

‘I say, monk, that the evaporation of the poisons is dependant on the first jhana... second jhana...third jhana...fourth jhana...base of infinite space...base of infinite consciousness...base of nothingness...base of neither perception nor non-perception.’^{xxxi}

ⁱ S1.916. Bhikkhu Bodhi, citing the commentarial gloss on another verse, renders ‘samahita’ as ‘endowed’, not ‘concentrated’. His reason is not compelling, and I prefer to see the phrase as a straightforward summary of the threefold training. The issue is not doctrinally critical.

ⁱⁱ A10.20 condensed

ⁱⁱⁱ e.g. M64, A9.36

^{iv} A6.73

^v A9.44

^{vi} M70.16, S12.70, cp. A4.87

^{vii} M64.7ff

^{viii} S45.13

^{ix} A3.73

^x A3.84, cp.M48.12

^{xi} A3.88, 89

^{xii} S45.25

^{xiii} A4.89

^{xiv} S55.5

^{xv} A3.86, 9.12

xvi S48.50

xvii M19.26

xviii A6.64

xix A10.76

xx M22.46

xxi S48.18

xxii e.g. M22.46, M34.10

xxiii S45.21

xxiv S25.1

xxv M70.20-21

xxvi S55.24

xxvii M95.14ff, cp. S12.68

xxviii A6.88, A6.98ff

xxix e.g. M1, S48.5

xxx Similar principles may be inferred of those on the way to once-returning, etc., since the suttas never include them when describing the passing away of the noble ones.

xxxi A9.36

Chapter 8

Counter-examples

Having completed our survey, we now turn to a discussion of some of the sutta passages that have been taken to indicate that jhanas are not necessary for liberation. We have seen that the suttas explain the path clearly and explicitly, approaching the subject from different angles, but always including jhana as an intrinsic element. If we are to discover a jhana-less path we should expect a similar approach. The principle of proportion and the principle of historical perspective, which I proposed in the first chapter, now come to the fore. Minor teachings should be seen in context. Background stories should be carefully examined for authenticity. We should ask: 'Is the Buddha here altering the eightfold path, or describing a different path? Or is he clarifying details, emphasizing particular aspects or modes of practicing the eightfold path?' As far as I can see, there are two possible outcomes of this discussion. Either the counter-examples do not, in fact, imply that jhanas are unnecessary, or there appears to be a contradiction in the teachings. Obviously the first alternative is preferable, so we will see if these passages can be interpreted in line with the core teachings. Normally this is straightforward. If, however, the interpretations we provide – some of which must remain tentative in the absence of definitive statements in the passages themselves, itself an indication of their secondary status – are not felt to be acceptable in some cases, this should not be taken as negating the authoritative sutta passages in the previous chapters.

---- The Susima Sutta has been regularly relied on to substantiate the claim that an arahant need not possess jhana. According to the Pali version of the sutta, the wanderer Susima fraudulently entered the Sangha desiring wealth and fame. He questioned a number of monks who had declared arahantship, and they denied possessing psychic powers or formless attainments. This is quite in line with the general position of the suttas. Jhana is not mentioned. Their declaration of arahantship included the phrase: 'The holy life has been lived.' This means they have fully developed the noble eightfold path. They say they are 'released by understanding'; we have seen above that such an attainment

regularly includes jhana, although, as here, it may not include the formless attainments, which are extra and beyond the four jhanas. Venerable Susima is obviously puzzled by the monks' statements, and goes to the Buddha. The Buddha does not explicitly endorse the monks' claims to arahantship,ⁱ but explains the situation thus:

'First there is the knowledge of the regularity of natural principles; afterwards there is the knowledge of Nibbana.'ⁱⁱ

--- This statement re-emphasizes the importance of causality and the regular sequence of knowledge. Without an understanding of the causal principles underlying suffering and the causal principles of the practice to break free from suffering, it is impossible to realize Nibbana. The Buddha then teaches Venerable Susima a passage from the Anattalakkhana Sutta culminating in the release of arahantship.ⁱⁱⁱ This release is described in the Anattalakkhana Sutta as 'release of mind'. We saw above that this 'mind' (or 'heart') release is due to the fading away of lust; and the fading away of lust is due to the development of the mind through samatha.^{iv} The Buddha goes on to teach dependent origination. Venerable Susima says that he understands those teachings, and filled with remorse, confesses his transgression to the Buddha.

--- Interestingly, in the Chinese version of this text, though the basic doctrinal teaching of dependent origination is similar and so original, the background story is quite different. There, Venerable Susima, having fraudulently entered the Sangha, nevertheless quickly picked up enough doctrinal knowledge to expose by cross-examining some monks who had falsely boasted of being enlightened (one of the most serious vinaya offences). As this text shows the monks in a very bad light it is unlikely to have been forged. While the faults of monks are of necessity on display throughout the Vinaya Pitaka, the suttas almost always focus on the positive. We may surmise that the background story in the Pali version was rewritten to whitewash faults in the Sangha. This must have occurred when the Pali canon of the Theravada school had already become separated from the version later preserved in Chinese. This separation occurred no earlier than the Third Council, over a century after the Buddha's passing away.^v Perhaps the de-emphasis on samadhi in the Pali version of the story, though not actually contradicting the original teachings, marks an early stage in the development of the concept of 'dry insight' without jhana.

--- In several places the Buddha talks about two ‘ways of practice’, which may be taken to indicate the distinction between separate meditative paths on the basis of whether or not they include jhana. The ‘painful way of practice’ consists of the perceptions of the ugliness of the body, the repulsiveness of food, boredom with the whole world, death, and the impermanence of all activities. This ‘painful way of practice’ is contrasted (unfavorably) with the ‘pleasant way of practice’, which consists of jhana.^{vi} We may note that the painful mode is never identified with vipassana; the basic purpose of most of these contemplations is to eradicate sensual lust, which is an aspect of samatha. Nor has it anything to do with contemplation of painful feelings. Moreover, the distinction between these ways of practice was clearly not meant as a hard and fast division. Venerable Sariputta’s practice was of the pleasant mode, yet he had also perfected body contemplation.^{vii} Venerable Moggallana’s practice was of the painful mode, yet he possessed mastery in all levels of samadhi.^{viii} The distinction is merely a matter of emphasis. The pleasant practice of jhana does not supplant the other seven path factors; nor does the painful practice supplant jhana. In fact, one practicing each of the contemplations of the painful way of practice is said to ‘not neglect jhana’^{ix} and to possess the five spiritual faculties, if only weakly.^x

--- Again, the Buddha says to Mahanama the Sakyan that sensuality may arise in a noble one if they do not attain jhana.^{xi} However, this is phrased in the present tense, ‘does not attain’, not ‘has never attained’. It seems that even after a stream-enterer has seen the Dhamma their samadhi may fall away unless they devote sufficient time to meditation, and therefore certain defilements may re-arise.^{xii} Stream-enterers and once-returners are not ‘perfected in samadhi’. This does not imply that they have never experienced any jhanas, only that they may not have experienced all four jhanas, or may not be proficient in them.

--- Again, the six recollections – the Buddha, Dhamma, Sangha, virtue, generosity, and deities – are taught as a basis for arahantship,^{xiii} even though according to the Visuddhimagga they only lead to access samadhi. Such suttas, teaching samatha for arahantship with no mention of vipassana, can hardly suffice to establish a path of ‘pure vipassana’. Moreover, the suttas say that these meditations will abandon the five cords of sensual pleasures, so that the meditator dwells ‘with heart become in every way like space – vast, exalted, measureless, free from hatred and ill will.’^{xiv} They are called ‘blissful abidings here & now pertaining to the higher mind, for the purification of the

unpurified mind, for the brightening of the dull mind.^{xv} They lead to the abandoning of the 'taints of the mind'.^{xvi} Each of these phrases implies jhana. There is no distinction derivable from the suttas between the samadhi which results from these recollections and the samadhi which results from any other meditation subject. The Buddha simply confirms this straightforward interpretation by saying that one who develops these recollections 'does not neglect jhana'.^{xvii} The chief reason why the Visuddhimagga thinks these recollections cannot induce jhana is that they involve recollecting and pondering over diverse qualities of the Buddha, etc., and so can lead only to access samadhi (which is an incidental admission that so-called access samadhi is not truly one-pointed).^{xviii} But there seems to be no reason why one could not leave off contemplating diverse qualities and focus on just one quality to arouse jhana. A similar process is recommended in the Visuddhimagga itself for some other meditations, such as the parts of the body. This technique, based on the mental recitation of the word 'Buddho', is a favorite method for developing jhana in contemporary Thailand.

--- Probably the most striking and influential passages, which many believe to imply the superfluity of jhana, are the background stories of monastics and laypeople attaining various levels of Dhamma with no mention of prior meditative development, usually while listening to a talk. There is usually nothing to positively rule out the possibility of previous attainment of jhana, but in many cases this seems unlikely, especially where laypeople are concerned. Regarded as extraordinary and noteworthy, such cases of 'instant enlightenment' occur in a small proportion of suttas (for example, about half a dozen times in the Majjhima Nikaya), almost always when the Buddha himself is teaching. The Buddha is, however, rarely personally credited with confirming these attainments. In fact, he is at times reluctant to do so, typically preferring to show how one can know for oneself.^{xix}

The most common attainment is stream-entry, so many conclude that such passages imply that this does not require jhana. There are, however, a number of striking descriptions of people attaining arahantship in circumstances where it seems unlikely they had previously developed jhana. So it seems that if such stories imply a jhana-less stream-enterer we must also admit a jhana-less arahant. But I prefer to reverse the logic: the texts unequivocally declare that arahants must have jhana, so these stories cannot prove the existence of jhana-less arahants. This

being so, they cannot prove the jhana-less stream-enterer either. Here I will advance three lines of argument to support this conclusion. Firstly, such stories are often of questionable authenticity. Secondly, they frequently describe a kind of samadhi gained immediately prior to the enlightenment experience that could well be jhana. And thirdly, they were meant to point to the path, not replace it. If this is not enough, in Appendix 3 I adduce additional evidence derived from a comparative study on episodes of instant enlightenment in the Theravada Majjhima Nikaya and the Sarvastivada Madhyama Agama.

First to historical matters. Descriptions of ‘instant enlightenment’ are scattered through the canon, but most characteristically in the first chapter of the Vinaya Mahavagga. I will therefore concentrate on this text, though I believe many of the features I note here also occur in other contexts.

This chapter tells of the founding of Buddhism. It starts under the Bodhi tree with the Buddha reflecting how Dhammas become clear to the ‘ardent brahman in jhana’. It goes on to tell how the Buddha converted his first followers and established a monastic order, thus serving to introduce the Vinaya. This text, together with the Maha Parinibbana Sutta – with which it shares much in common – forms the basis of all later biographies of the Buddha. The other early schools also had their versions of this text, some of them extending it to a full-fledged biography. Although the early suttas spare little time for biography, later writers found that the Buddha’s life story gave the teachings that ‘personal touch’ ideal for popularizing the teachings. The story was probably included to provide the Vinaya students with some background and doctrinal teachings, rounding out their education.

And, adding zest to the sometimes dry Vinaya material, the chapter abounds in all manner of wonderful and marvellous happenings – deities appearing; displays of supernormal powers; the Buddha even does battle with a ferocious fire-breathing dragon, tames it, and puts it in his bowl! This latter event comes replete with a flowery but superfluous verse summary (mistaken for prose in the PTS Pali and translation) – an obviously late literary feature. Accounts of miraculous events are fairly restrained in the early strata of the canon. They increase markedly in the later strata, such as the Maha Parinibbana Sutta or the Sagathavagga, and proliferate wildly in later literature. Some of

the Mahavagga miracles must have had popular currency. At least one, the story of the Buddha walking on water, later served as the literary model for the well known story in the Christian Gospels.

Not least wonderful and marvellous are the ‘miracles of conversion’. It is to be expected that the codifiers of a religion should ascribe the maximum possible purity to the first adherents. It seems that almost everyone the Buddha taught in those first months became a stream-enterer at least. This includes, for example, King Bimbisara together with a hundred thousand leading householders of Magadha. (There is no mention of how such a vast multitude managed to squeeze around the woodland shrine where the Buddha was staying.) Such trends, too, proliferated in later writings; the Milinda Panha piously informs us that over a billion lay followers had realized the Dhamma. There is surely an anomaly here. Only a short while before, the Buddha, reflecting on the subtlety of Dhamma and the strength of defilements, doubted anyone could understand and famously hesitated whether to teach at all.

Professor AK Warder suspects a political influence at work here. Although the Buddha’s main centre of operations was in the neighbouring kingdom of Kosala, there are no comparable accounts of mass realizations there. During the period this text was being assembled, the star of Kosala was waning, while that of Magadha was waxing, following the aggressive expansionist policy initiated by Ajatasattu and culminating in the pan-Indian Buddhist empire of Asoka. It would have been most agreeable for the increasingly politicized Sangha of the time to emphasize the early Buddhist traditions of Magadha. It seems not too far-fetched to see in this chapter’s emphasis on biography, missionary activity, miracles, mass lay conversion, and the liberating efficacy of listening to the teachings a close connection with the popular, urbanized, scholastic Buddhism which emerged in Asoka’s time.

I suggest that this first chapter of the Mahavagga was probably finalized around the same period as the final chapter of the Culavagga, which tells of the Third Council, that is, perhaps two hundred years after the Buddha’s passing away. Could this be connected with the schismatic thesis, disputed by the Theravada at the Third Council, which claimed that the path could be induced by a word? Although the doctrinal seeds around which this text crystallized – the four noble truths, the eightfold noble

path, dependent origination – spring from the heart of the Buddha’s awakening, the details of the connecting biography record popular tradition as much as enlightened revelation.

As I have already noted, ‘instant enlightenment’ also occurs elsewhere in the Pali canon. Having seen the dubious authenticity of the text where these events occur most prominently, we may well suspect that other occurrences may be tarred with the same brush. Stories come to mind such as the conversion of the assassins sent by Devadatta and the later conversion of Devadatta’s entire retinue. Now I do not wish to suggest that all such stories are spurious. Some of the doctrinal passages we will examine below confirm that it is possible to realize Dhamma during a talk, and many of the historical accounts may be genuine. However, I do wish to suggest that the historical evidence attesting to realization without prior Dhamma practice is slim. It is often just those occasions when it seems least likely that the listener would have previously developed jhana that turn out to be most historically questionable.

And so to my second line of argument. The passages describing ‘instant enlightenment’ frequently emphasize how the joy of listening to Dhamma purifies the mind in readiness for realization. Although the texts are not completely explicit on this point, the description of this mind state is certainly consonant with jhana. The standard passage is as follows.

“Then the Blessed One gave the householder Upali graduated instruction; that is, talk on giving, virtue, and the heavens. He explained the danger, degradation, and defilement in sensual pleasures and the blessings of renunciation. When he knew that the householder Upali’s mind was ready, soft, free of hindrances, elated, clear of doubt, he expounded to him the special teaching of the Buddhas: Suffering, its origin, its cessation, and the path. Just as a clean cloth with all the stains removed would take dye evenly, so too while the householder Upali was sitting right there, the stainless, immaculate eye of the Dhamma arose in him: “Whatever is subject to arising is subject to cessation.”^{xxx}

--- This passage emphasizes the gradual, progressive nature of the teaching, paralleling the gradual, progressive nature of the

path. We have seen how jhanas, the ‘bliss of renunciation’, are the escape from sensual pleasures; how the freedom from hindrances is equated with the first jhana; and how samadhi is the indispensable condition for seeing the four noble truths. We have also seen that a stream-enterer knows that: ‘These principles, which I formerly only heard of, I now abide in having personally contacted, and see having penetrated with understanding.’ The simile of the clean cloth further emphasizes the need for purity of mind to see the Dhamma. Is it possible to attain jhana during a Dhamma talk?

‘When a noble disciple bends his ear to listen to Dhamma, paying full attention as a matter of vital concern, applying his whole heart to it, on that occasion the five hindrances are not present, on that occasion the seven enlightenment factors come to fulfillment by development.’^{xxi}

--- Here, samadhi is signified in both the negative aspect, as abandonment of the hindrances, and positive aspect, as fulfillment – not mere preliminary arousing – of the enlightenment factor of samadhi. I do not see how this could be anything less than full jhana. While the above passage concerns one who is already a noble disciple, the following passage concerns one who is to enter the way to stream-entry.^{xxii}

‘Endowed with these five qualities, monks, one listening to the true Dhamma is incapable of entering the fixed course of rightness regarding beneficial qualities. What five? One criticizes the teachings; one criticizes the teacher; one criticizes oneself; one listens to Dhamma as one of scattered mind, not of one-pointed mind; and one pays attention away from the root. [But if one has the opposite qualities, one is capable.]’^{xxiii}

--- If one-pointedness here merely implies paying full attention to the teaching, this passage might be read as implying that a pre-jhanic level of concentration can be sufficient to realize the Dhamma while listening to a discourse. But ‘rightness’ means the noble eightfold path, including jhana; and the samadhi of one practicing for stream-entry is the spiritual faculty of samadhi, etc. We have also noted that the only passage that analyzes an unambiguously pre-jhanic samadhi in more detail describes it as

‘not unified’.^{xxiv} This passage therefore seems to imply jhana attained either before or during the talk itself. If so, this strongly supports the necessity of jhana for entering the path. The following passage describes the process in more detail.

‘There are, monks, these five bases for release where for a monk abiding diligent, ardent, and resolute his unreleased mind becomes released, his unevaporated poisons become evaporated, and the unattained supreme security from bondage becomes attained. What five?’

‘Here, the Teacher or a respected companion in the holy life teaches the Dhamma to a monk....’

‘...Or a monk teaches the Dhamma he has learnt to others....’

‘...Or a monk recites in detail the Dhamma he has learnt....’

‘...Or a monk thinks over, examines, and explores with his mind the Dhamma he has learnt....’

‘...Or a certain basis of samadhi is well apprehended, well attended to, well held in mind, and well penetrated with understanding....’

[In all of the above cases:] ‘He is inspired by the meaning and the Dhamma. Being inspired by the meaning and the Dhamma, gladness is born in him. In one who is glad, rapture is born. In one with rapturous mind, the body becomes tranquil. One with tranquil body feels bliss. The mind of one who is blissful enters samadhi.... These are the five bases of release....’^{xxv}

--- These situations correspond with the development of the enlightenment factors,^{xxvi} with the basis of psychic power ‘dependent on inquiry, one gains samadhi, one gains one-pointedness of mind’, with the path which develops vipassana prior to samatha, and with the nine dhammas that are very helpful.^{xxvii} The crucial conditions for jhana are present exactly as normal, and samadhi, the regular synonym for jhana, appears as usual in between bliss and liberating insight.

Unlike the previous passages, here there is no particular reason to suppose that the attainment of samadhi must be simultaneous with hearing the Dhamma, etc. Rather, hearing the Dhamma, etc., is the spark for the development of samadhi. Although we

noted above that the historical records almost always associate such events with teachings given by the Buddha himself, these doctrinal passages do not distinguish between whether the Buddha or a disciple is teaching; nor do they imply that the teacher must have psychic powers. We may note that only once in the suttas does a monk become enlightened while teaching, and in that case the monk was already a non-returner.^{xxviii} In fact, listening to Dhamma is the only one of these occasions mentioned regularly for attaining stream-entry; but we should be cautious in drawing any implications from this. The suttas are, after all, records of oral teachings, not of meditation experiences.

This passage should be considered in conjunction with the discourse on the ‘abider in Dhamma’.^{xxix} There, the first four kinds of monks – the studier, the teacher, the reciter, and the thinker – are contrasted unfavorably with the ‘abider in Dhamma’, who ‘does not neglect retreat, is devoted to samatha of the heart within’. This points back to the phrase ‘diligent, ardent, and resolute’ – study only yields its fruits when married to meditation.

----The case of the brahman Dhanañjani might also be mentioned in this connection. A corrupt tax collector, he was nevertheless able to develop the divine abidings on his deathbed through being exhorted by Venerable Sariputta, and through the attainment of that jhana he was reborn in the Brahma realm.^{xxx}

I have left the most important line of argument until last. These passages were never intended to supplant or modify the noble eightfold path. Their purpose is to inspire, to rouse, to point to the teachings. They should encourage us to investigate the teachings thoroughly and to apply our whole hearts to the practice for the realization of the Dhamma in its fullness. Let us not grab the snake by its tail. Exploiting such passages to opt out of key aspects of the path surely confounds the very purpose of the teachings.

---- When all is said and done, though, these passages remain the most difficult to square with the integral importance of jhana in the path. Indeed, they rest uneasily with our basic understanding that the attainment of Dhamma is a direct inner realization transcending concepts. At some point in the discourse, surely, the listener must apply the conceptual knowledge they have gained to the direct contemplation of experience. This much is implied by ‘paying attention to the root’. If this inner turning to vipassana

can occur, there seems to be no hard and fast reason why an inner turning to jhana cannot also occur.^{xxxii} Certainly, there are no sutta passages that rule this out. Perhaps we must simply accept that these are almost unimaginable events occurring for the most extraordinary individuals.

For practical purposes, the question as to whether or not such events imply jhana is perhaps not of overriding importance. At most they establish that some individuals can make extremely rapid progress on the path. They never constitute a separate path. The best way to maximise our chance of realizing Dhamma while listening to a talk is to assiduously develop samatha and vipassana. Right view is assisted not just by learning, and not just by meditation, but by 'virtue, learning (or 'listening'), discussion, samatha, and vipassana'.^{xxxiii}

---- The above passages all mention samadhi. Dhamma is often taught, however, without any mention of samadhi at all. For example, neither the Buddha's second or third sermons mention samadhi, yet both led the audience to arahantship.^{xxxiiii} How should this be understood? Elsewhere, Dhamma is taught with no mention of wisdom. For example, the famous verses known as the 'Exhortation in the Code of Conduct' primarily focus on virtue, but include two phrases indicating meditation: 'brightening the mind' and 'higher mind'. These refer specifically to samadhi. Vipassana constitutes the 'higher understanding' not mentioned here. Having taught only virtue and samadhi, the Buddha sums up by saying: 'This is the dispensation of the Buddhas.'^{xxxv} The Sonadanda Sutta exemplifies how these and similar contexts should be interpreted. A brahman tells the Buddha:

'Understanding is purified by virtue, and virtue is purified by understanding; where one is, the other is; a virtuous person has understanding, and an understanding person is virtuous; and the combination of understanding and virtue is called the highest thing in the world.'

'But, brahman, what is that virtue, what is that under-standing...?'

'Here, a disciple goes forth [as in the Samaññaphala Sutta]...undertakes the virtues...guards the senses...develops mindfulness and clear comprehension...is content, etc. That, brahman, is virtue.'

‘He enters and abides in the four jhanas...develops the direct knowledges...and attains the evaporation of the poisons...That, brahman, is understanding.’^{xxxv}

--- When the path is taught in brief, certain aspects may be emphasized or others omitted to suit the situation. However, the detailed explanation of these brief teachings should be given in terms of the overall framework. If jhanas are not specifically mentioned, they can be included under wisdom.

--- Many fear that samadhi leads to attachment. This theme finds scant support in the suttas. The ‘danger’ in samadhi is treated identically with the ‘danger’ in mindfulness and understanding; that is, they are not yet the final goal.^{xxxvi} Abandoning the subtle relishing of the bliss of samadhi – reckoned as the fetters of lust for form and the formless, and in the craving, the poison, and the inherent compulsion for existence – is chiefly the task of the non-returner.^{xxxvii} The issue of attachment to samadhi is addressed in the following analysis by Venerable Maha Kaccana of an enigmatic statement of the Buddha.

‘A monk should scrutinize in such a way that as he scrutinizes his consciousness is not distracted and scattered externally, is not stuck within, and by not grasping he is not anxious. If he does so, then there is no production of birth, aging, death, and the origin of suffering for him in the future.’...

‘How, friends, is consciousness called “distracted and scattered externally”? Having seen a visible form with the eye, a monk’s consciousness follows after the feature of visible form, is tied, shackled, and fettered by the fetter of gratification in the feature of visible form. [And so on.]

‘And how, friends, is consciousness called “not distracted and scattered externally”? Having seen a visible form with the eye, a monk’s consciousness does not follow after the feature of visible form, is not tied, shackled, and fettered by the fetter of gratification in the feature of visible form. [And so on.]

‘And how, friends, is the mind called “stuck within”? Here, a monk...enters and abides in the first jhana. His consciousness follows after the rapture & bliss born of seclusion, is tied, shackled, and fettered by the fetter of gratification in the rapture & bliss

born of seclusion. [And so on for the “rapture & bliss born of samadhi” of the second jhana; the “bliss with equanimity” of the third jhana; and the “neither pleasure nor pain” of the fourth jhana.]

‘And how, friends, is the mind called “not stuck within”? Here, a monk ... enters and abides in the first jhana. His consciousness does not follow after the rapture & bliss born of seclusion, is not tied, shackled, and fettered by the fetter of gratification in the rapture & bliss born of seclusion. [And so on.]’^{xxxviii}

--- Venerable Maha Kaccana’s exposition is typically subtle and precise. The three kinds of scrutiny correspond with the threefold training. The first kind is closely linked with sense restraint, an aspect of virtue. Note that ‘mental phenomena’ are here treated as external to consciousness, just as the other sense objects. The section on samadhi then follows as the natural sequel to virtue. Here Venerable Maha Kaccana switches from ‘consciousness’ to ‘mind’. Although generally having the same denotation, the suttas tend to treat ‘consciousness’ in terms of the first noble truth – ‘to be fully known’ – and ‘mind’ in terms of the fourth noble truth – ‘to be developed’. The analysis shows that the way beyond attachment to spiritual bliss is not by avoiding jhana. The monk ‘not stuck within’ practices jhana just the same, but uses the subtle wisdom empowered by samadhi to avert attachment. The suttas consistently recommend samadhi as part of the practice to overcome attachment to samadhi.^{xxxix}

I did not include a translation of the analysis of the phrase ‘by not grasping he is not anxious’. It explains how, if the five aggregates are conceived in relation to a ‘self’, anxiety arises when they change. Venerable Maha Kaccana does not comment on the final phrase: ‘The production of birth, aging, death, and the origin of suffering in the future.’ The identical phrase occurs in the Maha Nidana Sutta with reference to the fixation of consciousness in mentality & physical form. It suggests the dual role of consciousness, as the center of lived experience and as the sense of identity flowing on in rebirths. The verse which follows the same introductory summary in the Itivuttaka confirms that rebirth is the issue.^{xl}

--- This ties up with the Bodhisatta’s famous rejection of his former teachers under whom he reached the highest formless attainments.^{xli} When the Bodhisatta first practiced with these teachers, he began by studying the doctrinal theory. This is not

explained in detail, but would certainly have placed the practice of samadhi within a conceptual framework, probably positing the eternal existence of a blissful soul in the plane of rebirth corresponding to the samadhi attainment. Any samadhi which results from such a wrong view is of necessity wrong samadhi. The Bodhisatta nevertheless credits his teachers with possessing faith, energy, mindfulness, samadhi, and understanding (here these are not called 'spiritual faculties'), disposing of any idea that mindfulness and understanding are unique to Buddhism. As well as understanding kamma and rebirth, these teachers may well have pointed out that almost everything is impermanent, suffering, and not-self, clinging only to a rarified view of self. But the Bodhisatta set out on his spiritual quest in search of what was not subject to birth, aging, and death, and so he rejected this wrong samadhi of the sectarians, which leads only to rebirth in exalted planes of existence. His respect and gratitude for his former teachers is evident however, since they were the first people he thought of teaching after his enlightenment; having long had 'little dust in their eyes' they would quickly understand the Dhamma. He did not extend the same honor to the self-mortifiers of the group of five monks as he did to the samadhi practitioners, merely commenting that 'they were very helpful'.^{xlii}

It is interesting that when the Bodhisatta, immediately before his enlightenment, reflected on his past practice of samadhi, he skipped over his experience with the sectarian teachers, recalling instead an isolated episode from his youth. Perhaps this memory showed him that samadhi need not be imprisoned within a dogmatic metaphysical framework, but in its essence is simply a natural expression of the gracious flow of the Dhamma.

Conclusion

In summary, the passages that have been used to throw doubt on the necessity for jhana consist of minor doctrinal statements and background stories. Even if we were to find a direct statement of the superfluity of jhana in such material, could this outweigh the great mass of clear teachings on the path? Better try to derail a freight train with a toothpick. And of course, there is no such direct statement. The Buddha would hardly have fixed jhana squarely in the heart of the path only to about face and declare a path of all head, no heart.

We should never forget that the thoroughgoing treatment of causality is one of the most distinctive features of the Dhamma, underlying the analysis of both the existential problem and the practical solution. The specific factors of the path, functioning as necessary conditions for their specific results, exemplify specific conditionality of phenomena in general, that is, dependent origination. Suggesting that one of the path factors may be optional introduces a fuzziness to the path, retreating from a causal to a correlative paradigm. The path becomes merely a collection of skilful means, not an embodiment of universal principles. It is true that some practices, such as the ascetic practices, formless attainments, and psychic powers are useful but not essential. But these do not occur in the wings to enlightenment or the dependent origination; they are not key factors of the path in the sense under consideration here.

Playing around with the key path factors unleashes a further host of theoretical difficulties. If one path factor is optional, what of the others? Perhaps there might be more than one path? Then how many? How are the many benefits of jhana accomplished without jhana? How to overcome sensuality when one cannot withdraw from the senses? How to overcome restlessness when the mind is still moving? How to transcend concepts when the mind is still labelling? How to perceive subtle truths with coarse consciousness? If one can go beyond hindrances with bare mindfulness, why can't one simply center the mind and go into jhana? What is hindering jhana if not the hindrances? Being unable to realize the preliminary samatha of jhana, how can one realize the ultimate samatha of Nibbana? Deleting jhana from the path would take more than pointing to a few suggestive passages; it would require a comprehensive model of the path to account for such difficulties.

We should be very reluctant to draw from ambiguous passages inferences that entail modification of central teachings. The Dhamma has a very fine and delicate structure. Like a house of cards, removing one piece imperils the whole edifice. Or again, like a pot brimful of pure water, with neither lack nor excess – remove one cupful and every other drop must shift to fill the space, leaving a pot that can never be called 'full'.

ⁱ See M105

ⁱⁱ S12.70

ⁱⁱⁱ S22.59

^{iv} A2.3.10 quoted above

^v This information is from Richard Gombrich's *How Buddhism Began*, which includes a chapter attempting to trace the beginnings of the decline of samadhi to the period after the Buddha's passing away, when the teachings were being collated and organized. He uses a different logic to arrive at a similar date as I give in the text.

^{vi} A4.163

^{vii} A4.168, A9.11

^{viii} A4.167, S40.1-9

^{ix} A1.20

^x A4.162

^{xi} M14.4 quoted above

^{xii} cp. S55.40 quoted above

^{xiii} A6.26

^{xiv} A6.26

^{xv} A5.179

^{xvi} A3.70

^{xvii} A1.20

^{xviii} Vsm 7.67

^{xix} D16.2.8

^{xx} M56.18

^{xxi} S46.38

^{xxii} cp. S25 quoted above

^{xxiii} A5.151

^{xxiv} A3.100 quoted above

^{xxv} A5.26

^{xxvi} S46.3

^{xxvii} D34.4.4

^{xxviii} S22.89

^{xxix} A5.73 quoted above

^{xxx} M97

^{xxxi} I have heard anecdotal evidence that seems to confirm this possibility.

^{xxxii} M43.14, A5.25

^{xxxiii} S22.59, S35.28

^{xxxiv} D14.3.28, cp. M128.32, A7.65 quoted above

^{xxxv} D4 condensed

^{xxxvi} S48.2-7

^{xxxvii} see e.g. Iti3.96

^{xxxviii} M 138. MLDB overlooks the phrase 'is fettered by the fetter of gratification' at M138.12. The translation also errs in omitting *sukha* in the phrase 'follows after the bliss and equanimity'. The PTS Pali has *upekkha* at the first occurrence only; all following and parallel occurrences have the preferable *upekkhasukha*.

^{xxxix} see e.g. M8.14.18, M1113.2ff

^{xl} Iti3.94

^{xli} M26.13ff

^{xlii} M26.22ff

Conclusion: Unity and Diversity

“This Dhamma is for one with samadhi, not for one without samadhi.” So it was said. For what reason was this said? Here, a monk...enters and abides in the first jhana...second jhana...third jhana...fourth jhana.”ⁱ

--- The Buddha never divided the themes of meditation into two classes: samatha and vipassana. Nor did he divide meditators into two classes: the samatha practitioners and the vipassana practitioners. He taught the development of the mind for the ending of suffering. As mind is diverse, so too are the means of development diverse. But hypostatizing these different means into different paths only fractures the organic unity of the Dhamma. Some may walk to the left, some to the right; some faster, some slower; some with ease, some with difficulty; but the path itself is one.

“This [eightfold path] is the only path
There is no other for the purification of vision.”ⁱⁱ

As we walk the path, we should not neglect to help each other when in need. So I have written this book with the sincere wish to enhance understanding and harmony between all aspirants in our approach to the Buddha’s teachings. I am well aware that by insisting that there is only one true path to liberation I court the risk of being labeled intolerant. Many, rightly wary of the specter of religious fanaticism, seek common ground between religions or between branches of the same religion by claiming that surface differences, arising as valid responses to the circumstances of time and place, mask an underlying unity. I believe this is mistaken. Certainly, the Buddha flat-out denied that his teaching was the same as any other. While many differences do indeed float only on the surface, in my opinion an honest appraisal shows that, among many similarities, some meaningful differences persist even in the deep structures of religions – in their philosophies, in the experiences of their sages, and in the sayings of their founders. But of course, non-identity does not mean complete separation or contradiction; it means distinct but related.

Anyway, mere tolerance is a stingy virtue. We should do more than tolerate, we should celebrate goodness wherever we find it. We should rejoice in whatever peace, wisdom, and fulfillment any spiritual practitioner finds in their chosen way. We should be happy to live together, talk together, and practice together in a spirit of mutual respect, mutual harmony, and mutual support even if we have differing views or practices.

Saying: ‘We should get along with them because, in the end, they’re the same as us’ is in fact intolerant. We value others because they measure up to our standard; but we should value others because of their intrinsic worth, because they measure up to their own standard. More importantly, one treats the truth shabbily. Truth is one, and bows not to the whims of fashion. Here, surely, all would agree. The rich diversity of religious and philosophical doctrines in the world are attempts to represent that truth. The view that ‘It’s all the same in the end’ is just one more view. But it is a view perilously intolerant of reasoned inquiry. Declaring sensitive issues out of bounds for fear of disputes must be the surest way to sap religion’s vitality, relegating it to stagnation and irrelevance. Undertaking a reasoned, thoughtful critique grants those views the dignity of attention, and it grants the adherents of those views the dignity of taking part in such an inquiry. If the critique is pertinent they can correct their ideas; if it is misguided they can develop a more sophisticated account of their ideas. Investigation can distinguish between trivial and important differences of opinion, and clear the way for meaningful reconciliation.

‘Disputes about livelihood or the code of conduct are trifling, Ananda. But should disputes arise in the Sangha about the path or the way of practice [the thirty-seven wings of enlightenment], they would be for the harm and misfortune of many.’²ⁱⁱⁱ

‘Only this is right – everything else is stupid’: this is one extreme view. ‘It’s all the same in the end’: this is a second extreme view. The Buddha taught us to avoid these extremes through analysis and inquiry: ‘What is the same? What is different?’

We may scour all the deserts on this broad earth and not find even two grains of sand that are completely identical. Still less will we find two grains of sand that are utterly different. The true basis of compassion and respect is not a supposed identity of

beliefs or paths, but our shared nature as sentient beings. We all feel pain. We are together in this lifeboat earth, drifting on the trackless seas, longing for a glimpse of land. As we share our sorrows, so too we share our joys. And of all joys, none compares with the joy of letting go. This joy belongs to no-one, lives in no country. It knows not name or face. It is our common heritage as children of the Tathagata.

‘One should know how to define bliss, and knowing that, one should pursue bliss within oneself.’ So it was said. For what reason was this said?

‘The bliss and happiness that arise dependent on the five cords of sensual pleasure is called the bliss of sensual pleasure – a filthy, coarse, ignoble bliss. I say that this bliss should not be cultivated, should not be developed, should not be made much of, but should be feared.

‘Here, a monk, quite secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities, enters and abides in the first jhana...second jhana...third jhana...fourth jhana. This is called the bliss of renunciation, the bliss of seclusion, the bliss of peace, the bliss of enlightenment. I say that this bliss should be cultivated, should be developed, should be made much of, and should not be feared.’^{iv}

Meaning does not inhere in a text. Still less can it be extracted and distilled into a definitive, universal formula. Rather, it arises in the response of the reader. Each reader will respond in their own way. But within the spectrum of response one thing is sure – Dhamma does not stop short with the written word.

‘What, Bhante, does “abider in Dhamma” refer to?’

...‘Here, a monk studies the Dhamma – the suttas, songs, expositions, verses, inspired sayings, “so it was said” sayings, birth stories, marvelous teachings, and catechisms. He does not waste his days with that Dhamma he has studied, he does not neglect retreat, he is devoted to samatha of the heart within. Thus, monk, a monk is an “abider in Dhamma”.

‘Thus, monk, I have taught you the monk who studies a lot, the one who teaches a lot, the one who

recites a lot, the one who thinks a lot, and the one who abides in Dhamma. I have done for you what should be done by a Teacher seeking the welfare of his disciples out of compassion. Here, monk, are roots of trees, here are empty huts. Practice jhana, monk! Do not be negligent! Do not regret it later! This is our instruction to you.”^v

Dhamma is no weekend pastime. A crash course in meditation cannot be expected to effect any substantial, lasting transformation. If we want quick results we are likely to end up either disappointed or deluded. But if we allow our lives to yield to the soft touch of the Dhamma, our minds will grow more and more peaceful as our attachments grow less and less. Samatha is not about trying very hard to scale some far-off mountain peak; it is about being content with the wholesome happiness of the present moment. This is beyond no-one’s reach. We usually underestimate the task; but we underestimate our own potential even more. Though the road may seem long, none can take more than one step at a time. Wherever we stand, forward is the only way.

‘I thought of a time when my Sakyan father was working and I was sitting in the shade of a rose-apple tree, where quite secluded from sensual pleasures, secluded from unbeneficial qualities, I entered and abode in the first jhana, with initial & sustained application of mind, and the rapture & bliss born of seclusion. I thought: “Might that be the path to enlightenment?” Then following up that memory there came the awareness:

“That indeed is the path to enlightenment.”^{vi}

ⁱ A8.30

ⁱⁱ Dhp274

ⁱⁱⁱ M104.5

^{iv} M139.9, cp. M66.19-21

^v A5.73

^{vi} M36.31, M85, M100

CONTENTS

Introduction

- 1 A Handful of Leaves
- 2 Samatha and Vipassana
- 3 The Wings to Enlightenment
- 4 Dependent Liberation
- 5 The Gradual Training
- 6 The Benefits of Samadhi
- 7 Jhana and the Noble Ones
- 8 Counterexamples

Conclusion

Appendix 1 On the Translations

Appendix 2 Contemporary Teachers on Samadhi

Abbreviations

A Swift Pair of Messengers

Calm with Insight from the Buddha's Lips

Calm and insight are the two great wings of Buddhist meditation. The present work offers a fresh perspective on a timeless theme. It is lavishly furnished with extracts from the Buddha's discourses, carefully elucidated for the modern reader. Calm and insight emerge as complementary aspects of a comprehensive approach to cultivation of the mind, together delivering the message of Truth. This meditative strategy reflects in practical form the Buddha's radical insights into the nature of reality. Melding method with inspiration, this work embodies the principle that meticulous inquiry is the ideal foundation for true faith.

The author is a Western Buddhist monk. Since receiving higher ordination in 1994, he has lived in forest monasteries developing meditation and studying the Buddha's teachings. He currently resides at Santi Forest Monastery outside Sydney, Australia.