

Anatta-lakkhana Sutta 阿那他拉卡那 素它 DISCOURSE ON NOT-SELF CHARACTERISTIC 无我相经¹

Evam me sutam: Ekam samayam Bhagavā Bārāṇasiyam viharati Isi-patane miga-dāye. Tatra kho Bhagavā pañca-vaggiye bhikkhū āmantesi: “bhikkhavo”ti. “Bhadante”ti te bhikkhū Bhagavato paccassosum. Bhagavā etad·avoca:

Thus I heard: At one time the Blessed One was staying in the deer park at Isipatana [near] Benares. There the Blessed One addressed the group of five monks: “Monks.” “Venerable Sir,” those monks responded to the Blessed One. The Blessed One [then] said this:

如是我闻：一时，世尊住在巴拉纳西仙人落处的鹿野苑。于其处，世尊对五众比库²说：「诸比库。」那些比库应诺世尊：「尊者。」世尊如此说：

“Rūpaṁ, bhikkhave, anattā. Rūpañ-ca h’idam, bhikkhave, attā abhavissa, na·y·idam rūpaṁ ābādhāya saṁvatteyya, labbhetha ca rūpe ‘evam me rūpaṁ hotu, evam me rūpaṁ mā ahosi’ti. Yasmā ca kho, bhikkhave, rūpaṁ anattā, tasmā rūpaṁ ābādhāya saṁvattati, na ca labbhati rūpe ‘evam me rūpaṁ hotu, evam me rūpaṁ mā ahosi’”ti.

“Form, Monks, is not-self. For if it were that form was self, this form would not lead to affliction, and in regards to form, [wishing] ‘may [this] form of mine be [like] thus, may [this] form of mine not be [like] thus’ would be possible. But since, monks, form is not-self, therefore, form leads to affliction, and in regards to form, [wishing] ‘may [this] form of mine be [like] thus, may [this] form of mine not be [like] thus’ is not possible.

「诸比库，色无我！诸比库，假如此色是我，此色则不应导致病恼，于色可得：『愿我的色是这样，愿我的色不要这样！』诸比库，正因为色无我，所以色会导致病恼，于色不可得：『愿我的色是这样，愿我的色不要这样！』。

¹ 《无我相经》是世尊在证悟无上正等觉之后继开示《转法轮经》后所宣说的第二部经。世尊在这部经中教导安雅袞丹雅等五位比库应如实观照一切五蕴无我。听完此经后，五位比库皆证悟了阿拉汉道果。

《相应部 蕴品 1. 蕴相应 6. 执取品 第7经 S.22:59》亦见《律藏·大品·大堪塔咖》

² 比庫：古代依梵語 bhikṣu 音譯為「比丘」「苾芻」等，今依巴利語 bhikkhu 音譯為「比庫」。是指於世尊正法、律中出家、受具足戒之男子。

“Vedanā anattā. Vedanā ca h’idam, bhikkhave, attā abhavissa, na·y·idam vedanā ābhādhāya saṁvatteyya, labbhetha ca vedanāya ‘evam me vedanā hotu, evam me vedanā mā ahosī’ti. Yasmā ca kho, bhikkhave, vedanā anattā, tasmā vedanā ābhādhāya saṁvattati, na ca labbhati vedanāya ‘evam me vedanā hotu, evam me vedanā mā ahosī’”ti.

“Feeling, Monks, is not-self. For if it were that feeling was self, this feeling would not lead to affliction, and in regards to feeling, [wishing] ‘may [this] feeling of mine be [like] thus, may [this] feeling of mine not be [like] thus’ would be possible. But since, monks, feeling is not-self, therefore, feeling leads to affliction, and in regards to feeling, [wishing] ‘may [this] feeling of mine be [like] thus, may [this] feeling of mine not be [like] thus’ is not possible.

受无我！诸比库，假如此受是我，此受则不应导致病恼，于受可得：
『愿我的受是这样，愿我的受不要这样！』 诸比库，正因为受无我，所以受会导致病恼，于受不可得：
『愿我的受是这样，愿我的受不要这样！』。

“Saññā anattā. Saññā ca h’idam, bhikkhave, attā abhavissa, na·y·idam saññā ābhādhāya saṁvatteyya, labbhetha ca saññāya ‘evam me saññā hotu, evam me saññā mā ahosī’ti. Yasmā ca kho, bhikkhave, saññā anattā, tasmā saññā ābhādhāya saṁvattati, na ca labbhati saññāya ‘evam me saññā hotu, evam me saññā mā ahosī’”ti.

“Perception, Monks, is not-self. For if it were that perception was self, this perception would not lead to affliction, and in regards to perception, [wishing] ‘may [this] perception of mine be [like] thus, may [this] perception of mine not be [like] thus’ would be possible. But since, monks, perception is not-self, therefore, perception leads to affliction, and in regards to perception, [wishing] ‘may [this] perception of mine be [like] thus, may [this] perception of mine not be [like] thus’ is not possible.

想无我！诸比库，假如此想是我，此想则不应导致病恼，于想可得：
『愿我的想是这样，愿我的想不要这样！』 诸比库，正因为想无我，所以想会导致病恼，于想不可得：
『愿我的想是这样，愿我的想不要这样！』。

Saṅkhārā anattā. Saṅkhārā ca h'idaṁ, bhikkhave, attā abhavissaṁsu, na·y·idaṁ saṅkhārā ābādhāya saṁvatteyyum, labbhetha ca saṅkhāresu ‘evam me saṅkhārā hotu, evam me saṅkhārā mā ahesun’ti. Yasmā ca kho, bhikkhave, saṅkhārā anattā, tasmā saṅkhārā ābādhāya saṁvattanti, na ca labbhati saṅkhāresu ‘evam me saṅkhārā hotu, evam me saṅkhārā mā ahesun’’ti.

“Conceptions, Monks, are not-self. For if it were that conceptions were self, these conceptions would not lead to affliction, and in regards to conceptions, [wishing] ‘may [these] conceptions of mine be [like] thus, may [these] conceptions of mine not be [like] thus’ would be possible. But since, monks, conceptions are not-self, therefore, conceptions lead to affliction, and in regards to conceptions, [wishing] ‘may [these] conceptions of mine be [like] thus, may [these] conceptions of mine not be [like] thus’ is not possible.

诸行无我！诸比库，假如此诸行是我，此诸行则不应导致病恼，于诸行可得：『愿我的诸行是这样，愿我的诸行不要这样！』诸比库，正因为诸行无我，所以诸行会导致病恼，于诸行不可得：『愿我的诸行是这样，愿我的诸行不要这样！』。

“Viññāṇam anattā. Viññāṇañ·ca h'idaṁ, bhikkhave, attā abhavissa, na·y·idaṁ viññāṇam ābādhāya saṁvatteyya, labbhetha ca viññāṇe ‘evam me viññāṇam hotu, evam me viññāṇam mā ahosī’ti. Yasmā ca kho, bhikkhave, viññāṇam anattā, tasmā viññāṇam ābādhāya saṁvattati, na ca labbhati viññāṇe ‘evam me viññāṇam hotu, evam me viññāṇam mā ahosī’’ti.

“Consciousness, Monks, is not-self. For if it were that consciousness was self, this consciousness would not lead to affliction, and in regards to consciousness, [wishing] ‘may [this] consciousness of mine be [like] thus, may [this] consciousness of mine not be [like] thus’ would be possible. But since, monks, consciousness is not-self, therefore, consciousness leads to affliction, and in regards to consciousness, [wishing] ‘may [this] consciousness of mine be [like] thus, may [this] consciousness of mine not be [like] thus’ is not possible.

识无我！诸比库，假如此识是我，此识则不应导致病恼，于识可得：『愿我的识是这样，愿我的识不要这样！』诸比库，正因为识无我，所以识会导致病恼，于识不可得：『愿我的识是这样，愿我的识不要这样！』。

“Tām kiṁ maññatha, bhikkhave, rūparūpi
niccaṁ vā aniccaṁ vā?”ti “Aniccaṁ,
Bhante.” “Yām panāniccaṁ dukkham vā tām
sukham vā?”ti “Dukkham, Bhante.” “Yām
panāniccaṁ dukkham vipariṇāma-dhammaṁ,
kallam nu tām samanupassitum: ‘etāṁ mama,
eso’ham-asmi, eso me attā?’”ti “No h’etāṁ,
Bhante.”

“Monks, what do you think, is form
permanent or impermanent?”
“Impermanent, Venerable Sir.” “That then
which is impermanent, is it unsatisfactory or
satisfactory?” “Unsatisfactory, Venerable
Sir.” That then which is impermanent,
unsatisfactory and subject to change, is it
suitable to consider: ‘this is mine, this I am,
this is my self?’” “Certainly not, Venerable
Sir.”

「诸比库，你们认为如何，色是常
还是无常呢？」 「是无常，尊
者！」 「若是无常，它是苦还是乐
呢？」 「是苦，尊者！」 「若是无
常、苦、变易之法，是否适合认为
它：『这是我的，这是我，这是我的
我』呢？」 「确实不能，尊者！」

“Vedanā niccā vā aniccā vā?”ti “Aniccaṁ,
Bhante.” “Yām panāniccaṁ dukkham vā tām
sukham vā?”ti “Dukkham, Bhante.” “Yām
panāniccaṁ dukkham vipariṇāma-dhammaṁ,
kallam nu tām samanupassitum: ‘etāṁ mama,
eso’ham-asmi, eso me attā?’”ti “No h’etāṁ,
Bhante.”

“Is feeling permanent or impermanent?”
“Impermanent, Venerable Sir.” “That then
which is impermanent, is it unsatisfactory or
satisfactory?” “Unsatisfactory, Venerable
Sir.” That then which is impermanent,
unsatisfactory and subject to change, is it
suitable to consider: ‘this is mine, this I am,
this is my self?’” “Certainly not, Venerable
Sir.”

「受是常还是无常呢？」 「是无
常，尊者！」 「若是无常，它是苦
还是乐呢？」 「是苦，尊者！」
「若是无常、苦、变易之法，是否
适合认为它：『这是我的，这是我，
这是我的我』呢？」 「确实不能，
尊者！」

“Saññā niccā vā aniccā vā?”ti “Aniccā, Bhante.” “Yam panāniccam dukkham vā tam sukham vā?”ti “Dukkham, Bhante.” “Yam panāniccam dukkham vipariṇāma-dhammam, kallam nu tam samanupassitum: ‘etam mama, eso’ham-asmi, eso me attā?’”ti “No h’etam, Bhante.”

“Is perception permanent or impermanent?”
“Impermanent, Venerable Sir.” “That then which is impermanent, is it unsatisfactory or satisfactory?” “Unsatisfactory, Venerable Sir.” That then which is impermanent, unsatisfactory and subject to change, is it suitable to consider: ‘this is mine, this I am, this is my self?’” “Certainly not, Venerable Sir.”

「想是常还是无常呢？」 「是无常，尊者！」 「若是无常，它是苦还是乐呢？」 「是苦，尊者！」

「若是无常、苦、变易之法，是否适合认为它：『这是我的，这是我，这是我的我』呢？」 「确实不能，尊者！」

“Saṅkhārā niccā vā aniccā vā?”ti “Aniccā, Bhante.” “Yam panāniccam dukkham vā tam sukham vā?”ti “Dukkham, Bhante.” “Yam panāniccam dukkham vipariṇāma-dhammam, kallam nu tam samanupassitum: ‘etam mama, eso’ham-asmi, eso me attā?’”ti “No h’etam, Bhante.”

“Monks, what do you think, are conceptions permanent or impermanent?”
“Impermanent, Venerable Sir.” “That then which is impermanent, is it unsatisfactory or satisfactory?” “Unsatisfactory, Venerable Sir.” That then which is impermanent, unsatisfactory and subject to change, is it suitable to consider: ‘this is mine, this I am, this is my self?’” “Certainly not, Venerable Sir.”

「诸行是常还是无常呢？」 「是无常，尊者！」 「若是无常，它是苦还是乐呢？」 「是苦，尊者！」

「若是无常、苦、变易之法，是否适合认为它：『这是我的，这是我，这是我的我』呢？」 「确实不能，尊者！」

“Viññāṇam niccaṁ vā aniccaṁ vā?”ti “Aniccaṁ, Bhante.” “Yam panāniccaṁ dukkhaṁ vā tam sukhaṁ vā?”ti “Dukkhaṁ, Bhante.” “Yam panāniccaṁ dukkhaṁ vipariṇāma-dhammaṁ, kallam nu tarī samanupassitum: ‘etam mama, eso’ham·asmi, eso me attā?’”ti “No h’etam, Bhante.”

“Monks, what do you think, is consciousness permanent or impermanent?” “Impermanent, Venerable Sir.” “That then which is impermanent, is it unsatisfactory or satisfactory?” “Unsatisfactory, Venerable Sir.” That then which is impermanent, unsatisfactory and subject to change, is it suitable to consider: ‘this is mine, this I am, this is my self?’” “Certainly not, Venerable Sir.”

「识是常还是无常呢？」 「是无常，尊者！」 「若是无常，它是苦还是乐呢？」 「是苦，尊者！」 「若是无常、苦、变易之法，是否适合认为它：『这是我的，这是我，这是我的我』呢？」 「确实不能，尊者！」

“Tasmāt-iha, bhikkhave, yam kiñci rūpaṁ atītānāgata-paccuppannaṁ ajjhattarī vā bahiddhā vā olārikāṁ vā sukhumaṁ vā hīnaṁ vā pañītarī vā yam dūre santike vā, sabbam rūpaṁ ‘n’etam mama, n’eso’ham·asmi, na m’eso attā’ti evam·etam yathā-bhūtaṁ sammappaññaya datthabbaṁ.

“Therefore, monks, whatever form, whether past, future or present, internal or external, coarse or fine, inferior or superior, far or near, all form is to be seen as it is with perfect wisdom thus: ‘that is not mine, I am not that, that is not my self.’

因此，诸比库，凡所有色，无论是过去、现在、未来、内、外、粗、细、劣、胜，还是远、近，应当如此以正慧如实观察一切色：『这不是我的，这不是我，这不是我的我。』

“Yā kāci vedanā atītānāgata-paccuppannā
ajjhattā vā bahiddhā vā olārikā vā sukhumā
vā hīnā vā pañitā vā yā dūre santike vā, sabbā
vedanā ‘n’etam mama, n’eso’ham·asmi, na
m’eso attā’ti evam·etam yathā-bhūtam
sammappaññāya datṭhabbam.

“Whatever feeling, whether past, future or present, internal or external, coarse or fine, inferior or superior, far or near, all form is to be seen as it is with perfect wisdom thus: ‘that is not mine, I am not that, that is not my self.’

凡所有受，无论是过去、现在、未来、内、外、粗、细、劣、胜，还是远、近，应当如此以正慧如实观察一切色：『这不是我的，这不是我，这不是我的我。』

“Yā kāci saññā atītānāgata-paccuppannā
ajjhattā vā bahiddhā vā olārikā vā sukhumā
vā hīnā vā pañitā vā yā dūre santike vā, sabbā
saññā ‘n’etam mama, n’eso’ham·asmi, na
m’eso attā’ti evam·etam yathā-bhūtam
sammappaññāya datṭhabbam.

“Whatever perception, whether past, future or present, internal or external, coarse or fine, inferior or superior, far or near, all form is to be seen as it is with perfect wisdom thus: ‘that is not mine, I am not that, that is not my self.’

凡所有想，无论是过去、现在、未来、内、外、粗、细、劣、胜，还是远、近，应当如此以正慧如实观察一切色：『这不是我的，这不是我，这不是我的我。』

“Ye keci saṅkhārā atītānāgata-paccuppannā
ajjhattā vā bahiddhā vā olārikā vā sukhumā
vā hīnā vā pañitā vā ye dūre santike vā, sabbe
saṅkhārā ‘n’etam mama, n’eso’ham·asmi, na
m’eso attā’ti evam·etam yathā-bhūtam
sammappaññāya datṭhabbam.

“Whatever conceptions, whether past, future or present, internal or external, coarse or fine, inferior or superior, far or near, all form is to be seen as it is with perfect wisdom thus: ‘that is not mine, I am not that, that is not my self.’

凡所有诸行，无论是过去、现在、未来、内、外、粗、细、劣、胜，还是远、近，应当如此以正慧如实观察一切色：『这不是我的，这不是我，这不是我的我。』

“Yam kiñci viññāṇam atītānāgata-paccuppannam ajhattam vā bahiddhā vā olārikam vā sukhumam vā hīnam vā pañitam vā yam dūre santike vā, sabbam viññāṇam ‘n’etam mama, n’eso’ham-asmi, na m’eso attā’ti evam-etam yathā-bhūtam sammappaññaya datṭhabbam.

“Evam passam, bhikkhave, sutavā arya-sāvako rūpasmim’pi nibbindati, vedanāya’pi nibbindati, saññāya’pi nibbindati, sañkhāresu’pi nibbindati, viññāṇasmim’pi nibbindati. Nibbindam virajjati; virāgā vimuccati. Vimuttasmiṁ vimuttam-iti ñāṇam hoti: ‘khīṇā jāti, vusitam brahma-cariyam, kataṁ karaṇīyam, nāparam itthattāyā’ti pajānātī’ti.

Idam-avoca Bhagavā. Attamanā pañca-vaggiyā bhikkhū Bhagavato bhāsitarū abhinandum. Imasmīñ-ca pana veyyākaraṇasmiṁ bhaññamāne pañca-vaggiyānam bhikkhūnam anupādāya āsavehi cittāni vimuccimśū’ti.

“Whatever consciousness, whether past, future or present, internal or external, coarse or fine, inferior or superior, far or near, all form is to be seen as it is with perfect wisdom thus: ‘that is not mine, I am not that, that is not my self.’

凡所有识，无论是过去、现在、未来、内、外、粗、细、劣、胜，还是远、近，应当如此以正慧如实观察一切色：『这不是我的，这不是我，这不是我的我。』

“Seeing thus, monks, the learned noble disciple is disenchanted with form, feeling, perception, conceptions and consciousness. Being disenchanted he is dispassionate; through dispassion he becomes liberated. When liberated there is the liberation knowledge thus: he wisely knows [that] [re]birth is finished, the holy-life has been lived, what needed to be done is done, there is nothing further [to be done] for this state.”

诸比库，多闻圣弟子如此观察，则厌离于色，厌离于受，厌离于想，厌离于诸行，厌离于识。厌离而离染，以离贪而解脱；于解脱而有『已解脱』之智，他了知：『生已尽，梵行已立，应作已作，再无后有。』』

This the Blessed One said. Pleased, the group of five monks delighted in the Blessed One’s speech. When this explanation was being spoken, the minds of the group of five monks were liberated through non-attachment from the [mental] effluents.

世尊如此说已，五众比库满意与欢喜世尊之所言。当此解说正被宣说之时，五众比库心无执取而从诸漏解脱。

Etena sacca-vajjena — sotthi te hotu sabbadā. *By this speaking of truth, may there be well-being for you always.*

借着这真实的话语，愿你时常得到安乐；

Etena sacca-vajjena — sabba-rogo vinassatu. *By this speaking of truth, may all [of your] diseases disappear.*

借着这真实的话语，愿一切的疾病消失；

Etena sacca-vajjena — hotu te jaya-maṅgalaṁ. *By this speaking of truth, may there be the blessings of triumph for you.*

借着这真实的话语，愿你得到吉祥胜利。